

<u>11/13 Senate Agenda</u> Associated Students

Zoom Link

This meeting was called to order at 6:30 P.M. in the Flying Room A by Internal Vice President Pinto.

Minutes/Actions recorded by: Sydney Arbolado, Liliana Dritz, Melina Magno, Summer Tucker, CALL TO ORDER by Açúcar Pinto, Internal Vice President at 6:30 PM.

A) MEETING BUSINESS

"Before we begin, we call for students to acknowledge that the lands that the University of California locations were built upon were expropriated & founded upon exclusions and erasures of Indigenous people's human rights throughout California. UCSB is built on Chumash Land, specifically that of the non-federally recognized Barbareno tribe. As an association that pushes the student bodies' voices to the forefront, we should aim to make strides past just acknowledgment to those who continue to fight for their right to be recognized & respected as the original stewards of this land. I ask you all to dedicate time & care to personal education & to advocate for justice alongside Indigenous peoples."

A-1) Roll Call

Name	Attendance	Position
Dalia Gerson	Present, Late	Off-Campus Senator
Alexa Butler	🔽 Present, 🔆 Early 🕝	Letters & Science Senator & Second President Pro Tempore
Carly Lankarani	🔽 Present, 🔆 Early 🕝	Off-Campus Senator
Taylor Iden	✓ Present, ★ Early →	Off-Campus Senator & Advocacy Committee Chair
Enri Lala	✓ Present, ★ Early →	Off-Campus Senator & Outreach Committee Vice-Chair
Leiya Kadah	🔽 Present, 🔆 Early 🕝	Off-Campus Senator
Yasmine Suuck	✓ Present, ★ Early →	Off-Campus Senator & Liaison Committee Vice-Chair
Levi Corlew	🔽 Present, 🔆 Early 🕝	Off-Campus Senator
Leah Khorsandi	🔽 Present, 🌞 Early 🕝	College of Letters & Science Senator

Name	Attendance	Position
Mariana Rosillo	Present, Late	University-Owned Off Campus Senator
Ella Yu	🔽 Present, 🌞 Early 🔹	International Senator
Isabella McClintock	🔽 Present, 🔆 Early 🕝	Transfer Senator
Alejandra Martinez	🔽 Present, 🔆 Early 🕝	College of Letters & Science Senator & Outreach Committee Chair
Dominic Wang	← Excused •	Off-Campus Senator
Lily Habas	🔽 Present, 🔆 Early 🕝	College of Creative Studies (CCS) Senator
Paolo Brinderson	Present, * Early *	Off-Campus Senator
Eemaan Wahidullah	🔽 Present, 🔆 Early 🕝	Off-Campus Senator
Jasmine Amin	✓ Present, ※ Early •	Off-Campus Senator
Daniyal "Dan" Siddiqui	🔽 Present, 🔆 Early 🕝	Off-Campus Senator & First President Pro-Tempore
Noura Elkhatib	Present, 🌞 Early 🕝	College of Letters & Science Senator
Sandhya "Sandy" Ganesh	Present, 🌞 Early 🕝	International Senator & Liaison Committee Chair
Aryaman Singh	Present, 🔆 Early 🕝	College of Engineering (CoE) Senator & Finance Committee External Chair

A-2) Excused Absences

Motion to excuse Senator Taylor Iden at 8:30 pm

Lala-Lankarani

Call to question- Wahidullah

Motion passed at 6:32pm

Motion to excuse Senator Ganesh at 9 pm Kadah–Elkhatib Call to question - Lankarani Motion passed at 6:33pm

Motion to excuse Senator Kadah at 9pm Lankarani-Wahidullah Call to question- Kadah Motion passed at 6:34 pm

Motion excuse Senator Rosillo at 8:51 pm Brinderson- Lankarani Call to question - Kadah Motion passed at 8:51pm

Motion to excuse Senator Butler at 9:04PM.

Martinez - Lankarani

Call to question - Kadah

This motion passed at 9:04PM.

A-3) Acceptance of Proxies

Motion to bundle and accept all proxies Kadah–Ganesh Call to question— Siddiqui Motion passed 6:34pm

Senator Gerson arrived at 6:34pm

- B) External Reports
- B-1) Chancellor's Representative-
- B-2) UCPD Representative-
- B-3) GSA Representative-
- B-4) University Center Governance Board Representative-
- B-5) IVCSD Representative-
- C) New Business Moved here 6:33

■ A Bill To Reform the Bill and Resolution Numbering System

Ganesh - Suuck

Ganesh

- Very simple bill enacting reform on the numbering system
- The numbering system is not efficient so I think this bill is very helpful

Suuck

- Encourage you all to look at it

Siddiqui

I wanted to thank the authors for writing the bill after the review from last meeting, it was really well written

- Just going to make it easier to digitally track every single piece of legislation that we introduce. We are on the path of digitization of all aspects of the association. This is just one small part of it
- Thank you to the authors for writing it, it is great

Motion to table A Bill To Reform the Bill and Resolution Numbering System in ASUCSB

for 1 week

Butler-

Parliamentary Zha

- Since this is not a ballot change it can be passed this week

Motion rescinded - Butler

Motion to pass A Bill To Reform the Bill and Resolution Numbering System in ASUCSB

Kadah- Siddiqui

Call to question - Lankarani

Parliamentary Zha

 According to standing policy changes we made last week, all legislation change has to be a recorded vote

Yes- 22

No-0

Abstain-0

Motion passed at 7:36pm

■ A Resolution In Support of UCSB Dining Hall Reform

Kadah - Martinez - Lala

Kadah

- I think our student advocate general touched upon why it is important to pass this right now

- As many of u saw in resolution, many of the efforts have been trying to be passed by many different student leaders and organizations on this campus since 2006
- I think it is important to reaffirm that the senate stands for that, the fact that food is a basic human right, and that no one should experience food insecurities
- I am really happy to be working on something that I believe is so important on campus

Martinez

- This has been in works for a while, as we said we have been going through finance, trying to get as much input as we could from SAG
- Of course they have done so much already, SAG and student committee, they have been working for a long time
- I think as outreach i think outreach, the committee as whole are so proud to see that this is being taken a step in the right direction
- Genuinely want to thank SAG Wang, and basic needs committee, Senator Kadah, and Senator Lala

Lala

- I want to primarily thank Kadah, there was a reason that we kept delaying this week after week, we wanted to make as precise as possible because this does matter
- This is not an empty statement, but something that will be referenced by student leaders who are fighting on our side, our behalf, as an association and student body, and by those who this will be presented to and enforcing that meals unused can be given to others for example.
- This is very very important

Siddiqui

- Thank you to authors for writing this
- I remember last year as a proxy, SAG Wang was working hard on this. It has been phenomenal to see it become a pilot program
- I think this was probably the biggest achievement of the 74th Senate in total.
- My question for the authors is that I read in resolution that multiple times you guys reference SAG, AS UCSB President, and other leaders. I feel like I've only heard of the SAG office and basic needs committee and the work that they have done. I was wondering from my own curiosity are the

authors aware of contributions other student leaders have done and made to this project

Lala

- We mentioned SAG and office of the president specifically because in the meeting with SAG Wang, he mentioned those who being key in the negotiations with administration, specially with dining hall and housing department at the beginning of this academic year
- Does that answer your question?

Pinto

- I recommend someone motions to pass this resolution

Motion to pass A Resolution In Support of UCSB Dining Hall Reform

Lankarani - Elkatib

Call to question- Wahidullah

Siddiqui

- This has to be a hand vote

Parliamentary Zha

- This counts as type of legislation change so it is a hand vote

Iden

 Going back to one of the proposed amendments it was that the presiding officer of the Senate shall interpret all motions that fall under- basically any motion made will be interpreted as a motion for a hand vote is that anymotion made is a motion for a hand vote

Zha

- After call to question then chair can initiate hand vote procedure

Hand vote to pass A Resolution In Support of UCSB Dining Hall Reform

Yes - 22

Abstain- 0

Motion passed at 7:44pm

■ A Resolution Reaffirming ASUCSB's Commitment to Its Immigrant Student Populati...

Lala - Martinez

Lala

- This was started 7 days ago at this very meeting inspired by the attorney general and our speech to make sure that our voices are being heard
- Not necessarily on partisan issues but more on a moral level and just the recommitment to our students, specially those most vulnerable right now
- No matter what the societal and discourse level is around us, we will hold strong to the values that makes us who we are
- This is in tandem with resolution reaffirming AS UCSB commitment to transgender, non-binary, and LBTQ students
- It was inspired by the very clear feeling from 8 years ago where we were in a very similar position. Again at the level of society and discourse when I was just a few months "off the boat" as they call it. When I had just come to the USA and I felt that level of hostility and of question even as a kid of am I not wanted here.
- The thought that there might be many students on this campus can be feeling like this right now, I think it's not an empty statements to say something as basic as we recognize the commitment of our student population no matter what their immigrant journey are
- We reaffirm that we will support them and give them the vast resources of this association.

Martinez

- Yeah, just as Lala said very well, I feel like this resolution is very important to a
 lot of us and I think it resonates as we attempt to solidify our position and show
 solidarity with our students who worked so hard to get here
- They have to deal with all of the procedures, the governmental, all the school, university, the fact that they cannot access general resources that are available to every other student working just as hard as them
- Each student works so hard on their own
- I think this resolution is really important

Kadah

- I think it's very important to reaffirm students on campus of our stance as a Senate
- Personally from someone who had a lot of people affected by the Muslim ban

- With so many populations on this campus, this is hand in hand with the other piece of legislation
- It is very important that we reaffirm these stances for those communities on campus that are vulnerable

Siddiqui

- I wanted to thank both authors for writing this
- A lot of you may not know this, when I was born in the USA, I am a citizen because of birthright, meaning that both of my parents were green card holders at the time
- Now parents are full citizens, and my entire immediate family are US or Canadian citizens, so everything is fine
- The larger point being that I've been able to do a lot of impressive and amazing things, from being in this position, to serving on the committee to pick our next Chancellor, but i have been able to enjoy a lot of privileges and fundamental privileges despite my identity
- It is incredibly scary to hear planned proposals of getting rid of birthright citizenship, knowing if that were the case I likely would not be sitting here today
- I wanted to thank the authors for writing resolution, you not only represent me but the entire immigrant population

Wahidullah

- I wanted to say thank you so much
- I think it is supper essential for creating a welcoming campus
- This is definitely a great step
- My family was also really affected by the Muslim ban
- If you need any support let me know

Khorsandi

- I wanted to say thank you so much, I am the daughter of immigrants
- It's a very different experience growing up in an immigrant household. It definitely that plays a big role in how my college experience has been so far, specially since my parents didn't go to college in the US
- I think any support we can give students who are navigating that
- I am not a first generation college student, so it is a little different, but it is very difficult
- College here is confusing so it is important that we support this

Elkhatib

- I want to express my support
- I think this is very needed as said by senator Kadah
- This is important for immigrants and POC who have been target by anti immigration policies

Singh

- Thank you Lala and Martinzez
- I'm also an immigrant, I came to this country 2 years ago. It was so scary, I didn't know anyone in this entire country.
- Seeing a resolution like this is really nice

Proxy Tamari

- As an immigrant i support this, from someone who came here and didn't know what college process was like, me and many others would appreciate this

Pinto

- Further questions?

Proxy Claro

- I wanted to say I'm thankful of this resolution
- This isn't just for the immigrants within the population but also for future students as well

Motion to pass A Resolution Reaffirming ASUCSB's Commitment to Its Immigrant Students

Ganesh/Butler

Call to question- Kadah

Yes: 22

No: 0

Abstain- 0

Motion passed at 7:54pm

🖪 A Resolution Reaffirming ASUCSB's Commitment to Its Trans/Non-Binary/LGBTQIA...

Butler - Martinez - Wang - Lankarani

Butler

- I am honored that both of these resolutions were brought within a week from a student coming to talk to us about changes needed and stances needed to made on our campus

- I am very glad were both bill and resolution based senate because we do have values, stances, and this is one of them
- I am happy that this bill articulates all communities being , trans, nonbinary, LGBTQI+ and bipoc communities
- This is a very hostile time and people are very unsafe
- Although this resolution isn't going to change the world, I would hope that it would allow students at UCSB to feel recognized by their Senate

Martinez

- As a queer latina I've had the most beautiful opportunity since coming here
- I have worked with the trans and queer transmission, and the organization familia de colores and I feel like intersectionality itself is beautiful, which is why we added every single aspect that we could
- LGBTQI+ community is so beautiful and so diverse
- It is horrible these conditions that so many students feel like they don't have to right to live, be themselves, have dreams and aspirations
- I really hope it's not only a representation of how we feel here at Senate, but also I want this to be a first step, I want to be able to do so much more, following up on this and other projects because communities are important here to nurture and grow

Lankarani

- Hi, I want to thank fellow Senators who helped author this resolution
- As a non-binary student, I felt very affirmed in my identity in Senate and university as whole
- I want to extend my support to the LGBTQIA+ community not only as a nonbinary person but also as a student. I just want our students to know they have a space here regardless of their identity
- We aren't going anywhere, despite all these threats from members of our government, or even just people who have very narrow world view, we have each other, we have community, we are not going anywhere

Pinto:

- We are now in discussion

Kadah

- Similarly to a lot of my comments on last resolution, I would like to thank the authors and introducing this piece of legislation to us

- The villainization of these groups as we see in the media, casually across the country, I think it is very important that we reaffirm our commitment to these communities to make sure they feel safe and recognized on this campus
- Thank you again

Wahidullah

- I wanted to thank authors, it is awesome
- In general these resolutions are super important to affirm
- Feel like it's very important resolution
- Thank you again

Suuck

- Kind of going along with what everyones saying, I think it is inspiring to serve in the Senate with so many other people who are part of these communities.
- I think having a position of power, it doesn't happen very frequently
- In election cycle was first time a trans member of the house was elected
- The fact that so many of us are part of various communities is very inspiring,
- We are just one campus but we are pushing the norm and I appreciate that

Motion to pass A Resolution Reaffirming ASUCSB's Commitment to Its Trans/Non-Binary/LGBTQIA+/QTBIPOC Community

Lala-Ganesh

Call to question - Kadah

Yes: 22

No:0

Abstain:0

Motion passes at 8:01pm

Iden point of privilege 7:57

Iden back 7:59

🖪 A Bill to Create the A.S. Raíces de mi Tierra

Butler - Martinez

Butler:

- As I speak on a bill that seeks to make Raices de Mi Tierra an AS BCU
- This bill would secure support to continue its mission on community building
- It is a space where students can embrace their roots with their wider community
- Provides space over all backgrounds
- Currently, financial stability limits their ability to secure costumes, plan xx
- This funding gap threatens the sustainability of cultural traditions that benefits our campus
- Designating them as BCU would give them stability ensuring that the group can continue their mission
- This bill aligns with UCSB commitment
- I know it will be tabled for a week
- I would love to hear thoughts, i urge your support that would provide an impactful community of student and resources to continue for many more decades
- Wanna give a moment to honor Raices
- It's crazy how much work they did without the support of A.S.
- I'm really happy that I was able to come across this space and be the one along with Martinez to allow their contributions to continue out generations of UCSB

Martinez

- Senator Butler put that so beautifully
- I'm so honored to be on this bill and be able to get the opportunity to work with Raices and Senator Butler
- To continue to introduce and help establish this community within A.S.
- They have been working on their own for the past 3 decades
- Working through rehearsal spaces, renting out storage spaces
- It's the absolute awe that they also introduced so much heritage and culture
- I think I'm absolutely floored by getting to witness this and be a part of this. I am honored

Ganesh

- Were they an RCO before this

Martinez

- Yes

Ganesh

You've written fiscal impact as 70k, where is that coming from?

Martinez

- The 70k isn't going to be immediate, it will instill an eventual rollover
- For now we've been speaking with Parliamentarian Zha on how we will introduce it here
- We're gonna get funds from other BCU's rollover

We aren't gonna immediately start off as 70k

Butler

- 70k we don't have that right now but they already have an A.S. account
- They already have an as account
- Within this year and it's already been confirmed that we will be able to give them as much as possible until the next fiscal year
- If that e rollover, program board, cultural fund to allow them to function as a BCU this year until they can have their full fiscal impact
- Inevitably will be there through different changes
- They don't have the 70k but will be coming

Singh

- Great work, like this idea
- Wanted to clarify
- We cannot direct those funds from program board to score
- It will have to go through finance committee
- They don't have an AS account number, only a SEAL
- It differentiates them from BCU to RCO
- You are welcome to ask for money from finance committee
- Even after they become BCU officially they won't have any money until next year
- They are welcome to come to us and ask for any money if they want to

Zha

- Not my job to comment on content of legislation
- But parliamentary wise
- Few things that need to be amended
- Mainly, some of the definitions
- The language needs to be more formal
- Needs to be stated into bill
- Im happy to meet

Butler

- Honestly definitely interested in having meeting with you
- We already know it'll be tabled a week
- Thank you for taking the time and looking through it

lden

- There's some inherent issues with creation of bcu such as this
- First off most of all BCU encompass broader issue
- This is avery hyper specific event that seems they've struggled to get funding for
- The solution has not been it doesn't seem like there was a solution before
- There's issues with the legislation writing and personal pronouns
- This opens the door, it is not fair to create a BCU centered around a hyper specific group
- I also have issues with the way our organization is structured now
- Adding another BCU is not a positive improvement
- Subcommittee of score or program board

- Program board has much better funding sources
- Don't think creating another BCU when were already under

Butler

- I've been working on this all quarter and those concerns have come up many times
- First point- Raices is not just there showcase
- Its an organization that uplifts Latinx community at UCSB
- Through cultural heritage events, academic opportunities as well as showcases
- Through that i'd love to direct you to their instagram, @raicesdemitierra
- They operate automatically as BCU
- Would go further to say they operate as most of the BCU's we already have
- They have 20 board members, around 100 general board members that come to everything and pay their membership
- Don't see any "i" statements in bill
- Would love to talk further
- To your last point, again because the structural sound and longevity of the organization, becoming BCU would solve all their issues
- Matter of someone taking that step and i'm that person

Siddiqui

- I have a fair amount of thoughts
- Before I go want to defer to the IVP to give their opinion
- They directly oversee all BCUS
- Wanted to ask our IVP if they had thoughts on this

Pinto

- Thank you
- So I feel like what's been communicated about the structure is important
- They are already very established on campus and are a very broad
- I would like to see more within the mission itself that goes beyond dance
- If this BCU were more broad in targeting specific issues
- It would fall within the categories of our BCU's, philanthropy, advocacy, programming, that encompasses what out BCUs do
- They all serve a larger purpose
- This would fall under A.S. Program Board
- That is mainly because it has a very
- Very central focus
- Meant to promote cultural heritage of folklorico dance
- I do think we should look into how this would affect other dance o
- Vital to our Mexican and Latine community
- As a Latine community member that is not hispanic, i usually do not feel welcome in this spaces
- Organizations that aim to advocate for the overall community
- I think that it would fall better under what our BCUS are meant to do

- ASPB have put a lot of work this year into addressing cultural events and supporting them
- Overall having people from Raices in ASPB could influence collaboration with other cultural groups

Ganesh

- In the bill
- In past three section f
- There's a funding committee that looks to get funding from score
- It can just be a subcommittee of score since score has a lot of money to give
- My second thought is that there are a lot of points of fundraising events, if they have been fundraising then
- There's no requirement to inflate as even more
- We do not have the capacity
- We have a lot of money in individual BCU's
- We can redirect them to be a subcommittee

Pinto

- Would like to clarify
- If it is a subcommittee, doesn't make them any less entitled to funding
- Mean that they would be participating in a different BCU
- Which I think is extremely valuable

Butler

- I would like to table and have a meeting further
- All the concerns have been repeated
- If you wanna schedule meeting to discuss and improve we can do that
- In terms of subcommittee, I have thought about that but Raices operates as a BCU
- BCU thats fully functioning
- They know how to submit their minutes, access their money etc
- Not a lot of BCU's we have do that
- More in between traction issues would leave them in another run around
- Honestly they have here for so long, every conversation I've had is that they are so lucky but
- They operate like one of the best BCU's like on who could win the outstanding BCU award
- That is how i feel about subcommittee but they operate as outstanding BCU if you are able to understand where I am coming from

Khorsandi

- Senator Butler I think this is a great organization but wanna echo
- This does open the floodgates for other organizations to become BCU's
- I think it's great that they're functioning in that way it's amazing
- However we have so many BCUS and we simply cannot fund another

- We simply just cannot fund another BCU especially
- It is very specific mission I
- I think it would be more beneficial to spend student fund on a mission that is less specific and more general
- I urge you to reconsider making it a subcommittee
- Could be under IV arts doesn't have to be under ASPB
- I do to your concerns over getting lost in the hurd
- Really don't think the best use of student funds is to fully fund this as full pledge BCU
- Great organization
- Do think because it's such a specific mission statement
- We need to ensure it can be more applicable
- It would be more efficient if it were just a subcommittee

Pinto

- Wanna emphasize that we have over 6000 latine students

Siddiqui

- Do have a lot of thoughts
- Wanna address 2 specific points
- First point, creation of CAJJ as we all know we've had discussion of
- They had no budget from the first year
- Based on what I've seen if the financial plan
- If the plan is to use rollover money, it needs to be
- That needs to be ironed out and stated on legislation
- Making sure that they have the budget there from the get go
- I think that they do deserve a space in AS, I do prefer the subcommittee idea
- Think if you set them up as a BCU
- As is so unnecessarily complex and bureaucratic
- Another issue with CAJJ is that they didn't have an advisor
- They didn't have a dedicated advisor
- Cajj now has no board, senator has been trying to work with them and has gotten no responses
- They get no responses
- If we were to set this up to be a subcommittee they would have contacts to go too
- If we look at intention of CAJJ it was to promote cultural dances be
- CAJJ can't function as board because they don't have advisor or budget so now we're getting rid of CAJJ
- I do think that if we were to create this as a stand alone BCU
- If we use money from rollover that's not permanent funding
- We have to find new funding for next year
- I think that making into a subcommittee it would suffice
- Within program board they have 2 million in rollover
- One thing that finance chair has talk about is

- Doing more cultural events
- i believe that the organization deserves a place but not stand alone BCU

Singh

- Lemme just clarify
- These are lock in funds with program and board and score
- So we can put that in legal code
- Another thing is that our time and duties and responsibilities is that
- Now fundraising itself is not against policies
- That are many rules around it, using venmo, paypal, cash app etc
- I would recommend to the authors to remove that part

Motion to table a Bill To Create the A.S. Raices de Mi Tierra for one week Butler–Rossillo

Iden

- Point of information
- Not sure a week is enough time
- Ideally you would meet with program board, score and organization

Butler

- It is enough time for me. Thank you

Call to question—Butler
This motion passed at 8:30pm

Point of privilege Butler 8:30pm

Motion to enter 15 min recess Ganesh-Lankarani Call to Question – Siddiqui This motion passes at 8:31pm

Reconvened 8:47pm

Pinto

- Just moving forward
- This is meant to be collaborative space
- Questioning people's time is not ok
- In the future I will be addressing that extremely seriously

Butltler

- I feel like I give my all to this space
- I am passionate and inclusive
- Be accessible and a resource for everyone
- Would love to feel the same from the entirety of the senate
- Need to be less direct in debate

- As I feel I do to other ppl
- Again, just with the legislation, I can now understand and digest and articulate that you may not all be able to imagine what this organization is
- So in the next week that will be articulated and change so you will
- So you can all imagine what this manifested BCU will be
- But I didn't like respect some of the discussion we were having
- It felt like ti was less towards the legislation itself, but more towards my identity, capacity
- I feel like that is undeserved and uncalled for

Pinto

- Would like to emphasize
- If you don't understand something then ask a question
- Latina student body makes up for 1/3 of student body so it is incredibly important that their voices are heard

D) PUBLIC FORUM [1:30]

Speaking agreements:

"You have two & a half minutes to speak, with two minutes for Q&A. Please state your name, pronouns, and enunciate, but refrain from yelling. Please know this meeting is being recorded. You may speak on any issue, whether it is on the agenda or not. Please include a content warning if it will be graphic. You may only come up once, and you may choose to not answer questions."

Ben Wollock (He/They)

- Land acknowledgement
- A lot of students would agree
- The land acknowledgement is subpar, UCSB says we care about natives but
- Noticed a severe lack of action towards
- In a class I learnt about the history of Native people. The Portola expedition colonized this area and picked the Chumash off their land and destroy their culture and the environment
- Kicked chumash of long
- Portola, name sounds familiar?
- Portola dinings share that name, when I heard that I was not ok
- Not ok with this, surprised UCSB would be named after such an atrocity
- Specially with our land acknowledgement, shows we didn't care

- Trying to get Portola name changes
- My plan is to start petition, I can't pick name myself because I'm not indigenous
- Gonna work with chumash people
- Wanna see if that's something AS senate would support
- If that's something you will help me do outreach with
- Did speak with President Broadway, she directed me here
- She directed me to AS senate meeting

Pinto

- Im indigenous
- Want to ask who specifically from chumash tribe you've communicated with

Wollock

- I'm still working to establish a contact, if you would like to email me about potential people that would be really nice
- Gonna let them know at harvest dinner
- If I can establish a long term contact

Lankarani

- Interested in this effort
- What is your name and can I give you my email?

Wollock (He/they)

- My pronouns are he/they, environmental studies major

Pinto

- If you would like to write your email down! (on paper)

Lankarani

- Will reach out to you

Elkhatib

I am part of outreach committee, you can talk to me anytime, would love to help

Wollock

- Yes President Broadway told me about that, she gave me outreach committee email

Ganesh

- If you are interested I can give you the contact for Chumash tribe contact

Wollock

- That would be great

Pinto

- Would like to mention they will be at harvest dinner
- The chumash council is more affiliated with Santa Ynez area

Wollock

I support the Chumash people

Lala

- Put you in contact with us, once you get a name for us, it should be a conversation on how to get that out

Wollock

- Once petition is done i will work my way up to admin
- Since you represent all the students at UCSB

Pinto

- Would recommend reach out to our academic senate

Wollock

- Yes I have some of their contacts

Pinto

Looking forward to seeing you next thursday, thank you for coming to speak

Johnny Melonville He/Him

- My name is Johnny, he/him, here with the CALPIRG update
- Last week reached fundraising goal for the guarter
- Pledged about a thousand students from this UC campus
- We can stay funded now, fully student funded
- Last week finished new voters campaign
- Have forest campaign
- Meeting with elected officials this saturday at Los Padres
- That campaign looks different now because of the election
- Trying to get congress to enact forest policies to protect forests
- Another thing we are looking to start campaign, sable, oil company has been trying to get permits for oil rigs
- Our oil rigs are completely shut down since 2015 oil spill
- They are trying to get permit to start redrilling off the coast
- Looks like its gonna continue
- Calpirg is gonna have campaign to stop it
- In works of coordinating somethings with AS members right now
- Love working with you

Wahidullah

- If you need any help, how do I contact you?

Johnny

- Yeah i can give you my contact

Dan Siddiqui He/Him

- I'm here today as a student to talk about something important
- Here to talk about something very important
- Had Holly screenshare
- Our longest standing chancellor is stepping down
- Process for replacing is head by the UC search committee
- Who are appointed by our governor to manage individual campuses
- Reason why im speaking to all is because this search committee the way it's all designed
- It is very top down in terms
- It's UC EOP president
- As well as other reasons such as Jose Hernandez
- Have around 4 or 5 professors
- As well as 2 alumni from alumni board of directors and trustee
- In terms of every other community on campus there's 1 person to represent staff
- 1 person to represent graduate students and 1 person to represent undergraduate students
- The reason why i'm pointing this out is because inherently this is stacked against us
- Who gets a voice in this room and who gets to be heard
- There are a series of town halls for different members of the community to come and voice their opinions
- Faculty town hall, staff town hall
- Would be wonderful if AS staff could come as well
- Specifically I want to talk about undergraduate and graduate student town hall

Motion to extend speakers time by 2 minutes Gerson–Elkhatib

This motion passes at 6:49PM

Dan

- As I was saying theres town hall from 4:30pm-5:30pm next week
- I know a lot of us before senate meetings we don't have anything else to do, want to encourage you to go to undergraduate student town hall
- Please invite them to undergraduate/graduate town hall
- Only town hall opportunity that will happen during this entire process
- As member on committee there's certain priorities I plan to bring up to the chancellor
- It comes to campus climate,
- Being in this position, we are already looked down upon, we are kids and don't stay at university for a long time
- We're not entitled to same privileges
- It is much stronger to say that students come to town hall and say that they don't have someplace to sleep and are homeless.

- It's much more effective when I'm able to use other students experience
- These are just not my opinions or my stories
- That's why I wanted to speak to you all here today as a student representative
- As someone who will be representing you in this forum, senate is the most
- Thought this was most appropriate place to speak about this
- Process is confidential

Elkhatib

- Where is the town hall located

Dan

It's only virtual

Wahidullah

- Where can we find the specific dates on the website?

Dan

- Can send put link to everyone in senate chat
- Also it was sent out through university wide email couple weeks ago

Ganesh

 Do you know what part of the town hall they will be taking questions from undergraduates

Dan

- I know they are taking questions I don't know when but I'm assuming the whole time

Lala

- Will this be the only one throughout the school year

Brinderson

Are we gonna push the Ad Hoc committee meeting so we can go?

Dan

Yes we can do that

- E) Acceptance of Agenda
- F) Consent Calendar
- G) Action Items
- G-1) Immediate Consideration
 - Proposed Amendments to The Standing Rules of the 75th ASUCSB Senate Zha–Siddiqui

Pinto

- Review the suggested amendments
- They were co written by Senator Siddiqui and Parliamentarian Zha

Siddiqui

- Thank you
- I rewrote this several time after emailing senators about it and calling senators about it
- We have this discussion previously about showing each other respect and make sure that we all feel respected in this space
- The two main things is number one no member can speak with presiding officers recognition
- The other one is that no member can conduct AS activity without taking a point of personal privilege
- Not interpreted to using texts or other platforms to conduct as business
- We are trying to target people that are doing activity that they aren't supposed to be doing
- I did my best to amend the language to reflect that
- The rest is standard but the rest is just stuff that we stopped doing such AS strikes
- If you all remember I got 2 strikes at week 10 meetings and it was never enforced after that
- There are same penalties in the standing rule that would apply to many
- The system we set up is if 3 strikes under the same meeting then strikes continue
- Every single senator will be given 2 chances to not break the rules
- Every other senate this never had this
- It was assumed to not speak without being recognized
- Penalty for a fourth strike that kicks in
- My intention is not to punish anyone but the reality is that senate leadership needs to be held accountable
- Point is to ensure accountability
- Point is to ensure accountability
- All have mutual respect

Zha

- From the parliamentary perspective

- According to bylaws office we are all expected to understand and follow

Ganesh

- My comment is G number 6 about receiving a third of their honoraria
- I think that is excessive
- I understand you need to hold us to a position, I'm willing to come down to a fourth
- A lot of us have bills to pay, especially in this economy
- We are all humans in a distraught economy, definitely urge you to reconsider monetary punishment

Lala

- Had similar thought instead of trying to find a percentage that is the right amount
- How about we set up certain criteria
- Feel like 33 percent is massive
- A quarter is very long time
- People make mistakes and a quarter is a very long time and one meeting might not reflect
- I think leaving it much more open
- Without putting a price on it
- Don't like it being one given amount

Pinto

- If you read it, it says 3 strikes and you are excluded from discussion
- Let's say you're being incredibly disrespectful; not following decorum
- You just cant speak on it after three strikes
- You can't speak on it but that doesn't mean that you will receive the reduction, it is up for discussion
- Its up to discussion and again the first two strikes are supposed to be educational and inform
- This is why you are not following these rules please try to avoid that
- So far as a senate we have been doing that
- More so we have measures that have been taken

Ganesh

- My issue is not with when and if said monetary discipline is taken place
- My issue is the number and
- The fact that u have to hold money against us to make us to do our job
- Senator Siddiqui got 2 strikes himself but it is not because he disrespected the senate but because..
- I think we should specify a number because when we leave things up to interpretation
- I definitely believe a monetary punishment is not empathetic and pretty disrespectful
- We are also students
- A lot of us are on work study and scholarships
- Am ready to negotiate to a smaller number

Siddiqui

- I find that changing it to 25% or leaving it ambiguous that it is up for discretion of the honorary committee
- Leaving it ambiguous is fine because up to honoraria committee to decide
- Means they are subject to receive a deduction
- Secondly within honoraria if someone doesn't do their job
- The payment they receive is in accordance to the job they do
- Honoraria committee every single year is taking money
- The money that you receive on honoraria is representative of what you contribute to the space
- We already have power to do this
- The point of these standing rules is to clarify it and ensure transparency
- To make sure everyone is aware of the rules and what they might be subject to
- The point of me including figures in it is to be set up ambiguous and my intention was to transparent
- If all of you want to leave it ambiguous
- It just means honoraria committee will decide on a case by case basis
- Fine with 25% than 33%

Singh

- When this was first sent out, there was a lot I didn't agree with
- many changes to the proposed amendments that I do agree with.
- I want to speak on 2 things, Section G4, no member may conduct non AS activities in a manner that makes it obvious. Who is it obvious to? The chair?
- Second thing, we decide our honoraria on different conditions, office hours, legislative activities, and other duties. Which is what, 25%?
- Now, attendance is about 20% of our quarterly honoraria.
- Doesn't it make more sense to cut 33% of our honoraria.

Siddiqui

- I'm happy to amend the language making it obvious to the chair and the audience.
- For senators, there is a section of honorary allocation to conduct during meetings. Your conduct during the meetings is counted within the evaluation of your honoraria
- By attending this meeting, the expectation you get paid is not just that you show up. You get paid for showing up and following the rules. If you don't follow the rules, it's already built in that your honoraria would be deducted.
- I'm happy to further specify this and what would be a specific section of your honoraria that would be cut but the better approach is letting it be ambiguous, and evaluating on a case by case basis.

Khorsandi

- While I do understand the significance of this and it's important to codify.

- I do believe that having two strikes, I think that aspect of it, I'm okay with it
- However, I do think a deduction of honoraria sits wrong with me because of equality and equity issues.
- A senator where money is not an issue, might be more inclined to not care about their honoraria. Some senators who rely more strongly on honoraria don't have those same privileges.
- However I do understand that honoraria has been a system to reprimand ppl. However, talking out of turn is something so small, and honoraria is something that can be so important to so many livelihoods of senators that it does not make sense in this scenario.
- I do know that it already exists, but I don't think it's equitable to write it down in this manner
- I will say that I am okay with a deduction rather than completely not including honoraria. Reducing the amount from 33% to maybe 20, I'm okay with 20.
- I also think it could be a good idea to include a one on one meeting with the senator being accused of these strikes and a member in senate leadership because you honestly don't know what's going on in a person's life and they could be going through something, and can gain insight on these issues is important. So once three strikes are accumulated, the person will be required to have a one on one meeting with senate leadership to see what's going on. I think this also helps to keep the deduction ambiguous. One on one meetings can provide more insight into a person's life to the honoraria committee and let them advocate for themselves.
- There needs to be another measure to make sure it doesn't happen again. You can keep lowering honoraria and the issue could still continue. Not to say that this will happen, but in order to avoid that whole situation, it is important to figure out what is actually going on. Any line of open communication between a senator and leadership is important.
- Second thing is G3, "no member may speak without obtaining the floor without presiding officer's recognition", I want clarification
- Is this like it needs to be dead silent in the room, or does it allow leeway?

Pinto

- I could've been able to issue strikes at any point out of any of our meetings.
- I exercised that in the Spring and I feel like we have been doing a great job. I've
 not been enforcing the strike this quarter because I feel like it's been
 unnecessary.
- I think you should all see that as an example of what is acceptable and what decorum is for our space. I allow for side conversations until they interrupt what the speaker is doing. I have not issued any strikes, I see things as okay as a chair.
- The one on one meeting, I agree with you, I already had it in mind.
- I feel like you're bringing up a lot of points and I will definitely add that in here. Siddiqui
 - For G3, what it means, "no member may speak without obtaining the floor without presiding officer's recognition." My definition of G4, meaning non related

- AS activities. Turning to the person next to you at a meeting to ask a question about something we are discussing is totally fine, that's why it's specified there.
- What is not fine if there is a side conversation for an extended period of time that is not related to the meeting because that disputes the meeting. That's what the chair was trying to say. Its referring to cross talk and people speaking without being called on
- To your point about the one on one meeting, I inferred that it would be something that would already happen, but I'm fine writing it out.
- And I'm happy to make the honoraria non ambiguous.

Khorsandi

- I agree with one on one meetings. Thank you.
- I understand what you both are saying about G3, I think it could be useful to add that in writing. Obviously we all know what is decorum and what isn't but I think it's important to write out. I don't think what you both conveyed is clear in the wording of G3. A little bit needs to be added onto this for me to be happy with it.

Lala

- First note that as we said, Senator Siddiqui had a few strikes against him in spring quarter, but it wasn't like he was doing anything flagrant but based on my reading of the situation, he was doing this for a positive purpose and pushing for something he thought was good for the student body.
- You might make the case that it is uncommon and most of the speaking out of order are out of negligence, but it seems to be pretty conceivable that someone might be stepping out of order to accomplish their jobs better. Sure that's not always the case, but i think it's important
- Second point, the other points are enough. I'm curious about other Senator's intuitions. I campaigned and worked hard to get a seat at this table and to be dismayed at the table and discussion would discourage me to do it again. The consequences from this would be enough.
- I don't understand why we have to put honoraria and people's livelihoods into play here when we are all adults, capable of thoughts and understanding when we have done something wrong in a shared space. I'm curious if people's intuition carried them there
- I'd like some clarification and I was speaking to Senator Iden and we both want some clarification on this. Regarding, Point 7, that portion specifically, the way Senator Iden put it forth is that this might be unconstitutional to some extent because of the recall process and how that is dealt with in a certain way in the constitution. Please enlighten me.

Zha

- So number 7 explains there has to be discussion about a motion for removal but there is a specific part of legal code that deals with senators. In the 73rd Senate, there was a removal of a Senator.
- I would like to point out that our strike has to be sustained by the majority of the senate. The chair can ask for a point of order to debate on the legality of the strike. The chair has to hold a vote. Everything must be decided by majority vote of the senate

- To my third point, to be transparent, I was approached by senate leadership about the changes but I agree with them. I have been assured and have no reason to doubt that those rules will be used against difference in opinion. Decorum, how you conduct yourself with Robert's rules of order. Those are the things about decorum and it's important to keep it civil and not personal.
- Most important, you are paid about 5000-6000 dollars a quarter, it's not a small amount
- Each of us pays \$1500 to AS each year. Seems a bit odd to me if the senate was to hold the rights of association to set up the standard, but refuses to treat itself to the same standard.

Brinderson

- I appreciate what you said parliamentarian.
- I think the misunderstanding here is that this is more for senators in the future. One question I had was, what was the intention behind this? Is this to catch senators doing this behavior now, or to clarify the boundaries of what we mean?
- It doesn't seem like this behavior is what's going on at this very moment, but that makes it clear to me,Ii appreciate that.
- Another point I had is ,if we are going to let the honoraria committee decide what to deduct after someone strikes, if we could set a ceiling on that? I think that is a relatively good compromise and I understand Senator Khorsnadi's point on equity and that makes a lot of sense. We need to make it clear what is in the realm of possibility when you get those 4 strikes.

Siddiqui

- I agree with Parliamentarian Zha's point. The point that you're playing with people's livelihood about honoraria, I disagree with because it's not our money. The money came from students, people, everyone on campus pays hundreds of dollars to the association and that money is used to fund all of our operations. We collect money from students to pay ourselves for what we do for students. We are public service. Again, it goes back to the principle that if we are in a public service position, if they put rules on illicit conduct, that your paycheck would be striked, every person here would applaud that. I'm not saying we are Congress, but the reason why that is acceptable for Congress is because people hold them in high regard. It's not people's money we are taking away, it's the student body's money.
- Another thing I wanted to say, I do disagree with IVP on their point that they feel they haven't seen many instances when strikes have been appropriate. I think this meeting has been our best by far in terms of decorum. It has been pretty good today when they say they haven't seen strike behavior. This has been our best meeting of the year.
- I have had conversations with the parliamentarian, executive director, and every single person I've talked to inside and outside the association, has said this is the least formal senate they've seen in terms of decorum, Robert's Rules, and all these other things.
- Every single person I've spoken to about this, has said this to me. I think IVP is correct that there have not been instances to give a strike in terms of misconduct or people taking actions out of malice. With that, we haven't had to issue strikes

on that purpose, but I think there have been countless instances where it should've been a clear strike issued to senators for not showing decorum.

Singh

- I'm not really against cutting honoraria, but I would say it should only come out of the 20 percent that's allocated for our attendance to meetings. The other 80 percent is all the other stuff we do.
- Me attending this meeting is not my entire job, I. have a thousand other things. So cutting 33 percent of my entire honoraria doesn't really make sense. It should maybe be 33% of the 20% allocated maybe as a better way to go about it
- Also, I don't like the spirit of these amendments.
- I think we should focus on creating an environment that focuses on engagement and responsibility rather than policing people
- We already see an environment where 6 to 7 ppl are speaking every meeting. All this is gonna do is intimidate people more.
- I know this comes from leadership not wanting to babysit us, but these make me feel like I'm back in second grade. Maybe others disagree

Lala

- I wanted to quickly respond and clarify. I made the point about eating into someone's livelihoods specifically in the example of someone who was trying to legitimately fulfill their job, but went about it in a disorderly way.
- I fully agree with you, think this is a mini form of a legitimate government, we are public servants. We do represent students and have a heightened responsibility. I just don't think this is the right way to go and that was the context I was saying it in.
- I couldn't agree more with Senator Singh, that was the right way to put it. You guys have stressful jobs, having to correct one small thing here and there and happening every meeting. I think that both for you, and us as an institution, a bigger problem than decorum is participation. If you had to quantify it, it would be 5x bigger than other issues. If that's true, what step should we take to heading towards a better direction there? Should it be something easier that incentivizes people to speak more? If I'm not fully familiar with the way I should present myself in a meeting, my honoraria might get cut.

Siddiqui

- The criteria to get your honoraria cut is speaking out of turn and doing business not related to the meeting in an obvious way. Myself, chair, and Parliamentarian Zha have taken extensive time to say what will induce a strike being issued. Strikes are not being issued for breaking Robert's Rules, they are being issued for things specifically outlined in the document. We are taking foundational aspects for Roberts Rules and putting it into standards. The criticism's being issued are strawman versions, not the actual standing rules. We are not issuing strikes for violation of Robert's Rules, but specific items that are listed out in the text for everyone to see and agree to.
- A second point, you are correct in the fact that we shouldn't have to take this approach. I agree we shouldn't have to take this approach.

- I wanted to ask everyone. I gave a report about decorum 2-3 meetings ago. After that we had another closed session to discuss those changes and the changes that need to be made
- Now I want to ask, raise your hand if your committee chair or vice chair sent out the agenda publicly. Thank you, I see that some of the committees did. However, I actually don't think I've received anything in my senate email. When I say send the agenda out publicly, it means you send it out to the entire senate. I don't think I'm wrong that it was ever sent out to the senate. But even with the hands that were raised, it wasn't everyone in the room.
- The point that it makes is that we have addressed these issues multiple times but they haven't changed. So yes, this has to be the approach, because what is the solution?
- To continue saying the same things over and over again and not have anything happen, and then I am the one who takes the slack for it. I do see a willingness from other senators to see my position here, and I appreciate that, but I don't think you are all fully comprehending the meetings that we're in and why the conduct of the meeting is important to senate leadership.

Pinto

- Again, I would like to say, this meant to be a system of collaborative accountability. I am not here to police anyone. I am just here to continue to create space where we can push projects, resolutions, legislation, and conversation about what is going on in our greater campus climate. I think we should all take that into consideration.
- Outlining the strikes gives us a better understanding of what we're all here to do, which is something that was brought forth. So putting this into text gives everyone equal ground for understanding.
- I don't want to issue strikes and people see that as me doing that, just cause. I want there to be some outline that we can refer to, and also question, there is a clause here where we can directly go against a strike and that is because this is meant to be a collaborative environment. We are here to question and introduce ourselves. That is the space I want to foster as a chair

Singh

- I don't know how the rest of the senate felt. I really did enjoy it when we were doing round robin on discussing items. Maybe we could do more of those to create an environment that is focusing on engagement and responsibility. Maybe just try that again and make it so you have to say one point either for or against a change.
- How would people feel about that?

Pinto

- I remember this was brought up. Senator Khorsandi said "if I hear someone say" I yield" again I'm done."
- Although I don't agree with the stance as a chair, I think it is a sentiment shared by many senators. We also have limited time per item on the agenda so that is another factor.

Khorsandi

- Okay I want to explain that. Not saying that I dislike the entire idea of round robin. I just don't think that it should be put in our standing rules because there are some things that don't genuinely need to be discussed.

Pinto

- You can also always propose in discussions to have it round robin style, and I will consider and act upon that.

Singh

- I didn't mean it should be in standing policies but that it should be followed more often.

Lala

- I hope that we can move on and this can be a last point. .
- I don't think that I am the strawmanning version of this. If I am, it's an intellectual failure so I hope I'm not.
- A lot of things that the Parliamentarian mentioned are already in our legal code and are not up for controversy. I'm not against strikes, it would be weird to be against that. It's a part of Robert's Rules and applicable every now and then. I'm against these innovations and new portions that don't seem to me, and other senators I've spoken with, to be either in spirit or letter or the direction we want to move in as an institution. I really don't want to keep going back and take much more time on this.
- I really do mean these new things about the honoraria because it cannot be evaluated by the committee. It's not up for discussion. Some of these things, it's like why even put in rules of order if it's already in legal code? I think there is a part of these changes that is something new in a negative direction

Singh

- Point of information.
- If you were to make changes to this now, would you have to open it?

Zha

- Yes, if we are going to pass it this meeting, then we would have to open it.

Motion to open Proposed Amendments to Standing Rules of 75th ASUCSB Senate. Siddiqui - Lankarani Call to question - Kadah Passed; 9;39PM.

Carlson

- Permission to approach the mike?

Pinto

- Yeah. Does it pertain to the discussion?

Carlson

Yes. To shed some light on some things that I'm looking at, in strikes, it is not uncommon for a body to issue speaking agreements or standing rules. Usually, what are viable grounds for deducting points and issuing strikes are things that prevent the body at large from doing good on their duties. So if you have an unruly member that won't listen to the chair, they're given warning, second warning, then they can be asked to be escorted out because you guys can't do your jobs. If a member is preventing that job from being done or conducted, then you do have theoretical grounds to move that member from the meeting. There is zero precedent or grounds for forcing that member out of voting rights which is expulsion from the board itself, we don't have that. The Senate executive committee has a clause that it can make recommendations to lawfully expel senators. Constitutionally, there's a segment defining the power of the legislative branch.

- If the senate executive committee is incorporated under the senate, then constitutionally, the right of the senate to remove members only is under the president, vice president, or judiciary branch. Nothing in it explicitly says you have the right to expel a senator by majority vote in the constitution.
- Standing policy 10 is to serve as a means of holding yourself accountable, where I imagine senators might have resigned in the past. To my knowledge, especially in recent history, the removal of elected officers has only been conducted via executive order. Things that might be prominent for you guys to consider is Robert's Rules of order violations, and if you are violating, you can have points deducted.
- For the segment about honoraria, that would theoretically still be the right of the honoraria committee to decide and arbitrate, but also subject to appeal by a judicial council which is also constitutional.
- Another thing is violating the privilege of a closed door session because it violates decorum and talks about the privilege that is being addressed in this conversation.
- The spirit of this is not foreign to any legislative body, those are standing rules. There are a lot of checks and balances where they might have to be arbitrated after. It's not up to just one executive committee's decision.
- I do know Parliamentarian Zha has a point, and I would love to hear it in case I got anything wrong.

Zha

- I think the Attorney General might recount that we placed the removal of a senator on the agenda. To my understanding, the removal was automatically appealed to the judicial council. The Attorney General confirmed that it is precedent of the senate to remove its member by a \% majority vote.

Carlson

- If I remember correctly, the circumstances for that removal was a gross negligence and violation of not showing up at all. They didn't answer any emails, didn't talk to us. It's like they weren't even here, we never met the person, no one knew who they were. We were always looking at it like, that's a spot that someone could fill and could advocate for. Because they were completely MIA, the Judicial Council said that if they were not going to be there to represent themselves, they were fine with it because no one was going to be there to try the case. But I could be totally wrong, I was a freshman senator at the time.

Zha

- Thank you so much for the observation.
- If I may ask for your legal opinion, that established a precedent, since it was done by the senate, and sustained by the Judicial Council. The precedent is empowered to bar its members by ¾ majority of membership?

Carlson

- My gut tells me that ¾ majority would be met immediately with legal action in the Judicial Council. If that senator's actively saying they can't force me to be removed from my position, they have to count my votes. I believe JC will irrefutably find that the senate does not have authority to remove them. The only way they removed that senator is that they didn't even show up to their own Judicial Council case to defend themselves. There was no point in fighting a battle that didn't need to be fought.
- If one of you just walked out of the senate room and never came back, we would assume that's a resignation. If no one found out that you had any office hours or that you're doing your job, we couldn't do anything. Probably not legally, but realistically could cut you out and fill that spot.
- If we were to cut you out for the things you are saying that could be a challenge to your first amendment right.
- If the senate is allowed, by ¾ majority vote, to expel a sitting senator for any reason, it could open you guys up to mob rule which is you all deciding what is protected vs. unprotected speech. That does not stand well if we were to put it outside the law. You can't bully people out of space just because you don't like what they are saying. I would advise against absolute removal by ¾ majority vote, and instead refer you to just tabling out in the arbor for a recall petition. I think it's easier.

Zha

- If I may direct the Attorney General's attention to standing policy 3 of AS Legal Code that outlines the removal process. I have actually a two part question. Part one, are you saying that from an absolute standpoint, the senate has no right to remove its members? Or can they remove a member following the formal procedures?
- My second question is, since there is the pressure of standing policy 10, is it part of the constitution?

Carlson

Incredible questions and encompassing issues at hand. My gut says policy 10, follows the same precedent that took place in the removal of the 73rd Senate. The idea behind it, the spirit of that policy, comes from the fact that it is not uncommon for students or elected officials to be negligent in their duties. Sometimes they don't show up at all and because of that, they wanted to codify some spirit of all the turmoil of that year as a means of avoiding it in the future. Such that, if a senator needed to be removed because they weren't present in the room, that the Judicial Council, after the senate were to vote to expel lawfully or

- unlawfully, it is constitutionally up to the Judicial Council. There would be at least some idea of removing a senator from their position in means that warranted a quick process to get that seat filled which is what we did in the 73rd senate in following policy 10.
- I don't think that policy 10 would defend a sitting senator who is making good on constitutional duty. It will not defend the senate, removing a senator making good on their duties. I think that answers your first point about how policy 10 is in large part not constitutionally protected. To your first question, whether the senate can do it at all. No matter what we decide, it will always go back to the Judicial council.

Siddiqui

- Can you just say again how you would recommend amending G7, the language? Carlson
 - I wouldn't have it. I think the spirit is there about recording strikes. Expelling the senator, I'll be honest, I put that in excom for votes for the Committee Rework Act, but it was never going to work out in a real litigative case.
 - I think the spirit could be there, if you want to hold yourselves to a higher standard, there is nothing stopping you from adopting that in senate honoraria. That is something senate leadership is very involved in, and can do you for your responsibilities. That is the point of honoraria being there, and important to have honoraria flushed out because then it can be appealed to the Judicial Council. So honoraria is largely the best way to enforce responsibilities for elected officials. You have to understand that removal is not something that will land you in a case. If someone wants to sit in the senate, they want to be here. If you want to force their hand, then sure, but you don't want to bully people out of space and want to respect what they want to contribute to the community.
 - In that regard I would advise kind of taking out any language about expelling senators. Anybody is entitled to take up a petition if you really want that. But it's like, it never comes to that point, and ultimately, the best course of action is seeing how you guys can support each other in the work you do. Saying here's the work I want to do, and the steps, here's what I don't know about. In that context, maybe you could enforce page 114, the last section of senate members duties, which is submitting biweekly or weekly reports at 11:59 on the first Sunday of every month.
 - We were submitting reports to our IVP every week about the progress we were making on our projects. You guys could enforce that instead and build communication instead of going the repercussive, punitive route. You could say if you don't submit this to me 5 or 10 times a quarter, then your honoraria would get cut in half. It's an easy thing to do, I wrote one paragraph a week, and IVP, Sydney at the time, helped me a lot with my projects. She would connect me with other senators. And it takes time away from meetings because then you're not spending a lot of time on reports.

Siddiqui

- Motion to accept all the suggestions I put on the document for proposed amendment for sustaining rules to 75th AS UCSB Senate.

Zha

- At the moment, we cannot see the document, but I can plug the HDMI in. Siddiqui
 - My plan was to pass motion, then make the suggestions, and I'd edit it for you all to see. That was my intention.

Zha

- From a parliamentary procedure perspective, if we accept these suggestions, it is passed.

Pinto

- We'll be moving on for the sake of time into a new business.

Siddiqui

- Motion rescinded to accept all the suggestions I'm putting on proposed amendments to standing rules of AS UCSB Senate.

Motion to close Proposed Amendments to Standing Rules of 75th ASUCSB Senate Version 2.

Siddiqui - Wahidullah Call to question - Lankarani Passed: 9:58PM.

Motion to add Proposed Amendments to Standing Rules of 75th ASUCSB Senate Version 2 to the agenda under immediate consideration(Item G-1).

Siddiuqi - Singh

Call to question - Kadah

Passed at 10:17PM.

■ Proposed Amendments to The Standing Rules of the 75th ASUCSB Senate V2

Siddiqui

- This literally takes out every single part about honoraria and every single part about removing people
- Got rid of everything that everyone pointed out
- Hope it can just pass

Singh

- I'd like to bring attention to G4
- It doesn't specify 'making it obvious'

Motion to amend G4.

Siddiqui -

Pinto

- You have to open it up first.

Motion rescinded.

Motion to open Proposed Amendments to Standing Rules of 75th ASUCSB Senate Version 2. Siddiqui - Wahidullah Call to question - Singh Passed at 10:19PM.

Motion to amend item G-4 "No member may conduct non-AS related activities when the Senate is in session in a manner that makes it obvious they are doing so without taking a point of personal privilege" to "No member may conduct non-AS related activities when the Senate is in session in a manner that makes it obvious they are doing so without taking a point of personal privilege in a manner that makes it obvious to the Chair and/or the audience".

Siddiqui - Brinderson Call to question - Singh Passed at 10:21PM.

Lala

- Sorry if this seems pedantic but it's important

Motion to amend G-7 from "If a senator has more than one meeting in which they will record three or more strikes, this will result in potential further deduction of their honoraria for the respective quarter" to "If a senator has more than one meeting which they record three or more strikes, this will result in a potential further reduction in their honoraria for the respective quarter, as is already under the established purview of the honoraria committee."

Lala - Singh Call to question - Lankarani Passed at 10:21PM.

Pinto

- Senator Siddiqui's done the edit

Brinderson

- Do we add something about meeting with IVP in the situation with these strikes? Pinto
 - I think that is kind of already what I do but if you'd like to make that amendment then that's up to you

Brinderson

- If you think that's what's going to happen then all good

- Suggest we make motion to close this

Motion to close Proposed Amendments to Standing Rules of 75th ASUCSB Senate Version 2.

Singh - Elkhatib Call to question - Lankarani Passed at 10:23PM.

Motion to pass Proposed Amendments to Standing Rules of 75th ASUCSB Senate Version 2.

Wahidullah - Kadah Called to question- Lankarani Passed at 10:23PM.

G-2) Old Business [Debate Time Per Item- 30 Minutes]

Moved here at 9:58 PM.

■ A Bill To Amend the Expansion of The Office of Senate Leadership

Siddiqui - Butler

Motion to pass A Bill To Amend the Expansion of The Office of Senate Leadership.

Siddiqui- Wahidullah

Call to question - Kadah

Hand Vote

Yes: 17

No: 0

Abstain: 0

Passed at 10:15PM.

■ Bill to Establish Office of Student Advocate General Technology Subcommittee

Siddiqui - Corlew

Moved here at 10:09PM.

Motion to pass a Bill to Establish Office of Student Advocate General Technology Subcommittee.

Siddiqui- Kadah

Call to question - Lankarani

Zha

- We have 17 votes on the table

Brinderson

- I think I was in the bathroom but you didn't count me as not being here earlier?

Pinto

- It's in the minutes

Brinderson

- It says there were 16 votes on the bill I wasn't present for and 16 votes on the bill I was present for

Pinto

- You abstained from the bill to expedite student fees, not the outstanding BCU award?

Brinderson

- I guess I did abstain because I wasn't here, but the number on both votes was the same

Pinto

Maybe you weren't here for both of them?

Zha

- I have 17 people

Pinto

- We're going to have to redo all the voting because people either didn't vote or voted twice
- We're going back into a vote for the DEI

- All those in favor hands up

Hand Vote (regarding A Resolution to Allocate Funds for Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion events, a revote of the original vote)

Yes - 11 No - 0

Abstain - 6

Motion passes.

Zha

- Carlson, how do we interpret the legal code to ¾ majority?

Carlson

- We always counted our precedent which is why it was harder to pass things
- It's up to you guys, and precedent
- They are not no votes but counted to vote total
- Are you counting abstentions to vote total?

Zha

According to standing rule, abstentions does not count towards vote total so it passes

Motion passed at 10:15 PM. (regarding A Bill to Establish Office of Student Advocate General Technology Subcommittee).

■ A Bill to Improve The Outstanding BCU Award

Iden - Lala

Lala(9:58PM)

- Will try to refrain from saying this is a common sense bill, Iden wouldn't want that
- Small change from last time around
- Mathematical error made it something that unintended it too
- 100% increase in their honorarium
- Which is what the plan was the entire time

Motion to vote to pass A Bill to Improve The Outstanding BCU Award. Khorsandi - Lankarani Call to question- Suuck

Hand Vote

Yes - 16

Abstain - 0

Motion passes at 10:00PM.

■ A Resolution to Allocate Funds for Diversity, Equity, Inclusion events Singh - Corlew

Singh

- We talked about this last meeting, pilot program, will present my findings week 6 of winter quarter
- About 15k from senate unallocated
- Zha has some changes as well
- Hope we can pass this meeting

Siddiqui

- Before going into vote on this, would like to look into discharging a bill into getting funds from unallocated
- I think we should do that before we do this and this resolution should be last Singh

- Are we only doing it first because it would be changing account stuff?

Pinto

- Yes

Singh

- This bill in my committee, we couldn't meet on monday cuz of holiday
- Technically, you're all members, but the only people that can vote are Wang and Corlew
- If it's during senate meeting itself, only senate members have to be there
- The other financial offices don't have to be there
- If the chair could call for a special meeting, then I could call it to order

Zha

- Seems like a viable option
- I suggest to pass a motion to finance committee

Singh

- Since it was tabled to my committee, and we didn't consider it, shouldn't it be our thing to send it back

Zha

- Instead, motion to discharge and senate take it back
- Because finance committee is subcommittee of the senate

Singh

- Just a motion to discharge that bill? Alright.

Motion to discharge A Bill To Expedite Usage Of Student Fees from the Finance Committee to Senate.

Siddiqui - Singh

Call to question - Suuck

Passed at 10:04PM.

■ A Bill To Expedite The Usage of Student Fees

Singh

- Do we have to motion bill onto agenda or is it automatically on there?

Zha

- It's automatically on there

Motion to pass A Bill to Expedite the Usage of Student Fees.

Siddiqui - Lankarani

Call to question - Siddiqui

Hand Vote

Yes - 16

No - 0

Abstain - 1

Motion passes at 10:05PM.

Motion to pass A Resolution to Allocate Funds for Diversity, Equity, Inclusion events. Singh-Lankarani

Call to question- Kadah

Hand Vote

Yes: 12 No: 0 Abstain: 0

Zha

 (to Carlson) Do you know, the voting threshold for a financial resolution is % majority right

Carlson

- Yeah

Zha

- That is ½ majority of people present in the voting membership?

Carlson

- Might have to double check

- Is this anything related to capital funds?

Zha

- Well we're changing money from Senate Unallocated

Carlson

- ¾ for sure, but if it's voting leadership, then it's different
- I've always interpreted as 2/3s that sit at table but capital of $\frac{9}{3}$ of voting membership

Motion passes at 10:09PM. (left here)

H) Recess

Motion to enter 15 min recess Ganesh-Lankarani Call to Question – Siddiqui This motion passes at 8:31pm

Reconvened 8:47pm

I) AS UCSB Reports Student Advocate General Alvin Wang

- Do any of you know what the OSA technology is?
- For a short history lesson, over ten years ago a bunch of very passionate computer science students made their passion project Go Gaucho.

- Go gaucho is an app that you can download and it is "the better than gold". It shows your classes, has a bunch of links, as well features that make it better than gold. It was just some CS kids having fun with it.
- What happened was that last year all the people managing this app, which there's over 5000 active users on the app, which is a lot of people since we have 20,000 undergraduates; a lot of people use this app.
- All the people maintaining this app were about to graduate, so they asked me to take this project and make it part of your office, and then just give the people that work on it honorarium, which is what we did.
- So the OSA technology subcommittee is a subcommittee of OSA that happens to work on CS related projects, but their number one job is to work on go gaucho and their number two job is to work on UCSB Coral. If you have never heard of it before, it is because it is a work in progress. It is an events page for UCSB, SEAL and A.S entities all around.
- You can show the slide so you can see the way that it works
- Coral would be the events page that A.S is missing that we don't use anymore, that got pretty much deleted.
- -What my committee is working on is Go Gaucho and Coral.
- -We desperately need an events page for A.S, SEAL, and UCSB entities because shoreline has not been sufficient. That is what they are working on to try and make something that is better.
- -Right now the staff of OSA technology subcommittee is only 4 people, but we are trying to extend it to 6, and that is why there is a new bill on the agenda today authored by Dan and co-authored by me that is about that exact topic.
- -That is the first thing.
- -I forgot to mention that the technology subcommittee they are not just for UCSB OSA but also a resource for all A.S. For example, a senator has reached out to us and is working with the UCSB OSA technology subcommittee to get data for dining halls.
- -Point being, you guys can work with them.
- -The second legislation is the resolution to support dining haul reform. The reason why that is there is because as many of you know, I have been actively working on dining hall reform this year and for the past years as well.
- I wanted to reach out to Senate because they are the representatives of students here at UCSB, especially the basic needs committee.
- -I think having that resolution as a marker for collective students need is very important when it comes to negotiations that will occur at the end of this quarter but also next quarter
- I think having this resolution be passed early on will be helpful in the efforts to reform the dining halls.
- -One more thing is that this past quarter, my office has tabled 4 times, which is more than OSA tabled in the entire year.
- OSA tabled a total of 3 times last year.
- -We are doing a lot, but point being, my predecessor, who gave me a bunch of deliberations, has set aside 2000 dollars for special projects and we are slowly running

out of that. 2000 dollars is insufficient for a lot of tabling and efforts, so i am here to request additional funding

- Should I talk about my request now?

Pinto

- If you feel like its relevant now and you have that information I suggest that you do it

SAG Wang

- OSA is requesting 5000 and here is the reason. With the bill to extend the technology subcommittee, we are adding 2 more positions, which is 1800 of honorarium
- This is what go gaucho looks like (shows screen). It is both a web app and an IOS app, so if you type Go Gaucho UCSB, you can sign in
- When you enter your net id, it loads all my classes
- We are in conversation with the people who have made gold to integrate Go Gaucho into Gold itself
- You can access your classes, dining hall menus, and library capacities, so you know exactly how many people are on each floor of the library. It is quite accurate
- There are additional features such as finding which course you need and when they are offered, and maps
- Go gaucho is really cool and that committee is doing a lot of great work. We want to expand it, and we need money for that
- Need money for tabling and setting up luncheons with administration officials which we have been doing
- Any questions?

Brinderson

- Thank you for working on this, I have always enjoyed Go Gaucho
- Seeing which dining hall has the least worse meal was nice
- When it stopped working I was bummed so thanks for that.
- There's another UCSB app, UCSB Bridge, have you talked to the people that run that?

SAG Wang

- In my opinion UCSB Bridge is just links, Go Gaucho has that exact same feature. It is a better Bridge and a better Gold.
- Bridge is being runned by the administration who have tons of other things to do
- Go gaucho is independent.
- To the point of collabing that's what we're doing with GOLD. I met Joe Sabado, who founded gold 1995. I had coffee with him, he said great idea let's work on it

Bridgerson

- His name is Joe Sabado? That is wild.

Suuck

- Thank you, I am also an avid go gaucho user.
- I did the freshman summer start program, they told me about it

- Do you know if they tell freshmen in orientation about it?

SAG Wang

- Go Gaucho did not used to be University affiliated, just a passion project, so it can't exactly be a resource.
- Now is the first year that Go Gaucho is a part of the university, which is awesome
- So moving forward, it should be on pamphlets and orientations
- That is something to consider next time since orientation is in the summer and they were hired by the start of fall

Martinez

- I've been working with orientation for the past 2 summers. It's separate from the university in an interesting way.
- Where we don't get funded from university. We are completely separate, running on its own, and have its own funds
- We haven't been mentioning Go Gaucho, but we would be great to offer, but probably outside of the university

Rosillo

- Thank you for bringing that up, I'm a Go Gaucho user myself. I found it through discord.
- I Know that it's still in the works
- Have you thought of any way of spreading the word out and advertising?

SAG Wang

- It is totally a new thing under experimentation
- They have been tasked with 2 projects right now, one is dining hall reform, making sure that rollover becomes a thing. Making sure all students with a meal plan know about rollover and donations
- The second thing they have been tasked with is doing is spreading the word about Go Gaucho
- They are better than me at marketing strategies, I have a meeting with them tomorrow and I will check in. But you bring up a good point

Iden

Are there any updates to the Linkedin learning project?

SAG Wang

- I'm gonna throw numbers at you. Linkedin learning was improved by around 30,000 dollars.
- It is 30k because there are 2 numbers we are working with. One is that maybe we combine Linkedin learning project with the original HR. Right now, HR and administration get Linkedin learning.
- HR has around 5k licenses.
- We want to have Linkedin learning for all 20,000 students, then we need to buy 20,000 licenses. The more licenses you buy the cheaper it gets for every student.

- If we combine licenses with HR we would be able to save much money from 28k to 24k, which is a 4000 decrease
- What happened was that HR said no we don't want to combine because there's a specific Linkedin learning algorithm. It has a tier program in the sense that you have to buy Linkedin learning for 3 years, every year it gets more expensive
- So HR was up for renewal this january. To combine with UCSB, they would bind themselves to a three year agreement, and they did not have that flexibility
- HR decided to go by themselves.
- So me and president Broadway have a meeting to discuss a pilot program from the end of the year, january ish, to end of school year
- It was delayed because of failed negotiations
- Thank you Dr.Marquez for being present

Siddiqui

- Thank you SAG Wang
- So from my understanding the original High Impact project had been passed at 30,000 dollars. We had 58,000 dollars in our High Impact project fund.
- Meaning we've spent half of our money on this project. My understanding when we passed it was that it was going to be for the purpose of making it permanent. Now, you are telling me it is a pilot program.
- So my number one question is that it is now going to cost less than 30k, because we passed 30k for a permanent program, not a pilot program. Do we get our money back?
- Number two, is the plan to make this permanent fixture in the university?

SAG Wang

- To that point, when we presented the Linkedin learning pilot program, we did specify it was a pilot. We presented it when half of the senate was not in this room
- 28k would translate to 1.20\$ per student, for an entire year to provide them with Linkedin Learning, a service that would cost a normal person 30\$ a month.
- It is 1\$ a year versus 30\$ a month
- It is a pilot so we can get the data, and we can see if people like it. We don't want to buy it if it does not do anything for our students
- If the data is good, and a lot of students are using and benefiting from it, we can transition into permanent program, which would be a 3 year contract
- It would cost around 80-90k for the entire year for all students which is around 3-4\$ per student for whole year

Siddiqui

- So I pulled up HIP proposal here, within the proposal it says that it is a pilot program, but that it is a 6 month pilot program
- My question is in terms of making this a permanent fixture of the university, it would have to be through a lock-in fee

- The deadline for Senate to submit what lock in fees they want to propose is week 9 of Winter, which is the end of march
- How is this going to be made into a permanent program this year? Also the school year at the beginning of June, and you said you are starting at the beginning of January, so if we paid for a 6 month trial, with the hope that that trial will be over before the deadline to which the Senate can submit that lock in fees to make it a permanent program, do you see where i'm going here
- The idea we pass this funding alongside, that is not actually how it's being played out
- My question is if we're not gonna be able to do it for the full 6 months, why does it still cost the same amount and what can we do to remedy that?

SAG Wang

- Point number one is that this was discussed last year, me and President Broadwa met with reps as early as May of last year
- We intended this to start fall quarter, which clearly it has not due to breakdown in negations
- It was intended to start fall, so the six month period would be right before deadline to submit for spring action for lock in fee
- When that did not happen, it was when the HIT proposal was made, which is way better
- Linkedin learning provide data metrics as you go, so at any single moment we can see the data as it comes in
- I think 3 months is sufficient to gather data even though it would be providing all students with it for 6 months

Siddiqui

- That makes sense but it does not answer my question. This is a three month trial period instead of six so why are we still paying 20k if it's only 3 months?
- Within the project overview it says that a Linkedin learning subscription costs 30\$ a month , totals up to 180\$ for 6 months
- If the trial period is cut in half why are we paying the same amount of money?

SAG Wang

- Is it not still six month from January to June

Siddiqui

- That is 5 months

SAG Wang

- Well that is just kind of semantics
- We can just make it shorter, the \$2000 is not fixed, everything is just timeline based. If we want to do it for 5 months, we can also do it for 3 months.
- The 30k gives us wiggle room to adjust and see what we want to do with the allocated money

Executive Director Marquez

- Just want to emphasize nothing is settled, everything is still in development
- The original contract between Linkedin learning and UCSB is held outside the department and controlled by human resources. Their contract completes their cycle in December. The first effort was to unify both between A.S and a couple campuses and that did not happen.
- The specifics of pilot can still be edited

SAG Wang

- I'm here to request three things
- One is to pass the bill to expand technology committee by 2 members
- The other thing is the resolution for supporting dining hall reform, that is something you can pass today, unless you guys table it for another well. That is just a simple affirmation of things we collected agree.
- Third is I am requesting 5k from senate unallocated for OSA for honoraria, tabling purposes, and meetings with administrators

Pinto

- Thank you so much for making us all aware of Go Gaucho, it looks really nice. The overall design is beautiful

SAG Wang

- One more thing, my office just took in 7 cases in the last week. Some weeks is a lot, some weeks is not a lot, but we are already doing so great
- Tabling helps a lot

Pinto

- We will take everything you said into consideration

Ganesh point of privilege at 7:09pm

- I-1) Boards & Commissions Reports-
- I-2) Administrative Committee Reports-
- I-3) Unit Reports-

Zero Waste Committee

Aidan Flynn

- I'm the co chair for the committee
- Completely new committee, we accomplished a lot this quarter
- Sent email about barriers to composting which we want to use
- Pur athletic coordinators have continued the shoe program we started last year
- And they are looking to make

- Will be starting new textbook collection program, we collect textbooks and ship them back to the company for free
- Our outreach organized tabling
- Our admin has gone to shoreline and started newsletter
- About it for now
- Zero waste festival in spring
- We are hoping to host fixed affairs in winter and spring quarters

- Thank you so much
- Wanted to comment please make sure to send your appointments to myself and President Broadway
- If you need help pls reach out
- internalvp@as.ucsb.edu
- I think the work you do is exceptionally important
- The floor is open for questions

Flynn

Will do next time

Pinto

- If you need any help through this transition let me know i am here to support you
- We don't have any questions but thank you for coming in

Yielding chairship to Siddiqui

Point of Privilege Pinto

- I-4) President's Cabinet Report-
- I-5) Executive Director's Report-
- I-6) Executive Officers' Report -

Siddiqui on behalf of the EVPSA

- I am going to be reading off a report from EVPSA. So I am going to move us back into the Executive Officer's Report. I am reading this report on their behalf because they are unable to make it in person. This is their report of all their work they completed during the summer.
- They held weekley core staff meetings, along with additional one on ones with staff as they concluded travel books
- They caught up with requisitions and debts from the last fiscal year and made plans for the next year
- They had conversations and meetings to discuss the drafting and signing of the MLU between the EBPSA office and the finance committee as it pertains to the USSA fund
- They attended the July UCSA and the July UCSA board meeting. As well as August UCSA board meeting in Pomona
- They held UCSA general election, and UCSB legislative director was elected to be our state wide relations director chair in UCSA

- They hosted the student organizing summit during Summer which brought in the delegation of 25 UCSB students including some Senators
- The Annual Conference focuses on the intersection of organizing and student issues creating conversations and teaching skills. Also where UC students vote on new issues for UCSA to organize around for the next two years.
- This conference was previously known as the UC student conference. We selected and voted on the campaign goals for the 24-25 school year
- They attended October board in Riverside back in October
- They just hosted november UCSA board meeting at UCSB last weekend, which invited statewide organizations at our local campus in order to allow for our home A.S and UCSB students to make UC wide connections
- They met with President Michael Drake on the 25th, along with other external vice presidents from other campuses to discuss new policies including the J2, and also the new and stricter time and place policies which inhibits student encampments
- Worked on outreach, interviewing hiring, and onboarding staff
- They are planning a workshop with another organization
- They met with the Lebanese social club, students for justice in Palestine, Arab student group to discuss Mensa wellbeing programming ideas for the 24-25 school year
- Keep up with the establishment of permanent disability cultural center
- Met with local leaders, attended campus actions, and hosted social media takeover on the UCSA's Instagram account
- Engaged in policy research over the Summer
- Worked with an office to create a bill to support undocumented students, DACA recipients, AB540 students, and families who were very vulnerable to housing regulations and discrimination.
- Collected testimonials for said Bill
- They wrote drafted and signed UC wide statement on the regions passing action item J2
- Attended UCOP student organizing Summit in August alongside President Broadway and SAG Wang to discuss cross-organizational efforts for the following school year and to go over the priorities for student's safety and well-being
- UCOP outlined agendas, targets, and plans for the upcoming school year
- They began an alliance meeting with UCGPC and UCSA.
- Met with Owen Meyers, Byron Shannon, Jake Toomey, and more to discuss voter registration goals and targets for the 24-25 school year
- Met with President Broadway to plan agenda for the executive core staff retreat
- They met with the IVP to discuss joint efforts regarding amending and reconfiguring stipend application and recipient process
- They sat on UCOP workshop as undergraduate representatives
- They met with Katie Maynard and Rebecca Segundo to discuss joint statewide basic need goals for the upcoming year
- They voted on statewide student representatives for UCOP working groups and workshops

- Met with statewide leaders with potential plans to revamp the USSA, which is short for United State Students Association. It is the oldest and largest student led organization, and it presents over 4 million students across 400 campuses across the nation. Organization works to support current and future leaders amplify student voice on local, state and national level
- It did die down due to leadership disagreement, however, organizers are looking into active contacts
- I can take questions but this wasn't my work

Chairship back to pinto

Pinto

- Any questions or concerns
- SAG Alvin Wang wanted to add to the report, I would like to invite him back to the podium

Wang

- I am graduating Winter quarter, there will be a special election for my replacement. This means that there will be a special election for my replacement. My election board is self sufficient and could probably function without me
- I just wanted to let you guys know

Iden

- Is your staff planning to stay on for the remainder of the year?

SAG Wang

- Yes. They are not all coming with me
- I-7) Senator Representative Reports-
- I-8) Administrative Reports-
- J) Committee Report
- J-1) Standing Committee on Finance -
- J-2) Standing Committee on Outreach -
- J-3) Standing Committee on Liaison -
- J-4) Standing Committee on Advocacy -
- J-5) Group Project and other Temporary Committee Reports-

K) Minutes and Allocations:

™ To Be Approved

Motion to bundle and approve all motions and allocations. Siddiqui - Kadah Call to question - Rosillo Motion passed at 7:32PM.

L) Appointments

Motion to bundle and approve all motions and allocations. Butler - Khorsandi Call to question - Lankarani Motion passed at 7:32PM.

Take Back The Night

Ela Schulz - On-Campus Outreach Coordinator Mikayla Martinez - On-Campus Outreach Coordinator

<u>Jewish Committee</u>

Jake Nguyen - Vice Chair
Corelle Gabay - Secretary
Brian Yomtoubian - University Administration Coordinator
Lily Karofsky - Public Outreach Coordinator
Daniela Fisher - Events Coordinator

Environmental Justice Alliance

Luna Santiago- Project Chair Vanessa Yang- Project Chair

AS Program Board

Chair (Commissioner) - Montana Woods

Vice-Chair (Deputy Commissioner) - Graham Glass

Member at Large - Alfred Ignacio

Special Events Coordinator - Grace Freese

Special Events Assistant - Nate Dolan

Concerts Coordinator - Bella Brody

Concerts Assistant - Sariya Dyson

Publicity Coordinator - Alliza Manayan

Publicity Assistant - Brooklyn Cumes

Graphics Coordinator - Avery Paraiso

Graphics Assistant - Mariah Vaiza

Cultural Arts & Lectures Coordinator - Savina Gutierrez

Cultural Arts & Lectures Assistant - Alissa Zavala Films Coordinator - Lea Vazquez Digital Media Coordinator - Esha Shrivastava Volunteers Coordinator - Caitlin Morris

Tickets Coordinator - Reese Mackenzie

Isla Vista Tenants Union

Chair: Makeila Wilson Vice Chair: Grace Kish

Finance Director: Patrick Chen Tech Director: Ari Jacobs

Legal Director: Katie Kulvinskas Marketing Director: Jeyan Chau Outreach Director: Aaryan Gundecha

Human Resources Director: Lizeth Solorzano Zepeda

Community Director: Astrid Pike

International Relations Director: Eric Ge SBCC Relations Director: Daniel Fourchy

Judicial Council

Krishna Thaker - Chair Harriet Jackson - Vice Chair Josie Penix - Council Member

M) Discussion Items
Moved here at 10:24PM.

SAG Special Project Allocation

Zha

- SAG came to me and asked for 5K to be allocated to their special project account

Pinto

- There's been a recommendation by the SAG to motion 5,000 from unallocated to be used for special projects

Khorsandi

- We would have to motion this onto the agenda? Like hand vote?

Lala

- Point of information of sorts
- I don't know who would be able to provide this information
- Generally optimal for requests for funding to be outlined in significant detail

Zha

- The reason I know this information is that the SAG reports to the Senate

Khorsandi

- He did have the whole report with the presentation and all that
- Isn't this relating to the 2 new positions that we passed? Or is it different? Siddiqui

- First point is that it's different
- Second point, we've never passed anyone's funding requests on the day that it's introduced
- We should not make an exception here for anyone, it's not worth breaking precedent
- If no one motions this on the agenda, and it's not on the agenda, then we can discuss it at a later time

Singh

- Do we have line items for what they wanted the money for?

Zha

- Yes
- Special project for his office, he'd use it for tabling

Pinto

- I did not receive those specific line items
- Can't properly put it on agenda and present it to rest of senate

Singh

- The reasons for line items is so we have a good description for what their money is going to be used for
- Tabling is against policy, so policy stuff like that
- We need descriptions

Zha

I will let him know

Week 9 Senate Meeting/Thanksgiving

Khorsandi

- Are we meeting next week on Wednesday?

Pinto

- Next week is week 8, I think so

Siddiqui

- Yes

Brinderson

- Are we gonna talk about Thanksgiving?

Pinto

- Do you wanna meet?

Brinderson

- I think it's a holiday

Siddiqui

- Reason we're having this discussion is that we're constitutionally mandated to meet 9 times a quarter, but we don't have venue booked for that week
- If we don't meet for ninth time, entire body is in violation of constitution

Pinto

- I propose we meet online

Khorsandi

- I was originally meaning week 9, not next week
- I agree we should meet on zoom

Lala

- Would we be able to do this earlier that same week?
- Most family oriented holiday so would we be able to do that zoom option monday of that week?

Pinto

- Going to be completely real
- I have classes and orgs and meetings until 9PM on mondays and tuesdays

Singh

- Same thing

Carlson

- Could you guys just skip Wednesday or do an email vote?
- So orgs could give written reports
- Could you do it that way and send an email vote
- I may need to request money for my office
- Don't need you guys to do a meeting, just an email vote worked

Pinto

- Open to email vote because we will be approving minutes

Kadah

- Question to either AG or chair
- Would an email vote still meet legal requirements?

Pinto

- Yeah
- All in favor of email vote week 9
- Seems like room is happy with it
- We'll move it to email vote
- Now we will be discussing senate development retreat

Martinez

- Been wanting to talk abt retreat since last meeting
- What were trying to say this week, put a much bigger emphasis on this
- Carly(Lankarani) will put bigger emphasis on it
- We have option of going to LA, get a hotel there, get a conference room in UCLA, and attend trainings there
- Hotel will give breakfast, we'll have lunch, and we'll get dinner as well
- For the first day, we are going to go to an art show
- Second day, we're gonna do other stuff and have dinner and everything
- The reason that this is more preferred than the six flags one is because
- If anyone read the google form I sent out, it's that there's not enough time to get that funded

Lankarani

- Task turned out to be bigger than we thought

- Trying our best to still have trainings within this quarter
- As emphasized, by IVPD and pro-temp, these trainings have to happen sooner rather than later
- But by having those trainings in 2025, really derails us
- Honestly it is preferable and cheaper to do it sooner and its cheaper
- However, still a lot of pressure on me and martinez
- I reached out to UCLA conference and catering
- They said 'you need to give us 5k minimum just for catering' just for booking on weekend
- So as a hail mary I sent an email to EVPSA at UCLA and asked for a conference room
- If anyone has any contacts or engineering at UCLA, let me know they have big concert halls
- Trying to get trainings and retreat to you guys as soon as possible
- Want to do retreat, want to do six flags, would like for it to happen but it won't happen this quarter

- This is an opportunity to have set projects and understanding for what we aim to do next quarter and also for understanding how we want to work with each other and understand workflows
- Important to collaborative environment
- Need y'all to fill out that form and form for IVP office so we can post on instagram
- We have 11 responses, need rest of y'all to figure that out
- Do we have further questions about senate development retreat

Brinderson

- What days are we talking about

Lankarani

- November 23th and 24th

Martinez

- For sure week 8, if everything goes well if everything gets funded in time
- Going to try and pass it at finance meeting this monday
- Definitely going to be by week 8
- Much more thorough explanation on google form sent out
- Need to know how many people are going to retreat so we can book hotels
- Likely that carpool will be transportation
- Will be taking conference at UCLA and agenda will be supported by then
- Were gonna go through Little Tokyo on Sunday, have dinner together
- If anyone has any complaints, please let me know
- Working on it for awhile and tried to get six flags approved
- Talked to Marisela, reason why we couldn't go to six flags, one by how senate is being perceived by using student funds to go on this retreat
- We would have to see how we would compensate and show for that even with the trainings on day 1
- Secondly, logistically have to get it approved by vice chancellor
- Tried to do it all last week, but meetings weren't able to be held

Siddiqui

- Just have to say this cuz were on the record
- It's an issue senate leadership has had to discuss
- Just going to say it on the record: this retreat is for the primary purpose of educating senators on how to do their jobs.
- The primary purpose is based around trainings and not primarily a recreational retreat and not for fun
- Not educational based but to train people how to do their jobs
- We are using these student fees to issue this retreat
- Have everyone remember
- Yes we will be in LA and there will be fun that happens
- Legally, primarily, this is being done for trainings

Zha

- Thank you so much for all your efforts. Really appreciate you

Lankarani

- Know I sound absolutely insane, but if anyone has any contacts for engineering at UCLA, that is our hail mary
- If EVPSA can't secure something for us, our best bet is to book conference rooms through chemical engineering department
- They literally have four buildings, and so many seminar rooms that you can rent
- You just have to be a UCLA student
- This is my last ditch effort to get a cheaper conference room

Pinto

- They have a massive transfer student center, could look into that

Lankarani

- If we book officially with UCLA, they are asking 5000 for catering and we do not have that at all

Pinto

- We have been notified by staff that many hotels have internal conference rooms we can utilize
- Holly is our main connection to our travel agents, reach out to Holly
- I've been to one of the hotels we are affiliated with and it was nice

Martinez

- We've been trying to contact Marissa and Holly for a while, Marissa's out sick
- We did look into conference in the hotel and it costs an additional 3000 to go there which is why we are trying to get something cheaper
- If we tried, like having 10 rooms, each room is 200 something dollars per night
- 11 room came out to 2,800 something
- Conference room is 3k
- Adds up to around 8k if we book it at hotel
- We looked into that but we have not been getting responses

Pinto

Holly would you be able to comment on this matter

Holly

First of all, please follow up with me I may have missed your message

- To the point about booking hotel rooms and conference rooms
- We have partnership with santa barbara travel bureau
- Reason why that partnership was really awesome is that they will pay for cost of hotel room res, conference room reservation
- As a department, we reimburse them
- The goal of that is to make travel more available to students
- Would only have to cover your food
- Can cover transportation through university vehicles, as well as hotel rooms
- We can connect more to talk other options
- I was listening in on the conversation about hotel rooms with catering minimum but if we can connect, then I can help you
- They have a catering minimum, usually pretty high
- We can figure out more cost effective ideas
- Travel agent can also help

- Holly can you please set a time with our senators to get logistics down
- Is there a day specifically that works for you guys?

Lankarani

- I'm free monday after noon

Pinto

- Do you have an availability monday?

Holly

- Not really off monday sorry
- I have thursday, tomorrow free

Pinto

- Tomorrow afternoon works
- Please send myself and senators a time, google meet or zoom link
- Thank you for your support, we appreciate you

Suuck

- Thank you for your work, love your google form

Pinto

Anything else to add to discussion items?

N) Remarks

O) Adjournment

Motion to adjourn at 10:45PM. Siddiqui - Kadah Call to question - Lankarani Motion passed at 10:45PM.

Meeting adjourned at 10:45pm