



UC Santa Barbara Associated Students

Senate Agenda 02/12/25

Associated Students

[Zoom Link](#)

This meeting was called to order at 6:34 P.M. in the Flying A Room by Internal Vice President Pinto.

Minutes/Actions recorded by: Sydney Arbolado, Liliana Dritz, Melina Magno, Hazel Gong, Summer Tucker & Isabella Mendoza

CALL TO ORDER by Açúcar Pinto, Internal Vice President **at 6:43 PM.**

A) MEETING BUSINESS

“Before we begin, we call for students to acknowledge that the lands that the University of California locations were built upon were expropriated & founded upon exclusions and erasures of Indigenous people's human rights throughout California. UCSB is built on Chumash Land, specifically that of the non-federally recognized Barbareno tribe. As an association that pushes the student bodies’ voices to the forefront, we should aim to make strides past just acknowledgment to those who continue to fight for their right to be recognized & respected as the original stewards of this land. I ask you all to dedicate time & care to personal education & to advocate for justice alongside Indigenous peoples.”

A-1) Roll Call

Name	Attendance	Position
Dalia Gerson	✓ Present, ☀ Early ▾	Off-Campus Senator
Alexa Butler	✓ Present, ☀ Early ▾	Letters & Science Senator & Second President Pro Tempore
Carly Lankarani	✓ Present, ☀ Early ▾	Off-Campus Senator
Taylor Iden	✓ Present, ☀ Early ▾	Off-Campus Senator & Advocacy Committee Chair
Enri Lala	✓ Present, ☀ Early ▾	Off-Campus Senator & Outreach Committee Vice-Chair
Leiya Kadah	✓ Present, ☀ Early ▾	Off-Campus Senator
Yasmine Suuck	✓ Present, ☀ Early ▾	Off-Campus Senator & Liaison Committee Vice-Chair
Levi Corlew	✗ Absent ▾	Off-Campus Senator

Name	Attendance	Position
Leah Khorsandi	✓ Present, ☀ Early ▾	College of Letters & Science Senator
Ella Yu	✗ Absent ▾	International Senator
Isabella McClintock	✓ Present, ☀ Early ▾	Transfer Senator
Alejandra Martinez	✓ Present, ☀ Early ▾	College of Letters & Science Senator & Outreach Committee Chair
Dominic Wang	✓ Present, ☀ Early ▾	Off-Campus Senator
Lily Habas	✓ Present, ☀ Early ▾	College of Creative Studies (CCS) Senator
Paolo Brinderson	✓ Present, ☀ Early ▾	Off-Campus Senator
Eemaan Wahidullah	✓ Present, ☀ Early ▾	Off-Campus Senator
Jasmine Amin	✗ Absent ▾	Off-Campus Senator
Daniyal “Dan” Siddiqui	✓ Present, ☀ Early ▾	Off-Campus Senator & First President Pro-Tempore
Noura Elkhatab	✓ Present, ☀ Early ▾	College of Letters & Science Senator
Sandhya “Sandy” Ganesh	✓ Present, ☀ Early ▾	International Senator & Liaison Committee Chair
Aryaman Singh	✓ Present, ☀ Early ▾	College of Engineering (CoE) Senator & Finance Committee External Chair
OlaBisi Ola-Olaitan	✓ Present, ☀ Early ▾	On-Campus Senator
Nadine Malhis	✓ Present, ☀ Early ▾	On-Campus Senator
Amna Chaudhry	✓ Present, ☀ Early ▾	On-Campus Senator
Kamela Dali	✓ Present, ☀ Early ▾	On-Campus Senator
Ashley Valenzuela Balderrama	✓ Present, ☀ Early ▾	On-Campus Senator

A-2) Excused Absences

Motion to excuse Senator Dan Siddiqui after 9PM

Butler - Kadah

Call to question: Wang

Passed at 6:36PM.

Motion to excuse Senator Lankarani at 10PM.

Martinez - Wang

Call to question: Khorsandi

Passed at 6:37PM

Motion to excuse Senator Khorsandi after 9:30PM.

Gerson - Lankarani

Call to question: Lala

Passed at 6:37PM.

Motion to excuse Senator Brinderson after 9:30PM.

Lala - Kadah

Call to question: Lankarani

Passed at 6:37PM.

Motion to excuse Senator Yu.

Iden - Kadah

Call to question: Ganesh

Passed at 6:45PM.

A-3) Acceptance of Proxies

Motion to accept Caleb Claro as proxy for Senator Siddiqui after 9:00PM.

Iden - Wang

Called to question: Kadah

Motion passed at 6:38PM.

B) External Reports

B-1) Chancellor's Representative-

B-2) UCPD Representative-

B-3) GSA Representative-

B-4) University Center Governance Board Representative-

B-5) IVCS D Representative-

C) New Business

 A Resolution To Bring College Football Back To UC Santa Barbara

Siddiqui - Kadah

Siddiqui (7:08PM)

- It's been a tense weekend to say the least, I hope that this brings some joy
- Something really fun that I've had in mind for past few weeks
- Started with me having a conversation with someone that goes to SBCC, talking about how they literally have a stadium and team

- We have way more money than them, way more resources, and we don't have that
- I then kind of went down a rabbit hole of history of football at UCSB
- There is some really cool history behind it, in the resolution it mentions Vince Lombardi, if the name doesn't sound familiar, he worked in the NFL, he is probably one of the greatest coaches of all time. Led the Packers to win their first Super Bowl.
- Before that they practiced on our campus in Harder stadium, there's a lot of cool history behind. We talked a lot specifically about us having a new chancellor next year is very significant in terms of having a different CEO at helm in directing campus.
- That's going to have a huge impact on how the university runs next year
- A lot of therefore clause focus on how next executives can utilize tools in their arsenal to actually make this happen
- I've done a lot of research examining the legal code of AS
- Also, my participation in chancellor search comm in these past months has given some insight into how it all works, in terms of collecting money for endowment, alumni donations, working with administration partners and things like that.
- In short this a very comprehensive proposal to bring a D1 football team back to UCSB
- If there's a time to do it, it's next year, with having a new chancellor at reigns.
- Chancellor Yang was the longest sitting chancellor for 30 years

Kadah

- I don't think I can add much more, but just establishing a football team is something students have been pushing for since it disbanded 1991.
- Ever since 1991, football is where a lot of new students find connection to school, where alumni comes back, come to fundraising events for football
- While it's a financial burden to go and reestablish this team, it's been proven to be financially beneficial to have a football
- Temple college, when they won a bowl, they got 38 million dollars worth of exposure
- That something many colleges say they can't even afford, their enrollment went up by 30 percent
- It's strange that we don't have a college football team, considering how much of a staple it is to American college experience

Brinderson

- How plausible is this? Is this something the administration is thinking about? Is this in the minds of people that it needs to be in the minds of?
- How do you think this will change the school if it happens?
- How many soccer games have you been to?

Siddiqui

- From what I know there are no thoughts from the administration as we are in the middle of a chancellor search and that person has tremendous influence
- We're also going to be creating 2025 long range department plan
- Starting this year when we have a new chancellor, for context, the 15 year plan that we lay out, it is only done every 15 years. We are at a unique time, getting a new chancellor, UC president, and creating a new strategic plan.
- To answer your plausibility question, in my opinion, right now it's a blank canvas in terms of what's in the mind of administration
- If you get the ball rolling from now, we can get the UCSB board of trustees here.
- You get a big push for this now while we select a new chancellor, I believe it's best chance to make it happen
- To answer your questions about the soccer games, I think they are pretty fun, but if they were football games, I'd go to way more

Lala

- This is a major project and ambition is good.
- Just wondering, you've got to reflect on what to pursue and what not to pursue
- Why was the team suspended in the first place?
- As soon as I read this, I went to speak with friends of mine in athletics
- What I gathered is that UCSB athletics is the most independent department in the sense that, there's very little sway that other aspects on campus have on them, they basically make their own decisions.
- The most important thing I heard is the issues that the folks on other teams feel with underfunding, underappreciation of their sports, lack of attention, things of that nature.
- I can't help but wonder whether this kind of push and using a very smart way of approaching the new chancellor and new president
- Wouldn't these resources be better used to teams that are already here?
- As opposed to starting something that we don't know how successful it will be

Siddiqui

- You talk about funding, UCSB is uniquely impacted with the struggles of not having a law school, medical school, due to not having any professional schools
- Throughout the resolution, one of the main points that is brought up is that bringing a football team to UCSB would be a huge revenue generator for campus
- We can't fund these other underfunded teams if we don't improve our budget and find creative ways to attract new revenue
- Going back to your point about the athletic department being independent, I think that that dynamic may change with new chancellor, but it may not
- Larger point im making here is that we have a blank canvas and we should be ambitious
- In terms of under funding other sports, I absolutely do think others need to be funded and need more resources

- In order to do that there's need to be a solid tangible plan to bring revenue to campus, and I would say this is an example

Khorsandi

- What's the plan to fund the football team once it's established?
- Have you spoken to alumni yet?
- When is the new chancellor, when are you planning on selecting it and finalizing it?

Siddiqui

- To your last point, I've had enough fights with admin for one week, I won't say anything about search committee
- Funding is covered under a couple clauses, specifically, there's different expenses we need to cover
- This is a very non comprehensive plan to fund it as it is. It is still just an idea
- Initial onset for harder stadium would likely need to come from an investor, which is new chancellor's job
- In terms of funding maintenance costs of program, in 1985 when we brought division 2 football team back, it was funded through AS lock in fees
- We could propose lock in fee for spring of 2026 for miscellaneous costs
- I have not spoken to alumni in person, just brief emails

Amin

- I think this is really creative so I commend you both for that
- My issue is only adding lock in fees for students, I will never be pro adding money to any students' ever
- I know this is a resolution and its not 100%
- If we can find a way to make this happen, I don't want it to come down to lock in fees for students

Siddiqui

- I don't know if that was a question
- To answer you, lock in fees, we already pay way too much, if there's a way to fund it without charging students, we should do that, the problem is that it is kind of hard to do that
- The thing with lock in fees is that they have to be quality
- There's a lot of lock in fees that provide great services such as food bank, which is a lock in fee has cut down student hunger by 40 or 50 percent since it's been introduced
- We have to look at quality over quantity
- If we could look at any idea that majority of students would agree on, it's this
- If anything is worthy of a lock in fee it would be this proposal

Singh

- Thank you for coming
- In 1992, the NCAA said that UCSB must play at the division one level for all sports

- That legislative change, resulted in a huge increase of funds, which meant UCSB dropped football
- Do you believe there's a new development that would push campus to bring football back?
- I want to remind you in 1992, students voted to remove football from campus. Before that, it was removed again, came back, and was removed again.
- What are the chances if you do bring it back, it will stay?

Siddiqui

- To answer both, it's covered in the resolution. It is stated in text
- 1985 was the first time it was repropresed through lock-in fees. The lock in fee was not reaffirmed in 1991. I don't remember exact percentage breakdown
- It was not a landslide in terms of not funding the program
- At that time, student fees and tuition were dramatically less
- Therefore every single lock in fee was a lot more clear
- Right now, no one has any idea what the lock in fees they pay are, which is why everything gets reaffirmed
- With why the program got canceled, it was specific circumstances
- Since 1991, UCSB status as a university has elevated to top 10 public university in entire country
- Under chancellor Yang, our ranking has gone up a minimum 20 or 30 spots
- Our reputation has increased dramatically, academically, as well as overall UC system
- We have way more money than we did, we have a greater standing, more resources to fund the program. We have greater standing to attract talent, also more resources.
- I'd say those are the biggest differences

Butler

- Do you know why the football team was removed in the first place?
- If you do, was it with regards to injuries, or lack of funding, or students being a part of the program

Siddiqui

- The team fell through because the lock in fee wasn't reaffirmed, they didn't have money for the resources

Butler

- In our current state it is?

Siddiqui

- Is what?

Butler

- Able to sustain a football team

Siddiqui

- We don't know

- It's an idea, just a proposal

Suuck

- I don't mean to pick apart your resolution right now but
- You said UCSB is is only UC without football team
- Don't UCLA, UC Berkeley and UC Davis have football teams?

Kadah

- I maybe did not specify which tier of UC that does not have a football team
- I can go back and check that information, but I did see that somewhere

Suuck

- In the interest of accuracy, I'd say no
- It takes a big funding to have football team
- Also UCSB is part of big west conference and for UCSB to compete against others, we'd technically have to leave big west conference

Iden

- Only for the football team

Suuck

- For the interest of that, just think about it

Iden

- I just want to reiterate, I came to school for the athletics, I came for track and we and every other sport is so severely underfunded
- Football teams statistically don't make profit unless you're in a huge league
- I think its a cool legislation but I think it's impractical, especially Given the severely underfunded resources for athletics currently

Lankarani

- I like the idea and it's good to look at other avenues for revenue
- At the same time, I feel like asking for funding for football team while students struggle to get housing feels kind of like a punch in the face
- I went to a small high school and all of our funding went to the football team, and I remember begging the principal that most of my teacher have to work 2 other jobs, while our our money goes to the football team, to see this come up again in college is just not right
- Maybe we should focus on other issues before we focus on football team

Siddiqui

- A big part of the legislation is to bring revenue
- I am 100% with you
- There's way more important issues than this, housing, food, basic needs

- We aren't gonna marginally going to get money to fund these things unless we introduce new revenue generating resources
- You can make the argument that this may not be that but the larger goal of what we're going at is how we get resources into campus for these issues

Khorsandi

- I see where you are coming from Senator Lankarani
- However, our school has zero school spirit
- We don't
- I genuinely believe this could be a good move to raise morale
- I feel like we are a very small number of students in this room, and we always focus on what's wrong what's wrong
- I want to know what student population thinks about
- I think they think about stupid shit like having a football team
- To the general population, I think it could be beneficial
- We could survey students about wanting a football team and then we can go from there

Senator Dali

- Building off that, I know it's still early but how do you know the student population wants a football team
- As was mentioned, we don't have school spirit at all, I have been to maybe 2 soccer games at all
- If we do have a football team, do you expect that it would even generate revenue?

Siddiqui

- It makes huge difference for funding being division one
- D1 is a huge benefit
- How do we know if students want it or not, before that, they also need to understand costs and benefits that come with it, which is laid out in this resolution
- Then we can talk about it with administration
- If possible, propose a lock in fee to students. Students can see how much money it would cost
- Resolution is calling for executives to bring this up to administration
- Not proposing a lock in fees
- Not proposing plan
- Calling to advocate for a plan

Pinto

- I want to bring something forward as it pertains to our student bill of rights
- In article 2 section D it says all students have right to be free from violence on this campus and in Isla Vista

- As we know football disproportionately affects those of african americans ethnicity and causes a lot of permanent bodily harm such as CTE, I just want to raise that point
- Another being the fact that the reason why we probably don't have school spirit is because our school mascot is a colonizer, that has literally killed my ancestors as well as people throughout central and south america

Wang

- Someone else said that but I don't know how important morale is when students are unhoused and hungry
- What's the chance that this initiative will raise money

Siddiqui

- I want to reiterate that his resolution is calling for next years executive to make a case to administration
- We are only gonna know once we talk to them, new chancellor will have to work hard to figure it out and find investors
- Again, if this plan comes to fruition and we find out its not beneficial
- Then we don't have to go through with it, this resolution is not calling for implementation of any plan, simply calling for us to have conversation with administration for next year to see if it will be beneficial to our campus or not

Chariship yielded to Senator Butler at 7:34PM.

Brinderson

- I want to talk about how it could be a liability, address some of the cons
- Senator Iden and I were looking at overall football contribution to universities, it tends to be a liability. Small part is a revenue generator
- Are there other possible negatives you could think of?
- We have not had a football team for a long time and it has fostered certain culture of not having football team
- Maybe people don't care about school spirit when they are coming to school without football team
- There's a difference between a school with a football team and one without

Siddiqui

- I'm just going to reiterate same point again
- The point of this resolution is to bring this up to administration
- I'll cut it off there
- Feel like I've reiterated it a bunch of times

Kadah

- We're kind of isolated as a UC, we are not as close as other campuses
- Not having any big football teams around us could get communities to being closer
- I do think that from that aspect, it could be a revenue generator

- There are studies that says that establishing a football team could increase application and revenue
- This is just a proposal anything, nothing that's going into effect

Motion to pass a Resolution to Bring Back College Football to UCSB

Iden - Siddiqui

Call to question - Brinderson

Chairship back to IVP 7:38pm

Hand Vote

Yes: 14

No: 7

Abstain: 2

Motion passed at 7:39pm

 A Resolution Requesting that the UCSB Recreation Center Address Student Equipm...

Khorsandi - Lala - Suuck

Khorsandi

- This is building off the reports senator Lala and I gave last week
- Basically to codify, implement, more substantially the request we're making of rec cen
- The therefore clause, we address the stairmaster machine
- Also to implement quarterly reports with rec cen
- To establish communication and accountability with the rec cen
- Just building off of our report

Lala

- The theme of this resolution is what Khorsandi and I spoke on last meeting, what Suuck added on a lot too
- I really want to thank you for the expertise
- The only thing I would note is that we try to put some considerably enforceable language in there of expressing exactly what it is that we want from the recreation center
- Be it on the Stairmaster situation, be it on the rental program
- As well as the quarterly reports of the Senate, which we will be sending to the senior staff we spoke with, and we'll make sure happens if this resolution is passed

Suuck

- Lots of things with rec cen, problems there
- I'm so happy that y'all started this

Brinderson

- Have you made contact with this board, are they gonna see this?

Lala

- Yes, spoke last time, we spoke with exec director on board we'll be sending this resolution

Khorsandi

- Want to add this was done in discussion with rec cen operator
- A senior staff member as well
- Was written after discussion with them
- We agreed with them that quarterly reports with the senate was important
- The director of rec cen was open to student input
- He emphasized it and in our discussion we decided this was one of the ways we can implement student feedback
- More beneficial way of implementing student feedback in the rec cen

Motion to pass Resolution Requesting that the UCSB Recreation Center Address Student Concerns and Improve Communication with the ASUCSB Senate.

Iden - Amin

Call to question - Wahidullah

Hand Vote

Yes: 21

No: 0

Abstain: 0

Motion passed at 7:45PM.

A Resolution to Transfer Funds for the A.S. Strategic Vision Plan 2025

Singh - Siddiqui

Singh(7:46PM)

- It's a simple resolution, last week we had a strategic vision plan
- It would pass money from AS undesignated to the senate unallocated
- So we could actually spend money for this plan and get it approved and see it in action soon

Siddiqui

- It's a basic piece of legislation, we have a lot of money in AS undesignated
- The AS undesignated fee we charge everyone is over one million

Khorsandi

- They mentioned in their presentation, it was a range of 75k to 110k
- What happens if they use the cheaper end, does it go back to senate unallocated
- Or does it say with strategic operations office

IVP

- The way it was explained, was that
- We decide how much were giving them and they're going to utilize that full budget

- If this would go into everyone's pay and material use, the range
- And the range of their work
- Since we decided on medium, that's what they're going to do
- That's what they are going to use

Khorsandi

- We are basically deciding if the budget is 90k?

IVP

- Yes
- This was suggested by their office and the two senators

Motion to pass A Resolution to Transfer Funds for the A.S. Strategic Vision Plan 2025.

Kadah - Singh

Call to question - Malhis


Hand Vote

Yes: 23

No:0

Abstain: 0

Motion passed at 7:49PM.

 **A Bill to Create a Mental Health First Aid Subcommittee Under Commission on Stud...**

Lala - Ganesh

Lala(7:50PM)

- So this is what you've heard about from Fiona who came in earlier, coordinator for PMHC
- Which has a recent history been the most competent, on top of things units for the entire student body
- This falls under a mental health task force which they have been in collaboration with PMHC
- Which has accomplished much for the student body in the recent years
- And this is simply meant to institutionalize what they do
- So it's not just two co chairs being overburdened, something that we as senators understand, not having the staff necessary to do certain things
- And meet all the requirements listed out for you
- They'd have subcommittee dedicated to putting all this training out
- This idea was brought to me by Sydney Yamanishi who is now head of staff when I first became a senator
- Who was president of staff when I was in office
- Great work, checked over and over by BCU ppl
- This is a thoroughly thought out legislation
- Only thing I had to figure out was net fiscal impact
- I want to clarify, there will be 7 people on it, 2 of them are the

- 2 of them are commissioners from both COSWB AND PMHC
- The others ones would be receiving honoraria, would be the 2 mental health facilitators
- That would be 300, the regular rate per quarter
- Other costs associated with this
- I estimated it would come out for 3k or every academic year
- Might be lower, better safe than sorry

Lankarani

- It looks good
- Is CAPS in any way involved in this?
- Looking through it, are we including resources we already have on campus?

Lala

- 2 things
- First is that CAPS doesn't have all the resources that students would need out of them
- It's an actively positive thing to add additional resources in the same field
- So if students can provide that service, that's that much of plus for students
- This isn't necessarily a new program, but
- Something that's already happening
- Has been effective
- would be made available to more people by adding more positions
- As far as I'm aware, no coordination with CAPS, looking into it

Lankarani

- Would you be open to collaborate with CAPS in the future?

Lala

- I mean sure
- Who really matters is who's running the subcommittee

Ganesh

- The creation of the subcommittee will give CAPS resources they don't have right now

Motion to table A Bill to Create a Mental Health First Aid Subcommittee Under Commission on Student Well-being Legal Code for one week.

Ganesh - Elkhatib

Call to question - Lankarani

Motion passed at 7:55PM.

 A Resolution to Request an Exception from Policy BUS-79 for AS Entities

Corlew - Singh

Singh(7:55PM)

- UC policy sent an email saying you need justification to use funds to pay for goods
- To use services form non UCSB affiliated associations
- This applies when BCUs buy food for their meetings

- Technically goes against UC policy
- This is asking for a blank exception for the vice chancellor of student affairs
- From vice chancellor of student affairs
- So student entities can freely buy food for their meetings

Brinderson

- Is this an issue that's come up recently

Singh

- Yes this is a big issue that has come up
- Campus has said that you can't buy food with student fees without justification for it
- And then instead of requisition justification for each and every requisition form, this just means they can apply for one without needing that

Khorsandi

- Have you talked to Margaret about this before writing this resolution?

Singh

- I believe the associate director for FnB has been in conversation with campus

Siddiqui

- In the first paragraph of the resolution, it says that Margaret says the Vice Chancellor of Student Affairs, Gary McPherson
- Inaccurate
- Jerry McPherson is the Vice Chancellor for administrative services, so that should be corrected.

Motion to open A Resolution to Request an Exception from Policy BUS-79 for AS Entities.

Singh - Khorsandi

Clal to question - Wang

Motion passes at 7:57PM.

Motion to replace in the first sentence the name Gary McPherson to Margaret Klawunn in A Resolution to Request an Exception from Policy BUS-79 for AS Entities.

Singh - Chaudhry

Call to question - Elkhatib

Motion passed at 7:59PM.

Motion to close A Resolution to Request an Exception from Policy BUS-79 for AS Entities.

Singh - Martinez

Clal to question - Wahidullah

Motion passes at 8:00 PM.

Motion to pass A Resolution to Request an Exception from Policy BUS-79 for AS Entities.

Kadah- Brinderson

Call to question - Butler

Hand Vote

Yes: 22

No: 0

Abstain: 2

Motion passed at 8:02PM.

Motion to add on the bill Establishing Governance Board Guidelines and Creating the First AS Bike Shop Governance Board Act on the agenda.

Ganesh -

Pinto

- Why is this being added now onto the agenda?

Ganesh

- Because we're still working on it

Pinto

- Need more explanation

Ganesh

- Big bill, lots of consultations happening, need time

Pinto

- Considering it's a bill, it would be tabled
- Do you think this would be done in a week?

Ganesh

- No

Pinto

- That makes me not want to allow that
- Not personal, am sympathetic to cause

Ganesh

- The reason why I wanted to motion it now is so I can open it for collaboration

Pinto

- How about adding this to discussion items instead of new business?

Ganesh

- It's not a discussion item though?

Pinto

- You just said you wanted collaboration

Ganesh

- Either deny my motion or add it on

Iden

- I think another point to add is that I'd like to have it new business so we can table it afterwards
- Would appreciate a discussion

Siddiqui

- I believe after a preliminary look

- The language that's in it right now, would contravene the established policy and legal code
- With respect to senate governance
- 27 page piece of legislation
- Don't think it's going to be decided in a week or two
- I don't really know what productive conversation we're gonna have on it, besides the people that are already working on it
- I don't think we should motion it on

Khorsandi

- This is very long and I'd like to read it over before we discuss

IVP

- Going to deny it
- It's been brought to everyone's attention
- Which is important
- If you'd like to collab on this project, suggest reaching out to Ganesh, Suuck, and AG carlson

Motion denied.

Motion to add A Resolution to Direct The Executive Director to begin to research the process of establishing a Immigrant Legal Services Center at UCSB to New Business.

Martinez - Lankarani

Called to question: Lala

Motion passed at 8:07PM.

 **A Resolution to Direct The Executive Director to begin to research the process of est...**

Martinez - Ganesh - Elkatib

Martinez

- Started this process 2 weeks
- When exec director talked abt situation that's going on
- We established that outreach committee was going to start giving out red cards
- I decided that night that I'd email draft about possibly beginning the process of establishing immigrant legal center at UCSB to USS
- Which is the undocumented student service organization on campus
- Talked to executive director and decided that it would be a pretty long process
- Would have to go to board of regents, submit petition, decided we obviously want to go through with this
- I think it's very exciting to offer these services
- Many immigrant students make up UCSB
- To begin this process, introducing this process with Ganesh and Elkhatab, couldn't be more grateful to have them
- I could not be more grateful to have them in this resolution with me

Ganesh

- This is a very timely piece of legislation
- Just to be clear, this is only a resolution to begin research
- Some of the other UC have it on their campus
- We do have a significant amount of immigrants in our campus
- Very thankful to exec director Marquez and my co-authors

Elkhatib

- I think this is a no brainer
- Been done before as Ganesh said at UC Davis
- This resolution is for an office to be established on campus
- Especially during current political climate
- Last week there was a march in our campus
- Over 200 UCSB students and faculty marched in support of undocumented and international students on campus

Wang

- Considering the current state of America, this bill is very important
- Really truly respect the work you put in this
- The closest immigrant legal center is downtown, very inaccessible
- Other questions
- If the current administration clamps down on federal funding for immigrant legal services, whether the center persists, as opposed to other centers served
- As far as that if they're not federally funded, I just essentially don't want to maybe be relying on the service.
- Just essentially don't want to bait people that may be relying on the service

Pinyo

- TLDR of what senator Wang express, their hesitance to this service for the possibility of losing federal service

Wang

- Think it's very important
- Want to clarify how you think this would stand up to federal government on it

Ganesh

- That is the part of what research will come in
- Seeing if this will create any issues with federal funding

Martinez

- The executive director and I are planning on meeting with the attorney from UC Davis
- And talking to them on how we can establish it
- It's a good question though

Khorsandi

- Have you guys worked with the Legal Resource center near pardall?
- I don't know much about that but I just did a quick research, and it says that they
- Says they have legal support and support for issues such as immigration
- Have you talked to them and seen the work that they've done, maybe you can build off of that?

Ganesh

- I did do some research into the services they provide, they definitely aren't as robust as we want them to be
- Looking at Davis' center to build off of
- This is a research into seeing if it can be as robust as we want it to be
- The one we have at pardall is very lowkey
- Current climate targeting those with papers

Pinto

- They currently have one actual lawyer and the rest are a couple of random students
- Actually, two lawyers, and a couple of random students
- Extent of legal services team

Amin

- Overall I think this is very well written
- My question is
- In second to last whereas clause
- What exactly does the UC Davis one do, how many students do actually engage with that?
- Just curious

Elkhatib

- It's all cited there so you can click on it but in terms of numbers they can't really
- Can't disclose that info

Martinez

- My response was gonna be the same
- We have the links for the website with all the information needed
- Meeting with Marisela also exposing the center
- Feel free to look through website
- Senator Elkhatib said they are not able to really discuss everything that they are helping students with
- But they're an amazing resource

Brinderson

- This is awesome thank you for putting this together
- Just kind of wondering what executive director has said about this
- What she thinks the process will be like or how long it will take

Martinez

- Basically, the executive director, when I came with proposition of this on campus
- They told me that I should make appointments to meet with the attorney but then they said I should submit this resolution first
- So basically we're meeting with attorney from immigrant legal services from UC Davis center
- Then meeting with regents via appointment
- That also deal with immigrants
- From there, continuing towards that so we can get money from the regents
- And start getting actualized here on campus

Motion to pass A Resolution to Direct The Executive Director to begin to research the process of establishing an Immigrant Legal Services Center at UCSB.

Elkhatib - Kadah

Call to question - Wang

Hand Vote

Yes: 23

No: 0

Abstain: 0

Motion passed at 8:19PM.

D) PUBLIC FORUM

[1:30]

Speaking agreements:

“You have two & a half minutes to speak, with two minutes for Q&A. Please state your name, pronouns, and enunciate, but refrain from yelling. Speculation of a Senator’s intentions and abilities is prohibited and will result in strikes, 3 strikes and you will be removed from the ability to speak on the floor. Please know this meeting is being recorded. You may speak on any issue, whether it is on the agenda or not. Please include a content warning if it will be graphic. You may only come up once, and you may choose to not answer questions.”

Abby Coleman

- I’m the COO for the strategic office
- I’ll be giving an update on our office
- Main priority is strategic vision plan, won't give details again
- There's a big proposal in last weeks senate
- iPads for equity program through basic needs been successful
- 200 iPads we’ve been able to get with AS money for students that may not be able to
- There's some broken pieces, what we are doing is a batch order after the end of each year to bring it back to fruition
- We have been in tech equity coalition with basic needs and other tech people on campus like makerspace
- They are looking on tech grant for Wifi it's been exhausted from 2019 Wifi
- Students don’t have any grants to get that now
- Strategic vision plan, thank you for your questions last week
- We are moving forward with Credo
- We will send emails later this weekend to planning committee to start those meetings
- Adding Credo to gateway

- For murals, on campus, it's a collab with mental health peers, really excited, picking best artist, been reaching out
- That's gone well so far
- We have a good idea

Motion to extend speaker's time by 3 minutes.

Lankarani - Lala

Call to question - Gerson

Motion passed at 6:43PM.

- Checking off the checklist of ways to get murals on campus, taking a bit of time
- If you have questions I'd be happy to answer

Wang

- Sometimes iPad get broken, I appreciate everything you've been doing
- Having to buy new iPads, wondering about ethical concerns

Abby

- We haven't had to buy any new ipads, only accessories like chargers
- It hasn't been super financially draining
- We've had success and students really care about the iPads so it's been great

Singh

- Feel free to come to finance anytime you need extra funds

Abby

- Thank you

Recognize Senator Amin's presence at 6:45PM.

Motion to extend speaker's time to 5 minutes.

Kadah - Wang

Called to question - Iden

Passed at 6:46PM.

Osienou Diagne

- I'll start with winters special election
- Working creative media unit
- Chelsea is giving me recording and those reels and whatnot, we don't have those in our senate account
- I'm going to do skits, I think that's a good start
- We'll be doing interviews with Dan, Enri and possibly IVP if they want
- We want to introduce constitutional amendments that they will be voting for
- The media unit didn't understand the way it works overall
- I had to explain it to them, we talk about ways to engage students
- Talked about ways to engage students
- Came up with interview and get 5000 voters
- Hopefully we get 5000

- Working with election board vice chair Ruby has given me media to post on same day
- We are gonna collaborate with a bunch of other things, talked to UCSB life, they will help us with collaboration
- Is anyone campaigning going to raise awareness about this?
- The UCSB instagram, they were some difficult people to work with
- Made this really big proposal for them
- Speaking with Emily Savage, social media manager
- Chief marketing officer, Alex Parraga they were telling me that we have a balanced way
- They were just telling me, we have a balanced way to distribute information, alumni, parents, students
- We don't want to flood it with students because we don't want to shy away parents and alumni
- I was like that's stupid
- Was telling them that's kind of redundant
- They don't even post a lot anyway
- I asked them a bunch of questions and said, they didn't post lunar years and
- I know there's an event in the mcc
- Looking at other UC accounts, they posted it that day and they have events
- How come you didn't, it was just too difficult to balance campus events and whatnot
- I was like, well, it's kind of on the calendar, you can see it anyway
- He came up with a bunch of lies and excuses
- Basically what I told them was I asked them if they had any DEI initiative and whatnot
- They said they do, I was telling them how I disagree, I said what they do is lackluster
- Lots of other UCs do a lot more, we have 3 BSU reels
- We have 3 black history month reels/posts
- Other colleges have like 6 by not, it's Feb 12, and they have a bunch of collaboration
- They were just telling me oh we have 3 we do care
- I was asking if they could collab with UCSB life cuz they have initiatives
- They were like oh maybe
- I was like fine I'll do it myself
- I asked them why they don't collab with AS, they said

Motion to extend the speaker's time by 4 minutes.

Wahidullah - Lankarani

Called to question: Ganesh

Passed to 6:52PM.

- So basically they said we only do it once a year, with orientation, the first 0 week and that's it
- The first zero week
- They were like yeah we don't get in touch with them that much and they'd disrupt the flow of their student parent thing
- I was like I don't think it does that, basically Deltopia as well
- I was saying, hey we can connect with AS, posts and highlights
- They were like maybe, and didn't really want to
- Just gonna talk to them later about that
- I know AS has this new website Marquez was showing me
- The events.ucsb.edu
- I told her about that and how they could promote that a lot more on their account
- I don't know what they are gonna do I'm gonna keep emailing them
- They've been really difficult to speak with
- In regards to interview, they were really distant about it
- Would you want to do it with us? I was wondering
- I just want them to be the collaborator so we can get views on it and student views
- I don't really understand what's so difficult about this whole ordeal
- I'm gonna email them I'll be trying to connect with AS
- I'll try connect them with AS so we can get 5000 votes in two weeks

Siddiqui

- How many weeks continuously have you been working on procuring communication?

Osienou

- Two

Siddiqui

- Talk to us about the labor you did and how many hours

Osienou

- Our meeting was like two hours, it was long, we talked a lot about how to campaign
- Meeting with social media manager, would go visit their office because they wouldn't respond to emails
- I did it 3 days in a row, she finally messaged me on Monday and asked to talk and I said why didn't you answer me before, not actually
- Wouldn't say that was time, but wasted my time
- Been doing media for 3-4 hours
- I was working on it on one night, I met with office of DEI, I want to connect them with MCC
- Met with office of DEI
- Do the same things, just don't invite each other
- I want to meet with them to talk about this too
- That was an hour conversation
- 6-7 hours each week

int

- As your supervisor, I'd say you worked 10-15 hours

Osienu

- Oh yes, I'm here too, 11-12

IVP

- Our meetings and staff meetings add 3-4 hours

Osienu

- That's accurate

IVP

- I'll press y'all to repost

Brinderson

- Thank you for your work
- We have such a small social media following so you kinda have to plead for clout
- Let me know if you need any help

Osienu

- I'll be posting flyers about this elections, I'll need help with that
- I won't even call it begging, it was more like arguing
- We were on zoom trying to understand each other
- They refused to be wrong, ridiculous
- That was actually the most annoying meeting ever

Singh

- Thank you for coming and all the work you do
- Finance committee changed our name last year and haven't updated our logos
- Would like help updating our logos

Osienu

- I'll help you

Ended at 6:59PM.

Fiona Hosmer-Hughes(7:00PM)

- My pronouns are she/hers
- I am here to talk about a sub-committee between student wellbeing and public health commission
- COSWB and PMHC
- This is for a project we've been working on for over a year a year now
- Its a program that's designed under the National Council of Well being that teaches students to recognize early signs of mental health crisis
- It's a program that I'm super passionate about, the subcommittee would make it so we can have a little more help when we are publicizing this, and just get more people involved
- We have different roles and responsibilities, including logistics and operations coordinators, 2 facilitators, myself and Cassidy Miller, but for the next year it will be separate as I would also be acting as the chair
- This year, it would be me
- For next year, we'll be hiring a separate person for it, then we have events coordination that help run events and get people involved and get the word out about the project

Ganesh

- Could you expand on how a subcommittee will help you further this

Fiona

- Currently the project I'm working on with Cassidy Miler, she used to be the be chair of PMHC
- It's a project we are really passionate about but it is Really just us two
- We're working with others in health and wellness but they are working on the project out of sheer interest
- With these positions and committee, it'd help us get word out more and help us ease the burden
- We are definitely with our other duties from our legal code, it is quite a lot, especially the publicity

Ganesh

- I know the two chairs are coming from other places, but the additional 5 positions, are you looking to hire fresh?

Fiona

- Yes, except for me.
- I'm graduating next year so it would be fresh after that
- The facilitate roles are 2k each
- It's quite an investment, we've investment on myself and Cassidy on training
- I'd want to spread it out and make it so next people are fresh hires but it would be after I graduate

Ganesh

- Did you say 2k each?

Fiona

- Yes

Ganesh

- Is that honoraria you're talking about, or the budget you're overseeing?

Fiona

- That was the amount that it takes to get an instructor trained through the National Council of Well Being. They are gave us their certificate, and then pmhc funded Cassidy's training

Brinderson

- Thank you for coming seems interesting helpful initiative
- How do you plan on these people getting trained to be useful to IV and students at large? Will there be an office, on zoom?

Fiona

- We've done in person and on zoom trainings
- Trainings are one day long, any student can sign up, it's a free class

- You come in from 9am to 3pm and we give you the training and we give you the certificate
- It is very accessible
- We host on it on Saturdays and Sundays
- Each class is about 30 people, and we host 2 trainings each quarter
- We are actually reaching a lot of people
- We moved it virtual because we found out we had more people coming
- They will have the certificate for 3 years

Zha 7:06

- I'm here to speak about the pending injunction to judicial council but we can't really speak on it yet
- Is it possible to move back to a public forum after we have received the deliberation on the case?

IVP

- You're gonna be sitting here for a while are you ok with that?

Zha

- Yes

Siddiqui

- So you said the councils are going to make a decision during this meeting?

Zha

- Yes

Zha

- We have deliberated on the case...
- We can answer any question you may have
- 11:17pm

Pinto

- I don't have access to my email except in computer in my office

Zha

- The relevant quote according to subsection sub
- Sub sub section A, E, B

Pinto

- To clarify that is article 7, section 2 — Can u list those out over text to me?

Zha

- Yes

Pinto

- I will highlight these for everyone in orange
- So the recommendation was made for clause a and b under subsection of A, section 2 of article 7 and under Section B, Clause B & J
- There's been a rec from Parliamentarian Zha and I suggest we all review those rn and make a decision on whether or not to make that motion

Suuck

- Are these to be amended or struck?

Pinto

- The amendments that have been made to these clauses need to be struck
- Will make no specific changes to those clauses
- As for article e clause b
- As it stands in legal code under art 7 sec 2 subsection 1 sub-sub section a clause a is “Be the Chief Executive Officer of the Associated Students and shall coordinate and be responsible for the functioning of the A.S. Executive Director” what is highlighted for this section is essentially that.
- For e would be dissolved considering it was added in
- E as it stands in legal code rn is just “Name the Chairperson of the Judicial Council from its membership subject to a two-thirds (2/3) majority approval of the voting membership of the Senate.”
- Clause f in the elections supplement and ballot language which will be removed completely
- Sub sub section b clause b would just remain as
- So what would be struck is the and shall oversee “Serve as the representative of Associated Students in all internal affairs and have jurisdiction over all matters pertaining to A.S. Professional Staff/Human Resources, Auditing, Organization, and Management”
- For j is clause 6 under subsection b and it will remain as “Serve as the official overseer and reference for all interactions between the Senate Liaisons and their BCU’s. They must also train the Senators in how to be liaisons.”
- Essentially what has been struck for will remain
- That was it for the recommendation of judicial Council Member Zha or not
- Floor is open for motions whether it be the recommendation of Council Member Zha or not
- This is also in line with the recommendation made by Assistant Director of Governmental Affairs, Holly Mayes

Motion amended to strike all amendments made to Article VII, section 2, subsection A, clause a and e; Article VII, section 2, subsection B, clause b , j and L.

Butler– Proxy Claro

Called to question

Lala

- If Senator Butler would could rescind motion to reconsider to add subsection I because there are serious issue
- Serious concern go to certain stipulations in Bill of rights in the non-partisan section here is specifically added on the constitution to protect against this

Butler

- Would like to amend motion to strike all amendments to article article 7, section 2, subsection A, Clause A & E & 7, 2, sub sections B, J, & L

Pinto

- I don't wanna recognize that motion yet. I want to accept until Senator Lala defines non partisan as

Lala

- I yield my time to Senator Iden

Iden

- "AS UCSB shall not pass any resolution
- This necessarily isn't doing that but?

Pinto

- It is my understanding that our student bill of rights in itself is a bias
- Protest student body it would still stand but the future IVP has to abide that and bring that forth in a more serious manner non partisan is usually party which our
- Which our association does not have

Suuck

- What if we say impartial? We dont bring party into this and another way to argue and reads discrimination language

Lala

- I think that's brilliant
- Is Senator Butler's motion recognized?

Pinto:

- We are still in discussion
- I recognize the motion

Motion rescinded by Senator Butler

Butler

- Point of information, it's [the document] is open right?

Point of info- Butler

- It's open right?

Pinto

- Yes

Butler

- Would like to rescind motion
- Suuck what was the word you used?

Suuck

- Impartial.

Motion to amend Article VII, section 2, subsection B clause L from "non-partisan" to Impartial"

Butler- Lala

Called to Question - Ganesh

Motion passed at 11:41 PM

Suuck

- Not to be that guy but section J says senate liaisons should we be more specific or leave that in?

Pinto

- From my interactions with liaisons and because so far I feel like it makes sense there. But as Senate Liaison Committee Vice Chair if you have anything to add that would be valuable.

Suuck

- That is fine.

Ganesh

- But you don't, why is it there?

Pinto

- If you were in some of our meetings you should know.

Ganesh

- Do you mean these meetings?

Pinto

- I believe we did this in our retreat
- still think its relevant
- I want to propose a question to you all who should be responsible for overseeing because thus far I have been overseeing BCUs and Liaisons
- More direct in my approach and I reach out to them directly and I elected you all because I don't feel I need to be more active?

Ganesh

- Technically you do this, but we don't need trainers to oversee our functioning

Pinto

- If I am not responsible for training you, who should?

Ganesh

- Great question
- This is the first time my committee is in this
- Can I invite the AG to the floor for insight?

Pinto

- AG Carlson if you want to give some input about specifically who should train senators how to be liaisons.

Attorney General Carlson

- Do we still have liaisons?

Ganesh

- That's exactly my argument

Carlson

- When I authored the committee rework act we trust that...
- It was a legislative work around in a way if you feel like you have to cut it then cut it.
- When I authored the New York act, we trusted the Liaison Committee to satisfy the constitutional responsibility of the Internal Vice President to create this liaison function. It was a, you know, legislative workaround, in a way, you want to cut it, feel free to cut it.

- The thing that we have to just worry about, really, if we're going to do anything, is we have to codify just to make sure that we're gonna have something that someone's responsibility, whether it be the Senate's responsibility, someone's responsibility, to make sure that parties are being consulted and involved. The more eyes you have on it, the better. Someone's responsibility to make sure committees are being acknowledged
- As long as there is something where someone is working together than its fine

Ganesh

- I would like to make a motion

Pinto:

- We are currently in motion can recommend an amendments

Pinto

- How about we do this
- We go about passing butlers motion as is and we can revisit this specific clause
- Butler, how does that sound?
- Then we can revisit this specific clause

Butler

- Good

Called to Question

Motion passed at 11:17PM

Motion to amend Article VII, section 2, subsection B, clause j from serve as Serve as the official overseer and reference for all interactions between the Senate Liaisons and their BCU's. They must also train the Senators in how to be liaisons." to "Serve as the official overseer and reference for all interactions between the Senate Liaisons and their BCU's."

Ganesh – Suuck

Called to question

Motion passed at 11:49 PM

Pinto

- Until that is resolved let's move on

Pinto

- Would like to bring your attention to Clause A, sub section B under article 7, section 2 "Student attorney general should be responsible for initiating technological and software initiatives to improve A.S. services & operations, in collaboration with the President and Internal Vice President"

Iden

- It's a bylaws issue

Motion to strike clause H from subsection C under section 2 of Article VII

Iden-Amin

This motion passed at 11:53PM

Pinto

- I suggest we run through this to be as clear as possible article 7 has been contentious which I really appreciate looking into each of the executive functions/powers as stated in constitution putting time and effort and time into the discuss
- Under sub sub section a under president duties, clause a will essentially remain the same as stated in legal code as well as clause c from “Deal with all student affairs” to “Oversee and deal with all undergraduate student affairs. Work closely with the offices of the Chancellor, Executive Vice Chancellor, Vice Chancellor for Student Affairs, Vice Chancellor for Administrative Services, and every other UCSB administrator and/or campus department to advance undergraduate student priorities through policy and funding changes.”
- Since that is an amendment made I will leave it at that before moving forward

Motion to reorder the subclauses under clause a subsection 2 alphabetically

Idi-Amin

Called to question- Chaudhry

This motion passed at 11:56 PM

Motion to change Article 7 Section 2 SubSection b's Sub Sub Section j from “Serve as the official overseer and reference for all interactions between the Senate Liaisons and BCUs.” to “aid in the liaisonship between BCUs and their liaisons”

Ganesh- Claro

Call to Question-Wang

This motion passed at 11:58PM

Pinto

- Personally don't understand why this is changed
- I thinks it's very clear why it's like this
- Let's continue down the line
- Sub sub B, clause C or clause E “Serve as the primary representative for undergraduate students on all academic matters. Work closely with the Office of the Executive Vice Chancellor and Academic Senate together with elected senators to advance undergraduate needs.”

Pinto

- The next being clause G “Supervise all departments, commissions, boards, units, committees, or other entities in the Executive Branch to ensure all entities fulfill their mission in Legal Code and that all representatives complete their expected duties”
- Temperature check how are we feeling? I don’t want any surprises because I brought this up
- Next clause H “Work to identify areas of improvement within the Executive Branch related to internal processes and efficiency”
- Moving onto clause I “Be responsible for administering all payments (honoraria) to all members of the Association.”
- I would like to clarify not all members of the association receive honoraria, they are paid hourly, or stipend.
- Also for executives we receive a stipend as well so i rec we strike just the word honoraria

Iden

- I'd like to hear Zha and AG Carlson's advice
- Is the language “responsible” good

Carlson

- Honoraria is a special thing

Pinto

- But that still does not apply to all members of the association
- The way that it has worked throughout my chairship on senate
- I do in fact bug everyone until people do get paid
- That is fully my job and as chair of honoraria committee and overseer of internal affairs

Motion to strike SubClause I under SubSection 1 Section 2 Article VII

Iden-Valenzuela

Iden

- It's already a responsibility in your office I don't think it adds anything
- It's also part of the finance committee and executive directors responsibilities

Pinto

- Its up to the president to direct the executive director and I already do this

Amin

- Completely removing it bothers me a little bit because it's not in writing but its the IVP's job and I worry about whether or not that responsibility will be taken care of
- If its assigned to one person specific and that is in writing it is going to get done

Pinto

- In our units they have advisors, the advisors are responsible to make sure their members who receive stipends and hourly pay gets paid

- All that goes back to our executive director and I'm guessing Marissa
- Not honoraria, hourly wages and stipends

Isabella Mendoza

- I'm Isabella a Student Staff worker in AS Admin
- For stipends paid wages such as KCSB or TBL who get paid per story we receive a stack of that, then a check is cut by our admin team, and hourly wages through the university because we clock in or out via kronos

Pinto

- Thank you for clarifying, I really appreciate it
- It is already codified that, that's my responsibility
- And y'all's for approval

Amin

- Sounds like your agreeing that it should be written

Pinto

- Should be cut it's already codified, in writing

Ganesh

- It's also like by laws
- Its written everywhere

Pinto

- Its written everywhere in like 4 different places
- We are still in discussion

Call to question - Lala

This motion passed at 12:08 AM

Pinto

- J has just been discussed

Ganesh

- Promise it will be the last one

Motion to amend article VII section 2 subsection B sub sub section J, from "aid in the liaison ship between BCU"s and their liaisons" to "Aid in the liaisonship between BCUs and senate.

Ganesh- Lala

Call to Question- Butler

This motion passed at 12:10AM

Pinto

- Clause K is staying same

- Clause L is From “Be an a non-partisan representative of all undergraduate students at the University.” To “Be an impartial representative of all undergraduate students at the University.”
- Is this good?
- Yes?
- Now moving to Sub Sub c, nothing has been changed other than the removal of clause H which was amended to “Be responsible for initiating technological and software initiatives to improve A.S. services & operations, in collaboration with the President and Internal Vice President.”
- Are we cool with that strike
- It seems like we are almost done
- I recommend we close this as we very closely went through everything

Idan

- We have to edit the yes and nos at the top

Pinto

- Yes we do have to edit that thank you
- Do you have the language to amend the yes and no at the top

Idan

- To remove student advocate general part

Pinto

- I recommend someone make the motion to update at the very top where it says

Wang

- Didn't we strike that

Pinto

- We didn't we strike top of those pages
- Right on top of article VII there is very specific ballot language that clarifies what a yes and no is
- I recommend we strike the name of the student advocate general in the ballot language

Lala

- It seems there's more to remove than just that

Chairship yielded to Second Pro Tempore Butler at 12:15AM

Lala

- Strike SAG stuff
- IVP does stand moving non partisan to impartial
- What should we see here
- This constitutes and slightly redefine duties of IVP

Iden

- It's not about whether it's slight or not it is about redefining the student advocates responsibilities

Lala- Ganesh

Motion to amend resolution from "This constitutional amendment redefines the responsibilities of the Associated Students' President, Internal Vice President, and Student Advocate General" To "This constitutional amendment redefines the responsibilities of the Associated Students' President and Internal Vice President"

Call to Question- Wang

This motion passed at 12:18PM

Lala

- This would also apply to a yes vote or no vote, do i need to remove that or make another motion

Butler

- I didn't think another motion would need to be made. We didn't clarify
- Did you say no in the no portion

Lala

- I did say the wording that was here but i did say clause a
- Which would apply to all of the section

Chairship yielded back to IVP Pinto at 12:19AM

Motion to amend the yes section to state "A "Yes" vote is in favor of redefining the oversight powers and responsibilities of the President and Internal Vice President"

Iden- Lala

Call to Question- Wang

This motion passed at 12:20AM

Motion amend "the no section from "A "No" vote is in favor of maintaining the current oversight powers and responsibilities of the President, Internal Vice President, and Student Advocate General" to "A "No" vote is in favor of maintaining the current oversight powers and responsibilities of the President and Internal Vice President"

Ganesh- Dali

Called to question - Wahidullah

This motion passed at 12:21 AM

Idan

- Do we remove all the strikes and finalize it and submit it?

Pinto

- All of the clauses still haven't been properly organized under subsection sub sub section a I know you made that motion, I suggest we simply make a copy and then vote on that feel like that's legal

Idan

- Can I motion to remove all strikethroughs?

Pinto

- No

Iden

- Just delete it all

Pinto

- No
- I think that what we should remove all bolded language that has been struck through and literally that's it because in terms of clause j under sub sub section b article VII
- Current constitutional language. I think that we should first basically everything that is bold and struck through delete it
- Everything that's strikethrough and bold. Everything was amended and approved.

Carlson

- We still need a record of everything that has been changed on the floor
- Just pass as is

Pinto

- Pass as is and senate goes to elections board chair Claro, see all of our arguments and agreements and I think that is beautiful

Motion to close the Senate Review Copy of Winter Special Election 2/18-2/28- Elections Supplement and Ballot Language

Amin- Singh

Called to Question - Ganesh

Motion passed at 12:24 AM

Motion to pass Senate Review Copy of Winter Special Election 2/18-2/28- Elections Supplement and Ballot Language

Butler- Wang

Call to Question- Amin

Motion passed at 12:25 AM

Pinto

- Do we need to vote on it

Carlson

- No you're good

Pinto

- What is the confusion?

Wang

- Did we approve the KCSB thing?

Pinto

- Yes

E) Acceptance of Agenda

Motion to accept the agenda

Ganesh-Kadah

Called to question-Malhis

This motion passed at 12:27 AM

Motion to Accept Agenda

Ganesh-Kadah

Motion passed at 12:27AM

F) Consent Calendar-

G) Action Items

1. Approval of the Office of Attorney General's request for \$3,800 Operation Expenses & Honoraria (OAG & Rules & Administration Committee) From Senate Unallocated

Motion to approve the OAG requests for 3800 for expenses and honoraria coming from senate unallocated

Siddiqui - Amin

Call to question: Iden

Yes:23

No:0

Abstain:0

Motion passed at 8:21pm

2. Approval of \$90,000 from Senate Unallocated to Credo Consulting to begin the [A.S. Strategic Vision Plan 2025](#) on behalf of the Strategic Operations Office, Association, and UCSB community.

Motion to approve \$90,000 from Senate Unallocated to Credo Consulting to begin the [A.S. Strategic Vision Plan 2025](#) on behalf of the Strategic Operations Office,

Butler - Malhis

Called to question: Lankarani

Yes: 24

No: 0

Abstain: 0

Motion passed at 8:23pm

3. Approval of Senate Review Copy of Winter Special Election 2/18-2/28- Elections ...

Motion to open Senate Review Copy of Winter Special Election 2/18-2/28- Elections Supplement and Ballot

Siddiqui - Chaudry

Called to question: Lankarani

Motion passed at 8:24pm

Motion to strike Item E, under ARTICLE VII section 2 subsection 1, sub, sub section a section e Exercise full executive authority over the branch regarding personnel decisions for non-elected officers and replace with... Be empowered to make personnel decisions for all undergraduate non-elected officers. Every officer has the right to file a case to the Judicial Council alleging a violation of the Bill of Rights or other aspects of Legal Code.

Siddiqui - Wahidullah

Called to question - Kadah

Motion passed at 8:27pm

Amin

- Why did you decide to cross that out?
- Want to make that language a bit more specific

IVP

- Do you remember that cute veto we did?

Amin

- Ya, i'm going to shut up now

Motion to get an emergency 5 minute recess.

Siddiqui - Wang

Call to question - Iden

Motion passed at 8:29PM.

IVP yields chairship to Siddiqui at 8:36pm

Siddiqui yields chairship to Butler at 8:37pm

Iden

- Article 6, the contentious article is 7, after discussion with Zha and Carlson
- A lot of these changes we are opting to strike out
- I think we should strike the whole thing from the election
- A lot of these changes are bylaw changes don't have to be in constitution

Siddiqui

- I don't think the senators comment makes sense
- Part of the 2 clause that were problematic
- The argument that these can be bylaws
- If we've already put it in text, and there's no issue in it, there's no reason to change it

Iden

- Can i request that AG Carlson comes to the floor

AG Carlson

- I am not willing to come to the podium
- I am prudent to hear others speak out first

Butler yields chairship back to IVP at 8:39pm

Motion to amend subsection A of section 2 under article 7 and strike the language “and all AS professional staff and all AS operational spaces property and other services”

Siddiqui - Chaudhry

Called to question Wahidullah

Motion passed at 8:40PM

Motion to amend subsection b under Section 2 ARTICLE VII sub sub section B Serve as the representative of Associated Students in all internal affairs and “have jurisdiction over all matters pertaining to A.S. Professional Staff/Human Resources, Auditing, Organization, and Management” and replace with shall oversee

Siddiqui - Dali

Called to question - Wahidullah

Motion passed at 8:42pm

Motion to strike sub sub section j of subsection B under section 2 of article 7

Siddiqui - Dali

Called to question - Chaudhry

Motion passed at 8:43pm

Motion to close senate review copy of Winter Special Election from 2/18 to 2/28.

Siddiqui - Lankarani

Call to question - Wang

Objection - Iden

Iden

- I think we need more deliberation on this
- I rather wait to close this until we listen to president's veto

Pinto

- Siddiqui, would you like to rescind your motion?

Siddiqui

- No

Pinto

- Now going into vote to vote

Siddiqui

- I rescind my motion

Motion rescinded.

Motion to edit subsection B of section 2 and strike out from sub sub section B and shall oversee all matters regarding AS professional staff resources, auditing, organization, and management

Siddiqui- Wahidulah

Call to question - Kadah

Motion passed at 8:47pm

Siddiqui

- I have to catch the train
- I believe the proper procedure here is to close ballot, listen to president or AG
- We aren't passing the ballot but just closing it

Motion to close the Senate Review Copy of Winter Special Election 2/18-2/28- Elections Supplement and Ballot

Kadah - Elkhatab

Call to question - Lankarani

Motion passed at 8:49PM

Back at 10:13PM

Iden

- I would appreciate everyone's discussion on this especially in events leading up to surrounding the ballot. I had some deliberation with Senator Lala regarding this, especially regarding Article VII. I think the best course of action here, given that other changes can be made in the bylaws, I would like to remove all proposed changes apart from two, which would be leaving the change from the Internal Vice President to become the President, which would allow chairship changes, which leads to the change so the cabinet picked requires ratification from the Senate. Those are the two things I would like to leave in the Constitution, everything else I would like to strike.

Pinto

- Is that a motion?

Iden

- No, just discussion

Ganesh

- Here's the thing. You have gone back and forth about this for so long. Everyone who had an opposition has opposed and now we don't have an opposition. Put it on the ballot. Why do you care? If there is no harm to put on the ballot, why would we remove it?

Amin

- It was explained to us for 30 minutes why there is harm

Pinto

- It is to my understanding that those statements were instructed before we made those amendments.

Iden

- With my discussion with Member Zha of Judicial Council and Attorney General Carlson, there's two issues with a lot of these. The actual oversight of staff auditing and organization management is not exactly tenable. Secondly, a lot of these responsibilities are better defined in the bylaws compared to constitutional changes, or else we risk going into a problem where the Internal Vice President is presiding officer over the Senate. We cannot make changes in bylaws at that point in time, those are responsibilities we dictate in this constitutional change. Compared to water, leaving it to bylaws, it allows them to shift and change overtime and be more flexible.

Lala

- In plain English, if we don't make these changes, there won't be an election.
- That's why. It's not a matter of leaving it up to the students to decide. Either we do this, or all the work that has gone into yelling back and forth on the details for Amendment VI are all for nothing.

Ganesh

- Senator Iden, are you proposing we remove just Article VII?

Pinto

- He proposed we leave subsection B, sub-subsection A, and subsection A. I'm not seeing it. You can clarify Senator Iden.

Iden

- I want to strike all changes to Article VII, as they could be elaborated on in the bylaws alternatively and leave the portion of legal code in the Constitution that would make the Internal Vice President the President of the Senate, and the portion that will require the President's Cabinet to be ratified by the Senate. As it currently stands it is not subject to ratification but I would like to change it to be subject to ratification.

Pinto

- Just to clarify, that is under Article VII, subsection A, sub-subsection L., and then subsection B, sub-subsection A. We would remove everything except L and A. Is that clear?

Ganesh

- Yes

Motion to open  ***Senate Review Copy of Winter Special Election 2/18-2/28- Elections ...***

Iden - Lala

Call to question: Wahidullah

Passed at 10:20PM

Motion to amend Article VII to remove all proposed changes to the ballot all apart section 2 subsection A subsubsection L and subsection B clause A

Iden - Wahidullah

Discussion:

Proxy Clara

- Point of information. Can you explain exactly how this would be better in terms of amendments legally?

Iden

- For example, one of the main issues we've had this year is the Interval Vice President being stuck as the presiding officer of the Senate. That is something we cannot change within the Senate. A lot of these amendments are being made to the roles, as Attorney General Carlson stated. For example, clause B of internal vice president, reads that they serve as vice president of all internal affairs. That is our broad guideline, internal affairs is within the association and campus. By enumerating these responsibilities within the bylaws, it is better suited because it allows for flexibility as the years progress and people change in the role, compared to a constitutional amendment where it is not flexible. You don't want to get bound up in legal code that you're going to have to go through another

election to change in the future, I'd rather it be a flexible thing we can work with over time.

Call to question: Butler

Passed at 10:22PM

Iden

- Thanks everyone for going through this process. It was definitely a learning experience. Things change and opinions change, I was for a lot of these at one point, but I think it's okay for opinions to change. It's a learning process at all times we are doing this. I don't think a lot of these changes are for nothing, but are good stepping stones for the future of the bylaws in the association

Pinto

- I'm still striking

Iden

- You don't have to necessarily strike anything since this is our election supplement. We will just delete the changes and delete the legal code already in place. In subsection C and D, those will all stay. Just the bolded sections will be removed

Pinto

- Do you have access to the document?

Iden

- Do you mind if I do it?

Pinto

- Do your thing. But I think it's important we show the revisions to the document so that's why I am striking instead of deleting.

Motion to reconsider all the strikes made by Senator Iden

Clara - Kadah

Call to question: Wahidulla

Motion Rescinded

Amin

- Can I ask you why?

Clara

- I don't think it has been properly communicated to me on why this immediate change would make passing the amendments more possible.

Lala

- Because we have heard from the people objecting from the amendments, that striking these amendments would make it possible. I think you would waste both of our time if we went down this route. It will waste all of our time if we don't go through with this.

Clara

- Can you explain how?

Lala

- Not my job.

Pinto

- As a Senator, it is from my understanding that this is your job. We are trying to get the student body to vote on amendments that have been considered and reconsidered for the past months and that we have been discussing all year. It is your job to state your case especially if you have such a clear positionality.

Lala

- It is not my job in the same way that it is the Attorney General's, who did a great job of just explaining it a few minutes ago. To put it into plain English, the way I understood it, it goes against the way the association is structured to put students over the jurisdiction of staff and if we want to do that we have to carve out more autonomy for ourselves. I was hoping you will just rescind and we can go to a peaceful resolution, but if not we can just vote.

Ganesh

- After we spent so long amending it to be more palatable on the basis of whatever we got, is it still not worth putting on the ballot?

Iden

- We are getting to the point of being in a roundabout about why it's best to drop these changes, in my opinion, so it is enumerated in bylaws and not the constitution. I think the argument 'just leave it up to the students' makes sense at times but for these it's what we are putting on the ballot. It's either all or nothing.
- It's getting repetitive, like why would we drop all of this? Okay, well that has been answered because there is more flexibility in bylaws. I don't see the point in having this discussion over and over again. Senator Lala was justified in his response that he doesn't need to enumerate on that anymore

Pinto

- I want to propose a hand vote to approve every single one of these amendments.
- If it is so contentious we can go by it one by one, understand each other's points, and then move on. That is going to take a lot of time, but I'm dedicated

Gerson

- If there are specific ones that are contentious, why don't we just talk about those?

Pinto

- That is why we would go through every single one. What is contentious and what is not contentious is not clear.

Butler

- We are in a discussion to reconsider changes that have already been changed in Article VII. I'm asking Proxy Clara to rescind his motion.

Pinto

- Yes, Judicial Council Member Zha.

Butler

- We are in discussion

Pinto

- We are still in a motion to discuss the strike, we can't just go to a public forum. If someone wants to ask a question then we can move forward. I'm down to go each one on a hand vote

Motion rescinded

Motion to close  ***Senate Review Copy of Winter Special Election 2/18-2/28- Elections...***

Butler -

Motion rescinded

Iden

- Point of inquiry. Would I have to finish these changes before we close?

Pinto

- I suggest we give it a beat until we hear what Judicial Council Zha has to say.

Iden

- Can I request Senator Butler to rescind her motion till I make these changes and we can come back to it after public forum

Pinto

- We are not in discussion

Iden

- I can make any point, I don't have to second

Motion rescinded

Motion to strike the Motion to amend Article VII to remove all proposed changes to the ballot all apart section 2 subsection A subsubsection L and subsection B clause A

Singh - Ganesh

Call to question: Clara

Objection: Iden

Objection Rescinded- move to a hand vote

Pinto

- ***Would you like to rescind your motion?***

Singh

- ***No***

Pinto

- ***Can I ask your grounds on the objection?***

Iden

- Yes, my grounds are that if we are at this point in the deliberations, I would request to just do a hand vote.

Pinto

- I agree.

Iden

- Under Roberts Rules of Order, it is under your prerogative on how you would like to do the vote so I will rescind my objection under the grounds you do it as a hand vote.

Objection Rescinded

Pinto

- I'm down for a hand vote. I
- To clarify we are voting on striking the motion to strike the motion to amend Article VII.

Iden

- My argument is a lot of these changes can be enumerated in bylaws. The constitution restricts responsibilities. A lot of these are likely to get struck down by the Executive Director and campus. I think leaving the responsibilities in the bylaws will better serve the association. So a vote no on this would let this be enumerated in the bylaws and maintain the presiding officer become president and the president's cabinet subject to ratification. That is what a vote no would mean.

Singh

- The reason why I want to strike the motion is because I want to go into a detailed discussion on why we should strike these. I still don't understand what the legal grounds are. We have been told it is against UC Policy 301, but can anyone tell me what the UC policy states and why it is against it?

Pinto

- I'm tired of you guys talking and I think we should just vote. We are in a vote, not a discussion. Hands up for yes. I'm seeing a lot of confused faces.
- All this will do is bring us back to discussion on all the amendments in the Winter Special Election Ballot Language. Hands up if you are still confused. It's okay to be confused, I'm more than down to continue the discussion.

Dali

- I'm confused on what is the vote for yes or no

Pinto

- The vote is to go back on what was just pro supposed to strike everything.

Dali

- So is that a vote for yes or no?

Pinto

- Yes is to Strike Senator Idens changes
- No is to keep the changes of Senator Iden
- Abstention is indifferent
- Everyone get it?

Motion to strike the Motion to amend article 7 to remove all proposed changes to the ballot all apart section 2 subsection A subsubsection L and subsection B clause A

Hand-vote to strike the motion to amend article 7

Yes- to strike the motion to amend Article VII: 11

No: 10

Abstain: 1

Motion passed at 10:42PM

Pinto

- It is still open

Motion to close  ***Senate Review Copy of Winter Special Election 2/18-2/28- Elections ...***

Ganesh - Wang

Call to question: Proxy Clara

Objection: Lala, Singh

Motion rescinded.

Pinto

- If we close this, we can go into a public forum and then come back.

Ganesh

- We need to let the man speak and leave it open

Pinto

- No, we need to be in a public forum. We can close it and come back
- There is nothing permanent until the agenda is accepted and we leave. We can go back and forth for however long we want.
- I am getting frustrated because I proposed something I think is fair. I think we need to hand vote on every single thing proposed. If we continue this discussion then we don't know what is 'illegal' or 'contentious'. I'm doing air quotes because it is alleged.

Zha

- Would the chair be open to a 5 minute recess?

Pinto

- Yeah, I want to pee. Senator Butler, would you like to rescind your motion?

Motion rescinded

Motion to enter a 5 minute recess

Iden - Wang

Call to question: Amin

Passed at: 10:45PM

Back at 11:14PM In AS Main

Pinto

- Try to enunciate and be louder here. I am waiting for my computer to turn on
- We are back in session at 11:14PM We are still in action for the Senate review copy of the Winter Elections Special Elections Supplement and Ballot Language. I suggest we all open it up and review. I propose we go one by one hand voting for approval on each amendment proposed which is bolded and in the case of section 2, subsection A, article E, it's in red.

Singh

- I'd like to invite council member Zha to the floor so he can give a presentation on the Winter Special Election Supplement

Pinto

- Sure

G-2) Old Business

[Debate Time Per Item- 30 Minutes]

H) Recess

Motion to enter a 15 minute recess at 9:01PM.

Wahidullah- Brinderson

Call to question - Kadah

Motion passed at 9:01PM.

Returned at 9:18PM

I-3) Unit Reports-

Chair Hansen (10:00PM)

- Sorry I wasn't there earlier, I have different meeting I have to go to
- Last week, the elections board voted on a precise timeline for the election, and we are going to stick to it.
- It'll be the last time I come to tell you that the timeline has shifted.
- So since President's day is Monday of next week, we will start this election Tuesday at 8am. It will then run until Friday at 1pm of week eight.
- We determine that, since this election is double the time of a typical election, the most equitable solution for the concept of extending the election is just to say there is no extensions.
- So other than that, if you guys want to submit pro-con statements, email me for these amendments.
- This won't be the language. This doesn't have to be as diplomatic. It can have an opinion. So email me for that
- Other than that, yeah, we start candidate registration for spring general election Monday of next week as well. That will go until week nine, and then the candidate orientation meeting is week nine.

- If any of you guys want to run again, there will be in a bulk mail and also on the elections board site, just need to fill it out on time.

Pinto

- Thank you for coming and giving your report
- I just wanted to touch base because you said something about bulk mail
- Will winter special election links and information also be sent by bulk mail?

Hansen

- Yes

Pinto

- Has that already been organized?

Hansen

- It's getting organized tomorrow by the election board

Pinto

- Okay.
- I just know that the timeline for those bulk email takes a while
- If you need support please let us know
- We are literally having a meeting with Margaret tomorrow
- But I believe that it will also go into the office of general administration services, so we'll have to touch base on that
- Anyone have any questions?

Ganesh

- That's from Tuesday the 18th to Friday the 28th?

Hansen

- Yes, 10 days

Ganesh

- And there are no extensions?

Hansen

- Yes, no extension
- We determined that since it's over double the time of our typical elections
- These ones, would have already discussed the issues with perpetual elections
- So we did determine that since it was over double the time that the hard deadline was the option

Ganesh

- Do you think it's possible to reach the voting threshold in these 10 days?

Hansen

- I think if everyone advocates for it as hard as you have been for the amendments, as you do for the students, we definitely have a good shot
- And I did send you guys that email explaining that me and my board can advertise the election
- But advertising the constitutional amendment is all the Senate, constitutionally

Pinto

- I still don't have access to my email?
- Any further questions?

KCSB(8:49PM)

- I'm Naila the general manager
- With me I have Ari, program director at UCSB
- We have a short slide deck for you guys
- About resealing our upper deck
- On top of storke communications buildings
- We need to take care of all the upkeep of the building and general maintenance

Ari

- He/him pronouns
- KCSB needs to reseal the upper deck
- In order to prevent further damages, water and rain
- This is done every 6-8 years and it is time once again
- We've been quoted for \$25,930, we would like to up it to 30k
- We'd like to round up to 30k just in case
- It's not coming from any student fees at all
- We just need approval to spend it

Naila

- KCSB exec comm approved money
- As per legal code, any expenditure over 15k needs to be approved by the senate
- Which is why we're here today
- Trying to approve 30k for building to be resealed
- Next few slides we have pictures of the leaking and damage
- Right now we've been pouring buckets under the leaks
- That's all we have for you today

Pinto

- Have these minutes been sent to AS minutes

Naila

- Believe they have, if not I can get on it
- I can email it to you right now if you need it

Pinto

- It's already linked here
- My suggestion is that someone motions for this to added under the to be approved folder in the AS minute drive
- Everytime that y'all have a meeting, it's within your legal duties to do so so we can maintain connection

Motion to add KCSB 2/7 Meeting Minutes to the AS To Be Approved folder.

Brinderson - Kadah

Called to question: Lankarani

Motion passed at 8:55PM.

Iden

- Don't know if this is just me but I don't have access to it
- Can I just ask them a couple of questions so we can add it to the minutes folder?

IVP Pinto

- It's already been approved
- Just share it with my email and i'll show it to the rest

Iden

- Do you guys by chance
- In the full 24-25 budget I don't find your capital reserves account, do you know off the top of your head the account number, how much money's in that?

Naila

- About 250, might be more than that
- Account number, don't have off top of my head

Iden

- Is that out of your general operating budget?

Naila

- Separate
- Our cell site budget comes from, we have the like phone companies at the top of store
- The university gets a certain amount of money from that
- We get a certain percentage

Pinto

- My email is as-internalvp@ucsb.edu

Iden

- Just share it with anyone with the link

Brinderson

- Thank you for sitting through our long meetings
- Sorry
- Viewed music with KCSB for a while, definitely wouldn't appreciate this media being damaged
- I know the storke area is pretty dinky

Naila

- We'll make it a point to send the minute after the next meeting

IVP

- Just send it to AS minutes, I'll review

Motion to add KCSB approval of 30k needed to reseal the upper deck of storke communication building from KCSB capital reserve.

Iden -Wang

Call to question - Kadah

Motion passed at 9:00PM.

I-4) President's Cabinet Report-

I-5) Executive Director's Report-

Holly Mayes, Assistant Director of Government Affairs, via Email sent to Executives and Senate, requested to add to minutes:

“Hi all,

I hope this message finds you well. Below is a letter drafted by Dr. Marisela Marquez & Holly Mayes with consultation from Miles Ashlock & Lili Hartounian. This memo has been reviewed by the remaining AS staff managers group. We will ask our AS Minute Takers to please enter this letter into the minutes of tonight’s Senate meeting.

I am writing to follow up on our meeting on Feb 5, 2025 with both the Division of Student Affairs and AS staff to discuss the review of the proposed ballot, as it receives review by the student Senate, AS staff members and the Election Board. Typically campus wide ballots include oversight at the campus and system-wide level and on this proposed Winter Special Election and proposed ballot, as Associated Students’ department head, I hold delegated responsibilities and authority from both the AS constitution and the university. My overall and specific review of the special election ballot has been offered to President Broadway and is consistent with AS Attorney General Carlson’s submitted reports to you, the Senate, over the last few weeks, and the President’s veto action.

My review and comment on the proposed ballot have also been offered to the Election Board. After our meeting, we provided an overview of the discussion to the AS Staff at large, and we have drafted this letter regarding those topics for inclusion into the Senate’s minutes on February 12, 2025.

As you are aware, the Senate has passed two resolutions seeking to amend the constitution, with the President Broadway veto of the proposed ballot, and the Senate’s overriding action, we are writing to engage more fully in this generative process with you in this process that we, as AS staff, not only champion but defend on your behalf every day. Amongst other actions one Senate resolution seeks to amend the makeup of the legislative branch, and the other seeks to amend the powers of two executives—the AS President and the AS Internal Vice President. As you may be aware, Senate staff, the Attorney General, and amendment authors met with Suzanne Perkin and Miles Ashlock (serving as policy and elections consultants from the Office of the Vice Chancellor for Student Affairs) and covered the second of the two topics—the revision of and expansion of executive power.

In particular, we discussed the following clauses:

Article VII Section 2A: The President shall:

Be the Chief Executive Officer of the Associated Students and shall coordinate and be responsible for the functioning of the A.S. Executive Director and all A.S. professional staff, and all A.S. operations, spaces, property, or other services.

Exercise full executive authority over the branch regarding personnel decisions for non-elected officers.

Article VII Section 2B: The Internal Vice President Affairs shall:

Serve as the representative of Associated Students in all internal affairs and have jurisdiction over all matters pertaining to A.S. Professional Staff/Human Resources, Auditing, Organization, and Management.

Be responsible for all financial decisions within the Association, including investments, bank accounts, and other fiduciary duties.

Based on and supported by the current AS Constitution, student participation is critical to the functioning of decision-making of personnel actions, facilities, services and programming in every action – including financial decisions. The decisions are privileged in every action by students managing their own accounts, via staff and student efforts via experiential learning opportunities. AS career staff champion all levels of access and participation throughout the association, the department of Associated Students.

In our meeting on 2/5/2025; Miles and Suzanne discussed with us whether or not the new constitutional changes would be enforceable and how this intersects with AS policy, UC policy, and Regental policy. What this means is that even if the measures are approved by the student voters/members, certain actions might not be possible. For example, topics discussed how University HR policy works, and how they have different and intersecting roles in managing personnel, job descriptions, employment actions, and other benefits awarded to UC employees, of which our professional staff is considered. Miles and Suzanne further explained that this Constitutional matter is one largely internal to the association; that they were providing advice and seeking clarity about the intention of the proposed changes; and that they were not blocking the proposed changes, but providing advice to the authors.

The AS election process is granted authority to collect and distribute mandatory student fees based on staff control points for these matters—personnel, operations, auditing, management, and fiduciary responsibility. We therefore, together, have an obligation to

not only the University and its policies, but to the former, current, and future members of the Association and our team of staff.

We honor, and respect that Associated Students is a student fee funded and student led department, and decisions are made by student leadership in alignment with their policies, our mission statement, and relevant policies. As current staff members, employed by the University of California Regents, the Santa Barbara campus, and the Division of Student Affairs, with appointments held with the Department of Associated Students; we also are in support of your efforts to have a better relationship with staff and to be more informed about internal policies and operations.

What we would like to propose is to follow the intent of the legislation to modify/change/expand and offer another way forward with career staff members. Staff members offer regular office hours for students to meet 1:1, and Marisela, Marissa, and Holly regularly attend Senate meetings and would happily answer any questions, provide reports, or provide further guidance and advice. Beyond this, we have campus and system-wide expertise to support the student government. We advise and coordinate efforts for example the AS ONE, the association wide retreat and would happily seek guidance and input from student leaders on workshops or activities to include in this and additional yearlong programming. We also founded and shared in the AS/SEAL annual leadership conference.

By virtue of this letter we propose an open forum or a town hall to discuss these and other ideas and resolve these next steps together. We also propose to convene follow up sessions based on each area of expertise and concern, to allow individual staff to go more in depth and to allow students to hear from each area or individual directly.

All the best,

Holly Mayes”

End of Email Letter

I-6) Executive Officers’ Report -
I-7) Senator Representative Reports-
I-8) Administrative Reports-

J) Committee Report
J-1) Standing Committee on Finance -
J-2) Standing Committee on Outreach -
J-3) Standing Committee on Liaison -
J-4) Standing Committee on Advocacy -

J-5) Group Project and other Temporary Committee Reports-

K) Minutes and Allocations:

▣ To Be Approved

Motion to approve minutes and allocations in the to be approved folder

Butler - Wang

Call to question: Amin

Passed at: 10:05PM

L) Discussion Items

Presidential Veto Override (Winter Special Election)

Pinto

- Just for context, the Senate held an emergency email vote to override the Presidential veto, which vetoed our motion to approve the ballot language for the Winter Special Election.
- I invited both of them to the podium to talk a little bit more about this decision and any possible implications

President Broadway

- I am going to provide some clarity on why I issued the veto.
- I vetoed the entire ballot on Friday night because of the constitutional amendment, Article Seven, section two.
- These amendments are consequential in regards to university and UC Regent policy with an extreme likelihood of being unenforceable
- While the UC regents authority is not explicitly mentioned in the AS constitution, they supersede the AS constitution, bylaws, and standing policies in the event that there are conflicts.
- This authority stems from UC policy 3301
- The UC policies that establish campus organizations and their underlying corporations
- We derive our power and authority from DC regents and these proposed amendments are asking them to move back.
- Now, I would like to address the statement that was sent out on Saturday, February 8th that was signed on to by multiple senators
- In the Email stated that there has been no consultation whatsoever from the president regarding the posed amendments, this veto was the first we heard on the matter.
- I would like to highlight the duties and powers of the Attorney General
- In Article eight, section one of legal code, the purpose of the attorney general is to prosecute and conduct suits involving violations of the AS UCSB legal code and to give advice on questions of the ASC CSV legal code when required by the

president and executive officers or when requested by an ASUCSB appointed Officer.

- With that being said, the Attorney General was present for the past two Senate meetings, and he was here to give legal advice and recommendations on the amendments that were on the winter special election ballot.
- I was the one who requested the Attorney General to attend the Senate meetings to give legal advice on the specific amendments in my absence, as per legal code.
- Next statement, Senate leaders took the time to attend a short notice meeting with Student Affairs this very Wednesday where it was made apparent that the administration had no intention of blocking the election from taking place due to legal concerns.
- On Wednesday, Senate leaders did, in fact, meet with Student Affairs representatives, along with the executive director, the Attorney General, and the Solicitor General.
- It was made very clear that the proposed amendments were illegal, but Senate leaders made it appear that there was no room for compromise, which led to me vetoing the ballot.
- the executive director, Attorney General, my head of staff and myself met with Student Affairs on both Monday and Tuesday of this week to discuss the illegality of the proposed amendments
- Another statement, we are told that the election ballot in its entirety is legal in nature, with no relevant UC, state or federal policy cited to support the claim
- AS UCSB executive officer Section F states, the President is empowered to veto legislation of the Senate within two business days of its adoption, the President is then responsible for submitting a statement explaining the reasons for the veto within three business days it would pass legislation.
- Typically, the process of the veto looks like once the President issues the veto, within two days of the legislation passing, then the President and Attorney General will present the reasoning and evidence in depth to the Senate at the next Senate meeting.
- Once that is received, the Senate then may vote to overturn the veto.
- Due to the Senate overturning the veto instantly, there was no given time for the Attorney General and myself to give you all the evidence of the policy mentioned in the original veto
- Another statement, it seems possible, that this veto is not motivated by a potential legality, but rather policy differences at the executive branch,
- Lack of communication from executives, furthermore concerned us that this veto did not originate with student leaders themselves.
- As stated before, under the Constitution, outlining the veto process, required to specify the reasons for the veto at the next convening of the Senate. If the attorney general and I were planning to come to the meeting, we shall bring all research and policies to the Senate at today's meeting
- The Senate did not provide me with this opportunity to further explain my point of view.

- Again, a requirement of the veto process due to the swift and returning of the veto itself.
- I would also like to note that the Senate has previously set a precedent to connect with any entity or position that would be affected by a legal code change or constitutional amendment to gather input or insights as to whether it will be beneficial to the position.
- That was not the case for this amendment
- Ever since the constitutional amendment was made public, it had been a concern to me, so I requested more information from the Attorney General on the legality of the amendment.
- When we researched and found it was illegal, I directed the Attorney General to go to the Senate meetings and talk to the Senate about possibly amending the amendment or deleting them entirely.
- Both options were refused
- The Attorney General and I have been meeting and have been in communication every step of the way.
- I would like to remind you all once again that the attorney general is a representative of the president.
- You have all requested that if I cannot be present myself, then the Attorney General will represent me instead.
- And that's exactly what happened with the past two meetings.
- And the last statement from the email that I would like to address is, let us be clear, the President's decision does not object to any singular amendment. It is a blant veto for the entire ballot preventing an election from taking place, unilaterally blocking an election ability of the student body who elected us all and pays our salaries to ultimately decide this matter.
- The reason I veto the entire ballot is because the entire ballot was voted on and approved.
- Therefore the only option was to veto the entire ballot.
- We cannot move forward with an election that contains false and illegal information, which would be put out to the entire student body
- If we did move on with the election, it could not be reinforced.
- If that happens, it would ruin the integrity of the association as a whole, because students would then question elections moving forward.
- And we already struggle to reach the 20% threshold for elections.
- We as an association strive for transparency with the student body, so, pursuing an election that has illegal constitutional amendments is wrong, and I hope you all can see that now

Attorney General Carlson

- Thank you President Broadway for your words
- Senators at the table when someone is addressing you, whispers are sometimes considered rude
- This has been a very exciting process. Without it, we wouldn't have noticed some key things.

- The first being that this was an incredible test and experiment of what self governance by students really means and it really highlights the fact that the same resources that are keeping us down are the same ones that kind of perpetuate our existence.
- For all the senators at the table, we derive our authority as the Associated Students, derive its authority as a delegation of capital from policy 3301, set out by the UC regents.
- There is a campus, a university, that does have a special exception to this policy. That campus is UC Berkeley.
- They won this exception in the 70s. Immense protests. There's nothing stopping us. Ultimately, if we wanted to use these amendments, if we wanted to continue on this kind of path of self governance, and let everything that we want to change be 100% legal, we could direct our resources and a lot of the enthusiasm that we had fighting each other towards trying to carve out our own special exception to the policy.
- The three largest components that were contested were clauses that included staff, property, and banks, and language surrounding those three subjects.
- They're not necessarily contested for obvious reasons, like, Oh, why can't the President decide the bank? Well, that's every single student fee collected dollar that we have that goes to one bank
- Montecito Bank and Trust
- It's actually a very important part of knowing what your responsibilities are by knowing where all your assets are tied up.
- I hope that's something that we could learn.
- Another thing that we learned is how property is managed
- The Pardell Center is not just 50/50 Associated Students and the landlord
- It's a combination of our campus lawyers reviewing those types of contracts, and us making sure that we have enough money to pay that rent, and then the landlord is able to come back to collect any money
- And as for staff, this is something that I think is actually very important
- I've been an Associated Student for three years.
- Two of those years, I served as a senator.
- For one of those years, I got to serve on the executive branch.
- I got to communicate with very, very, very few staff members.
- And on many occasions when I reached out to the entire department as a whole, asking staff members to contribute, test on new things, new changes we could make for the Rules Committee to enhance trainings
- There were directives, instructing staff members to ignore those requests.
- Staff members, just like us, are kept in a situation of sometimes we don't have all the info, sometimes we don't have all the information at our disposal, and sometimes it's really just up to us to kind of look each other in the eye and make sure that we have all the resources at our disposal.
- I want to share everything I have learned over the last three years with you
- For the senators that have met with me and have worked with me, I try to do that.

- It's part of my last ditch effort and hiring a bunch of kids in my office, first year, second years and third years, so that next year in the Associated Students, we have some semblance of continuity on certain projects.
- You guys have done a remarkable job, and I do regret sincerely that I think all of you are CC on these injunctive letters and relief things like that, to have to kind of go through some of these like hoops and jump some of these hurdles.
- The reason why I fought so passionately on the articles presented is because I know firsthand how difficult it is for staff to kind of work together with students from the government perspective, not from a BCU's standpoint, not from a student,
- We really do a remarkable job as an association. And there's some strange breakdown once it hits the government.
- I had the privilege and pleasure of being able to interview IVPs of the past, from 2016 2017 presidents from 2018 going back to 2014 we're still actually living in our community.
- And I got to hear from them firsthand how they ran into pretty much the same problems we do right now.
- There is so much room for us to kind of collaborate, work together, to execute on those amendments, if we just went about it in a way that uses energy efficiently.
- We could carve out our own exceptions in policy 3301, we could try.
- We could build off on it for years and years and years.
- Nothing's ever going to be done in a year
- In 2003 the building schematics that I am using to lobby UCSB administration to try and build a new building for AS operations in the future. It was written and finally approved in 2003
- I only have those plans because every single year, some staff member or some student kept that project alive. And now we have a golden opportunity with the new chancellor coming in, potentially with the new president for the UC, there's discussions of these system wide changes potentially in regards to how to transition from quarter to semester system.
- I don't really know the truth of that.
- If we're about to embark upon it as a UC wide switch up. Why can't we take advantage of that and direct our executive director to open up some type of investigative or some type of preliminary committee equipped with both students and staff.
- the fact that we would in order for us to fix KCSB, for example, all the hoops and hurdles having to go through the university, having to get everything checked by their engineers, having facilities and maintenance up charge us just because they want to and just because they can.
- This is something that was really important, and, in my opinion, incredibly profound, and something that I've learned seeing everybody kind of debate and discuss everything at the table. And I just really hope that if we can communicate what we really, really want and what we're hoping to succeed by making changes. I, as someone and my peers, as President Broadway, would be able to help with

that, in guiding you through loopholes or directing you to boundaries that we do need to push

- If Regents as a whole are always going to be stepping in our way, let's take the fight to them.
- So I really hope that ameliorate some of the tensions
- The text as it currently stands, I trust you guys to make the right choice, no matter which way that is
- I serve at the pleasure of the President

Pinto

- Are you open to questions?

Carlson

- I am and I don't mind answering questions

Claro

- Im curious about the contents of the letter you sent out on February 11 on the basis of standing
- To my understanding
- For an injunctive relief to be granted, there are certain conditions that have to be met
- Do you, as an attorney general, think they've been met?
- And what are those conditions?

Carlson

- If I'm not mistaken
- And again, for the record, my major is chemistry, Bs, with a master's in material science that's functional in organic and quantum materials
- My understanding from an outsider looking in, is that you need to satisfy some type of element of tininess, right?
- Can you prove that irreparable harm will occur if injunctive relief is not issued or ordered by the court, and there's an element of standing, and I'll kind of work backwards from that, if you want me to. I know those yes or no question, but if you like me to, I can continue to expand

Claro

- Please

Carlson

- The element of standing that we have is that as any student, any fee paying undergraduate, is allowed to file adjacent cases. Not just an elected official, any fee paying student is.
- So in terms of standing, that's immediately met
- In terms of deciding the courts standing, it's a little bit of a different story
- At the end of the day, the straight two plain TLDR answers, I suppose, is that the executive branch attempted to provide that check and balance on the legislative branch and its operation
- And with that being parked aside, the Judicial Council reserves and in section one, the Right to judicial authority, as well as enumerated in the immediately following section, I think maybe two immediately following sections, to ultimately review cases in "original jurisdiction as they deem necessary".

- And so there's still some element of once that body decides that they have the right to hear the case presented to them upon in terms of constitutional question
- I think President Broadway and I mentioned earlier, is that the violation does not necessarily exist in our policies, the legal code as a whole.
- It exists as a means of being in conflict with policy 301
- And if we're going to begin, starting advocating towards that branch, to me as DAG, not as the president here, but as the DAG, the correct course of action is either one, do not engage in that policy yet, until you figured out a way to ultimately make it legal or find clever avenues by which you can advance those interests. Which is why, to me, it was always so confusing. I never really understood why the amendments were being fielded in the first place.
- They were just increases in executive power and authority. You're codifying the responsibility of staff, banks, property in individuals, and not in the Senate, as it currently stands.
- And so in that kind of context, changing how we're coming up with contracts. Say renting out to Pardell center, who do you think signed that? That is the responsibility of property. It's already implied constitutionally that it exists there, and to redefine it under the President's authority is sometimes misgiving.
- That can be a constitutional question that would be great for the Judicial Council here, but in terms of the case that we wanted to bring and advocate specifically for injunctive relief, was not the question of policy, because, again, you guys reserve the right to also deliberate on that, but to make sure and to prioritize the fact that the association is kind of staying true to mission, so that we can make good on what is being stated.

Claro

- Do you think this is a procedural issue or a policy content issue
- What is the crux of this argument?
- Because I don't get it.
- You're arguing that irreparable harm has been caused, which is one of the conditions that have to be met when it comes to injunctive relief.
- How is this harm?
- Describe what the harm is, and is it irreparable?
- I just don't get how a procedural amendment is being voted on.
- So the process is there and the democratic process is ongoing.

Carlson

- When it comes to injunctive relief, preliminary injunctions are not designed to stop irreparable harm.
- Irreparable harm is to prevent irreparable harm.
- And the argument that we put forth to the Senate as well as Judicial Council and I have done this, is that the irreparable harm comes from students voting on issues that are unenforceable.
- Some people look at AS and say, AS doesn't have any power. That's relatively a pretty minority.
- Most people would recognize that AS plans all kinds of events. They fund a lot of the different services that I use on campus.

- If students were to see that the government of the Associated Students were to try to introduce an amendment or initiate some type of change, and they realize that, oh, they couldn't make good on it, that causes damage to the institution's egos and credibility.
- It's the same reason why the Senate hasn't really advertised why DDS hasn't really been implemented yet.
- It's you guys don't really want to be held accountable by the student body to say that we can't do those good things.
- It's the same reason why we're still buying coke for Senate meetings.
- We don't simply have the capacity to enforce those things, and we didn't advertise that we have all these power and able to do all these things
- We can try to execute on very specific policy goals, on very specific services. That right there, to me, is the irreparable harm
- That to me is everybody outside looking in and saying why would I ever vote to support student fees when I don't even have a say in how it's going to be applied.
- Students will wonder why would I authorize lock-ins?
- That type of decision, that top down decision that we believe is highly likely in the event of an election moving forward
- To me, as the person who signed that letter, is where the irreparable harm comes from
- And wanting to come around that and circumvent that before all of a sudden, an administrator says, Hey, we're not going to certify your election.
- That hasn't happened in decades.
- That would be completely unprecedented, and would be a complete tragedy for the association

Claro

- I still don't understand
- I don't think you've demonstrated the right standard for it
- Because at most, your standard for seeing irreparable harm is speculative
- There really is no tangible damage that could be done in this case
- If you could just provide some context when it comes tangible damage
- I still don't get that

Carlson

- That's a really important thing to bring up proxy.
- I think that conversation would best be suited for the judicial council in terms of their deliberations.
- I apologize to my other Senate colleagues in the room about this back and forth
- I'd love to hear any additional questions
- I understand that the answer is not satisfactory, but depending on the events of tonight, we will just see what happens

Lala

- Thank you both for coming here before going on some level of technicality
- I just wanted to say I respect both of you as individuals and as executives as well
- I think this meeting and this exchange is so much better than what has preceded

- This is another bad miscommunication case study in that we introduce ourselves, we know our rationale fully, and then we only know the negative aspects of what we don't like about what the other party is saying.
- So I know that the mindset and the set of sentiments I was in. The quick veto overrides was simply because there was a very quick deadline that we needed to meet if we want to pursue the election
- And frankly, what hurt me was that it was the entire ballot that was vetoed and not the specific amendment.
- Although I completely understand that was the sole option that you had on the table.
- In more practical terms, I am fully willing at this point to get rid of the problematic aspect of the amendment.
- And I really appreciate what the Attorney General said, and knowing you personally, I think it's fully mean it, that we really have a path here in front of us if we decide to fight with and not against one another, of carving out for ourselves more autonomy than we currently have, and to set up that infrastructure.
- To be frank, I think both sides have a part of the blame for why this one went down the way it did.
- I think we could have communicated a whole lot better.
- I think once things are set out with the public, they're set out in an email chain, there's a certain cascading aspect to it.
- They get out of your control, and you feel that you're not responding to the other party, but now you're responding to this perceived audience that you're now performing to, rather than just communicating with the other side.
- So frankly, I apologize for my role in that, and I personally, make it fully transparent, I really want this election to go through.
- There are certain things on it that I think are really important for the student body's voice to be heard on but I think you've been heard on this part, and I appreciate your persistence and then guidance.

Butler

- Any other questions for the president and attorney general?

Iden

- Do you feel a lot of these changes could potentially be pursued in legal code and the bylaws alternatively?
- Apart of the ones regarding physical authority for offices

Carlson

- One thing that's presented in Article Seven, I believe, is the President versus presiding officer argument that we had earlier in here.
- That one could be something that the Senate looks at, that one would be something that would be not able to be addressed by bylaws or standing policy changes.
- Coming up with standing policies, I realized, is actually a very significant approach to just kind of codifying the Senate considering implementing certain things this way.

- I think as the Rules Committee, one of the things that I have been looking at is trying to confront the issue of staff not being able to work with students and not feeling like doing so.
- And that's not saying like staff don't feel like that. I would love to invite staff members to speak with me on that.
- As the rule committee is trying to introduce bylaw changes that invite staff and students to have dinner together during spring quarter when students are elected, so that they can meet and be introduced to all the people that are there to support and advise them
- It's very simple, very procedural things that we could fix
- And it's just getting people in a room
- I've been here for three weeks speaking on these amendments and saying that they kind of unenforceable
- I have been here and I could answer questions, so please ask
- So we have to veto and then it's unanimously overturned in less than 24 hours
- This is taking on the same tone as the previous
- So in terms of bylaws and standing policies we are working on stuff, not everything needs to be constitutional
- Constitutional is you identified the parties are responsible for certain things and you explore all of that in the bylaws and standing policies
- There's so much possibility, just don't do anything that is blatantly unconstitutional

Chairship yielded back at 9:52PM

Pinto

- How long have we been in this discussion?

Butler

- 40 minutes or so

Pinto

- Do we feel like we are done with this discussion?
- Thank you guys

Attorney General Recommendation on AS/EOP Grant - Catastrophic Events Criteria

Pinto

- Do you want to talk about that? It was never properly addressed.

Carlson

- If I remember correctly, EOP is something that Ming Jun and I had worked on a bit when I was a senator. EOP considers citizenship as one of its grant funding criteria.

Zha

- The problem with EOP is not with citizenship. The EOP does not actually approve the qualifications check of anybody under federal or state financial aid, which means if you are a Cal Grant recipient, you automatically become an EOP recipient. There is no other option.
- The problem is international students are not eligible for any federal or state financial aid. For us, EOP is completely funded by student fees.

- From the bottom of my heart, I appreciate the Attorney General's work on it.

Carlson

- Thank you council member. It is partially funded by our student fees. The emergency grants, for us, there is another mechanism we can administer emergency aid for students impacted by disaster, and it's called the Financial Crisis Response Team. It's more centralized and confidential. The amount they give out sums to thousands of dollars. To my knowledge, it is not factored into the schools end of year calculator for financial aid while EOP grant is publically available and you have to report it in as a tax.
- Those things have been weighing on my mind so my recommendation to the Senate is going more fully into the Financial Crisis Response Team if we were going to pursue a route for the wildfires, with the understanding of deferring to the senate for that decision. So to make use of the recommendation but feel free to come up with whatever you want. That is why I wanted to explain the Financial Crisis Response Team over EOP.

Pinto

- Any questions or comments?

Proxy Clara

- I work at basic needs and I agree with that sentiment.
- Financial Crisis Response Team would do better for wildfires because EOP is more academic support for other types of students on campus

Pinto

- Any other questions?

Carlson

- I was asked if it would be sufficient for the Senate to make a motion on it just like expressing. I just don't know what the motion language would be. I imagine I included something in my email.

Pinto

- I have been locked out of my office for the past week so I have not had access to my email.

Carlson

- As well.

Pinto

- When was this sent?

Carlson

- I'm not sure. I will look

Pinto

- If you could forward that to me, that would be great.
- That closes out the discussion.

M) Appointments

TOCOMM

International Coordinator- Chuyuan Zhu

QTBPOC Coordinator- Layla Goodarzi

UndocuQT Coordinator- Kaylin Gasca-Rivera
QT Disabilities Coordinator- Neena Mordie Grewal
Emerging Leader Commissioners- Maitri Allani and Anastasia Grizzell
Special Projects Commissioners - Finnick Gonzalez and Summer Tucker

Motion to add the resignation of Senator Kadah as Senate Liaison for elections board
Kadah - Wahidulla
Call to question: Wang
Passed at 10:06PM

Motion to add the following appointments onto the agenda:
CODE
Co - chair - Maya Clark

SCORE
Conference Director- Kharys Ebert-Oats
Finance Chair - Meg Chang
Kassandra Garcia-Serrano - Publicity/Marketing Chair

LIAISON COMMITTEE
Andrew Le - Member At Large
Anthony Alonzo - Member At Large
Dina Hassan - Member At Large
RESIGNATION of Shayna Hawk as Member at Large from Liaison Committee and

add the appointments of Andrew Le - Member At Large
Anthony Alonzo - Member At Large
Dina Hassan - Member At Large

Food Bank Committee

- *Kimberly Lopez (Vice-Chair)*
- *Sadia Qadri (Treasurer)*
- *Mia Lopez (Public Affairs Coordinator)*
- *Melanie Mendoza (Public Affairs Coordinator)*
- *Kamelia Kaveah (Public Affairs Coordinator)*

Ganesh - Suuck
Call to question: Wang
Passed at: 10:09PM

Motion to add the resignation of Hannah Whiteman as Nutrition Coordinator of COSWB onto the agenda

Lala- Wahidulla
Call to question: Chaudhry
Passed at 10:10PM

Motion to add the appointment of Sofia Rodriguez as Nutrition Coordinator of COSWB

Lala - Wahidullah
Call to question: Clara
Passed at 10:11PM

Motion to add the appointment of Leah Khorsandi as Senate Liaison for Elections Board

Butler - Lala
Call to question: Gerson
Passed at 10:11PM

Motion to Motion to Bundle and approve all appointments and resignations

Butler - Wang
Call to question: Malhis
Passed at 10:12PM

N) Remarks

O) Adjournment

Motion to adjourn at 12:27
Malhis - Chaudhry
Objection- Iden
Objection Rescinded
This motion passed at 12:28am

****Meeting adjourned at 12:28pm****