****

**Senate Agenda**

Associated Students

29 May 2020

Zoom Minutes/Actions recorded by: Maggie Boyle/Yasmine Ibrahim

**CALL TO ORDER** by Tianna White, INTERNAL VICE PRESIDENT **11:04AM**

**A) MEETING BUSINESS**

**A-1) Roll Call**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Name** | **Note:**absent (excused/not excused)arrived late (time)departed early (time)proxy (full name) | **Name** | **Note:**absent (excused/not excused)arrived late (time)departed early (time)proxy (full name) |
| Adam Bagul |  Present | Gurleen Pabla |  Present |
| Yuval Cohen |  Present | Surya Pugal |  Present |
| Dania De Ramon |  Present | Esmeralda Quintero-Cubillan |  Present |
| Angel Diaz | Present | Emanuel Roman | Present |
| Catherine Flaherty |  Present | Bee Schaefer |  Present |
| Jose Gonzalez-Herrera |  Present | Hayley Slater |  Present |
| Anusikha Halder |  Present | Shva Star |  Present |
| Karsten Kolbe |  Present | Taylor Tait |  Present |
| Nathan Le | Present | Jackson Tofft | Present |
| Dylan Martinez |  Present | Eileen Tran |  Present |
| Daniel Mitchell |  Present | Aimee Wang | Present |
| Ahura Nezhad | Present  | Kyremina Youssef |  Present |
| Fabian Oseguera | Present |  |  |

**A-2) Excused Absences**

**A-3) Acceptance of Proxies**

*MOTION/SECOND: Quintero-Cubillan/Roman*

*Motion language: motion to add Dylan Kupsh to proxy for Angel Diaz after 12:45PM*

*ACTION: Vote: CONSENT*

*Vote Taken:  11:07 AM*

*MOTION/SECOND: Youssef/Quintero-Cubillan*

*Motion language: motion to add Justice Dumlao as a proxy for Senator Star after 12:30PM*

*ACTION: Vote: CONSENT*

*Vote Taken:  11:10 AM*

**B) External Reports**

**B-1) Chancellor’s Representative**-

**B-2) UCPD Representative**-

**B-3) GSA Representative**-

**B-4) University Center Governance Board Representative**-

**B-5) IVCSD Representative-**

**C) New Business**

052020-01 Bill to Update SASA Legal Code

 Halder-Gonzalez-Herrera

**Halder**

* Change of language
* Two senate liaison positions
* Position of treasures
* Supporting survivors

**Gonzalez-Herrera**

* Additional senator liaisons, and fundraisers

*MOTION/SECOND: Quintero-Cubillan/De Ramon*

*Motion language: motion to table this bill until the next meeting*

*ACTION: Vote: CONSENT*

*Vote Taken:  11:09 AM*

052020-02 Resolution to Require Public Social Media Pages for ASUCSB Senators

 Tran-Star

**Tran**

* It’s important to have social media
* This will help with having increase transparency
* Facebook was the only platform but not everyone uses Facebook
* The resolution says that senators have a say on what social media platform they want to use
* Wanted to get this passed before start of fall quarter

**Star**

* This helps with social media accountability and representations
* Makes sure constituents have transparency and have access
* Gives a professional platforms that students can have access to

*MOTION/SECOND: De Ramon/Quintero*

*Motion language: motion to move this to immediate consideration*

*ACTION: Vote: CONSENT*

*Vote Taken:  11:12 AM*

052020-03 A Resolution Reaffirming UCSB as a Sanctuary Campus

 Quintero-Cubillan-De Ramon

**Quintero-Cubillan**

* Resolution that the 70th and 69th senate has implemented
* Stands by undocumented students
* Mandates AS to proper training to know how to handle situations regarding undocumented students

**De Ramon**

* Agree with everything Senator Quintero-Cubillan stated
* This resolution will show support for the undocumented community

**Daniel Segura (Student Sponsor)**

* Hello everyone
* Thank you to both senators
* Continue to advocate for marginalized community
* Make sure we are aware what to do to help
* Urge all senators to make sure they read this resolution
* Advocate for your communities but also educate yourself on other communities
* Acknowledge work that has been done previously
* What your role is by asking them to be part of the work you are doing

*MOTION/SECOND: Youssef/Mitchell*

*Motion language: motion to move this into immediate consideration*

*ACTION: Vote: CONSENT*

*Vote Taken:  11:15 AM*

052020-04 A Resolution In Solidarity with the Mauna Kea Protectors

 Quintero-Cubillan-Youssef

**Quintero-Cubillan**

* This bill condemning the actions taken the UC is the largest stake holders 30ft telescopes
* Where they are building this telescope is a scared site
* UC has invested $60 million into the project
* A lot of the money is student fees
* Need to utilize our power that we are not okay

**Youssef**

* Stand in solidarity of environmental racism acts against indigenous

**Jeike Meijer (student Sponsor)**

* Chancellor yang is chairman of the project
* The UC has contributed 68 million dollars
* This is financially irresponsible
* Indigenous lands
* 2030 to build on the land
* The UC will not get money back from this
* Logically doesn’t make sense
* Ton of animals and plants in danger

*MOTION/SECOND: Kolbe/Gonzalez-Herrera*

*Motion language: motion to move into immediate consideration*

*ACTION: Vote: CONSENT*

*Vote Taken:  11:18 AM*

052020-05 A Resolution in Support of the UCSB 4 COLA Movement & A UC-Wide COLA

 De Ramon-Diaz

**De Ramon**

* Important to continue to support this
* 70th senate passed similar resolution
* Changes of events so trying to reframe it
* Reached out the Instagram page got in contact with coordinator on what changes has happened since February
* Military surveillance to detect protesters at UCSC
* Hasn’t gotten acknowledgement
* Covid-19 exuberated that COLA is needed more

**Diaz**

* Showcase our support
* Requires senators to be knowledgeable about the movement

**Daniel Segura (Student Sponsor)**

* Last quarter had the opportunity to work with Tianna to work on this resolution
* COLA 4 all isnt just for UCSB
* Need to understand that it has extended a lot further
* Continue to reach out and ask how you can stay involved
* Educate yourself
* Have given you the resources/ profs and grad students
* Advocating for everyone about basic needs
* Have conversations and work that address basic needs not only for students and workers
* This is happening everywhere
* Something going to continue to be on going important to all of us
* Taking concrete actions not just by posting flyers

*MOTION/SECOND: Youssef/Quintero-Cubillan*

*Motion language: motion to move this into immediate consideration*

*ACTION: Vote: CONSENT*

*Vote Taken:  11:23 AM*

052720-06 Bill to Change Isla Vista Tenant’s Union Legal Code

 Slater-Flaherty

**Slater**

* This resolution better represents inner workings of the IVTU
* Makes clearer the responsibility of IVTU positions

*MOTION/SECOND: Gonzalez-Herrea/Roman*

*Motion language: motion to table this bill until next meeting*

*ACTION: Vote: CONSENT*

*Vote Taken:  11:07 AM*

052720-07 A Resolution Directing the A.S. Executive Director to Assist with the AS Food Bank’s Location Contract Negotiations

 Cohen-Diaz

**Cohen**

* A resolution that was passed in the last meeting of 70th Senate
* Look into keeping the AS food bank and to keep their current location of the 2nd and 3rd floor

**Megan Ikeda (Student Sponsor)**

* Hi all, I’ve been working at the food bank for 3 years
* This resolution is about the food bank space
* Traditionally on the 3rd floor, but we haven’t had enough space
* Our numbers of outreach to students have increased by having it on the second floor
	+ More visibility
* Outgrown our third-floor space and use that as storage

*MOTION/SECOND: Quintero-Cubillan/De Ramon*

*Motion language: motion to move this resolution into immediate consideration*

*ACTION: Vote: CONSENT*

*Vote Taken:  11:27 AM*

052720-08 A Resolution in Recognition of the Chumash Nations

 Quintero-Cubillan-Schaefer

**Quintero-Cubillan**

* Wanted senate and AS to recognize the originally rightful owners of the land
* This resolution will mandate senate meetings to begin with a land acknowledgment every meeting

**Schaefer**

* This is very important indigenous peoples are very marginalized
* Pay homage of them and their land
* Important effort to support

**Gabriel Reyes (Student Sponsor)**

* Recognize the Chumash nation for the school, AS
* Native students make up 1%
* Indigenous erasure and complacency in the school
* Chumash nation they are original caretakers of the land and are mostly ignored
* This “acknowledgement” has only happened for 2 years
* The least the university and students can do to support the voices of minority communities
* Allow institution to foster a real relationship with the community
* Our home came murder removal of an entire community
* **Kolbe**
	+ Before every senate meeting there will be an acknowledgment?
		- **Gabriel Response**
			* Yes, the acknowledgment is drafted on the resolution of what it would say
		- **Quintero-Cubillan Response**
			* Statement crafted beside the Chumash nation to state what needs to be said
			* This statement is not empty words, we are trying to bring justice to the Chumash people and call for the justice of genocide of the indigenous people
			* Just a statement after we call to order

**Schaefer**

* This statement helps with the mindfulness to our community
* To pay respect for them and trust the system
* This statement isn’t where the power lies, the power lies with us agreeing to do the statement

**Bagul**

* Wondering specifically go into the language of the acknowledgment
* **Quintero-Cubillan Response**
	+ It is on the resolution, but I can read it to you
	+ “We ask you to join us in acknowledging the Chumash Peoples, their Elders, both past and present, as well as their future generations. We would like to take this opportunity for us all to reflect on how the work we do here today, in our search for knowledge, our opportunities to teach, and every day, in our chosen fields, affects these lands and the peoples of these lands that we work with and research to protect. We acknowledge that this University system was founded upon exclusions and erasures of many Indigenous peoples, including those on whose lands this institution is located, the villages and unceded lands of the Chumash people. This UCSB Administration has failed to faithfully and dutifully support the traditional custodians of these lands, Chumash people and Indigenous students, through their continued support of Mauna Kea. As we work together to prevent further damage to these lands and waters that support not only our livelihoods, recreation, lifestyles, research, and education, we remember that the Chumash peoples of this area have been separated from these lands, unable to maintain livelihoods as they should, unable to recreate traditionally, unable to maintain their traditional lifeways freely, and unable to have the same access to their lands that we are provided, to do their own traditional research and educate their future generations. The Chumash people are comprised of the descendants of Indigenous peoples removed from their Island of origin Limuw (Santa Cruz), Anyapac (Anacapa), Wima (Santa Rosa) and Tuqan (San Miguel), subjugated by 5 missions during Spanish colonization of the Central Coast, from Malibu to Morro Bay and inland to Bakersfield. The Villages, upon which this University sits, were a safe haven for maritime travelers. A place alive with trading, hospitality, and abundance. A place where knowledge of and from the surrounding areas, far and wide, was shared with all people of this place and its many visitors. A traditional place of sharing knowledge and education. A tradition this University has an obligation to remember. Many of the projects and research conducted by this University are within the traditional territory of the Chumash Peoples, and/or affect other Indigenous peoples in their territories and in spite of all of this, each Tribe, Council, Clan, and Band is working diligently to restore and continue their traditional stewardship practices on these lands and heal from this historical trauma. The damage that has been done and continues to be done by not sharing the true history and legacy in this place and others, and by the exploitation of the Natural Cultural Resources of these areas, can never be erased, there is no mitigation or research project that can make their communities whole again. But we can do better! As educators, friends, and allies, together, we can acknowledge the mistakes and atrocities of the past and move forward in remembrance and relationship with the local Chumash peoples and other Indigenous peoples, to facilitate their process of healing by making sure that our processes here make room for their Chumash and Indigenous voices to be heard, their Traditional Ecological Knowledge of these territories to be listened to and their peoples to be a part of the healing of these lands and waters, as well as themselves. This acknowledgment, though brief and in no way complete, demonstrates a commitment by this institution and their educators, to begin the process of creating a relationship with the local Chumash and Indigenous Communities and work to dismantle the ongoing legacies of settler colonialism. We come together today to acknowledge, stand up for, and give voice to, the unceded lands and waters of the Chumash and all their peoples.”
	+ Make up for the damage that this institution has done to indigenous people
	+ Our duty as people to repair the damage that has been done
	+ **Roman**
		- What else can we do to support the Chumash people with building UCSB institution on their land and UCSB currently still having part of the bones of the HSSB building
			* **Gabriel Response**
				+ More outreach there has been through American Indian association
				+ As was involved when Jeike was in EVPLA
				+ Real relationship fostered
				+ Institution as a whole needs to reach out to them
				+ Anthropology department has access of remains of indigenous people
			* **Quintero-Cubillan Response**
				+ Create advocate tribal liaison position and an indigenous studies
				+ Advocating “educating the native” call out those instances of microaggression implication trying to paint the indigenous people as savages
				+ Expectation do that for all marginalized communities
	+ **Pabla**
		- All you read is that what is
			* **Quintero-Cubillan Response**
				+ Doing this at every meeting and only a piece of the work that we should be doing
	+ **Tofft**
		- Did you imply in the language that the IVP would read this at senate meetings?
			* **Quintero-Cubillan Response**
				+ As the IVP facilitates meetings, yes
	+ **Star**
		- Just wanted to state the clarifications needed are
		- Very least we can do acknowledgment to original care keepers of the land
		- We might be able to have this statement read from each senator
		- The statement gives the recognition that is needed and deserved

*MOTION/SECOND: Mitchell/Nazad*

*Motion language: motion to move this resolution into immediate consideration*

*ACTION: Vote: CONSENT*

*Vote Taken:  11:48 AM*

052720-09 Pardall Center Governance Board Legal Code Update

 Quintero-Cubillan-Diaz

**Quintero-Cubillan**

* Wanted to make the governance board more official

**Daniel Segura (Student Sponsors)**

* This is just legal code
* Something that Diana Collins Puente told be to bring back
* We haven’t gotten as much publicity
* Off-campus senators reach out to the governance board and the Pardall Center
* The Pardall Center can be used as a space for events when we can do so again
* The governance board and the Pardall Center deserves more recognition in AS

*MOTION/SECOND: De Ramon/Nazaad*

*Motion language: motion to table this bill until the next meeting*

*ACTION: Vote: CONSENT*

*Vote Taken:  11:51 AM*

052720-10 A Resolution Condemning the Conditions of the Navajo Nation

 Quintero-Cubillan-Halder

**Quintero-Cubillan**

* The Navajo nation is the largest indigenous nation in North America
* They have surpassed any other state in the number of COVID-19 cases
* US said they were going to give $8 million in fiscal aid but instead they were given body bags
* We need to support the Navajo nation
* We enjoy running water and electricity; they don’t
* The Navajo nation is a food desert, so the population can’t social distance because of the limited options to go to grocery stores
* There are not many hospitals either
* The knowledge of indigenous people is held in the elders so if they don’t get helped their elders and the population as a whole is very high risk
* If we lose them then we lose our culture

**Halder**

* We can’t disregard what they have faced
* They are facing systemic lack of resources including medical

*MOTION/SECOND: Mitchell/Cohen*

*Motion language: motion to move this resolution into immediate consideration*

*ACTION: Vote: CONSENT*

*Vote Taken:  11:54 AM*

052720-11 A Resolution for Associated Students Accountability

 Quintero-Cubillan-Roman

**Quintero-Cubillan**

* To legal code we are mandated a queer 101 training
* The queer community is way broader in mandate trainings that are already in place
* This will add a Trans101 and UndocumentedQueer101
* Has information about terminology and how we can support them

**Roman**

* Essentially this is going to say we need to stay in touch of cultural touched organizations
* Not saying it for a platform

**IVP**

* Making it for all honoraria earners

*MOTION/SECOND: Cohen/Halder*

*Motion language: motion to move this resolution into immediate consideration*

*ACTION: Vote: CONSENT*

*Vote Taken:  11:56 AM*

052720-12 A Resolution In Solidarity With Survivors

 Quintero-Cubillan-Halder

**Quintero-Cubillan**

* Wrote this with help from Alia Reynolds
* Because of the actions of previous senate, it is important to say that we are in support of survivors
* They are the most in need
* As a survivor you feel really left out of positions and systems of power
* As a senate we are in solidary

**Halder**

* Feel disenfranchised
* Help them with that and advocate for them
* There are people that are survivors that often marginalized within the survivor community

**Alia Reynolds (Student Sponsor)**

* Speaking on legal code
* Simple change served as director of SASA promoted to a BCU so we wanted to create co-chair positions
* There was couple other additions of treasure and expansion of legal code
* Echo what the senators said
* Is a great first step and this isn’t where it stops
* Rather than just having this resolution there also needs to be action to back up that claim

*MOTION/SECOND: Gonzalez-Herrera/Tofft*

*Motion language: motion to move this resolution into immediate consideration*

*ACTION: Vote: CONSENT*

*Vote Taken:  12:02 PM*

052720-13 A Bill to Update the Legal Code of the External Vice-President for Local Affairs

 De Ramon-Quintero-Cubillan

**De Ramon**

* Yasamin Salari helped with these legal code changes
* Reflect duties of the office

**Quintero-Cubillan**

* Adds the position of a crisis coordinator
* Changed the IV Food Coop representative to Food security coordinator

*MOTION/SECOND: Tofft/Diaz*

*Motion language: motion to table this bill until the next meeting*

*ACTION: Vote: CONSENT*

*Vote Taken:  12:03 PM*

052720-14 A Resolution Addressing On-Campus Housing Under A Fall Quarter Hybrid Scenario

 De Ramon-Pabla

**De Ramon**

* Last week the UC president said UC campus would be open for the fall
* Create implications for on campus housing, singles and doubles
* Increased fees for students who have to move
* Freshman not given housing (first gen, low income, students of color) impact
* Realize it is hypothetical important we put our position to housing department

**Pabla**

* This is currently hypothetical important we consider incoming student
* Disproportionately affects students

*MOTION/SECOND: Diaz/Bagul*

*Motion language: motion to move this resolution into immediate consideration*

*ACTION: Vote: CONSENT*

*Vote Taken:  12:06 PM*

052720-15 A Resolution In Condemnation of the Mismanagement of the FCI and USP Lompoc

Covid-19 Outbreak

 Halder-Youssef

**Halder**

* This is a pressing issue
* Covid-19 outbreak currently around 80 active cases
* Could have prevented through sanitary policies
* Incarcerated population is affected by the pandemic
* Incarcerated individuals weren’t offered legal or medical assistance during this time

**Youssef**

* Incarcerated population in these two location have been very vulnerable
* Social distancing isn’t possible in prison
* Basic needs to be addressed
* AS needs to acknowledge this mistreatment

**Alexandra Gessesse (Student Sponsor)**

* Wanted to acknowledge George Floyd
* This resolution is important as you know that the authors have written this resolution
* Important discussion we have to have
* Lompoc is new to us
* As a university we have established relationships with elected officials
* Police brutality in prisons, covid-19 restrictions has made it difficult for them to advocate
* Push for dialogue about this issues to elected officials
* Though we advocate for the campus, and our community of IV we also need to represent and be the voice to those of the greater community

*MOTION/SECOND: De Ramon/Mitchell*

*Motion language: motion to move this resolution into immediate consideration*

*ACTION: Vote: CONSENT*

*Vote Taken:  12:11 PM*

052720-16 A Bill to Amend Isla Vista Arts’ Legal Code

 Mitchell-Tran

**Mitchell**

* IV Arts is now a BCU we have a big legal code to match our BCU level
* Things being added
* New IV live representative, Magic Lanterns film representative, rep for the box, Work magazine, and a secretary position

*MOTION/SECOND: Tofft/Pabla*

*Motion language: motion to table this until the next meeting*

*ACTION: Vote: CONSENT*

*Vote Taken:  12:13 PM*

052720-17 Senate Responsibilities Legal Code Update

Quintero-Cubillan-Roman

**Quintero-Cubillan**

* Wanted to make this bill after attending the 70th senate and seeing how Senator Campos said they didn’t have responsibility to maintain connection with their constituents
* This bill is saying if you receive a question there is expectation to answer their questions in a timely manner

**Roman**

* Our responsibility to remain communicative with constituents
* We don’t believe it is our responsibility isnt what we are here to do

**Alana Ulloa (Student Sponsor)**

* Senators are elected officials
* Need to respect and respond
* Should be an obligation as a senator
* Make sure senators are taking concerns to constituents
* Pointing them to another senator that is more knowledgeable about the question at hand
* This bill will promote and ensure accountability and transparency between senators and students

*MOTION/SECOND: Gonzalez-Herrera/De Ramon*

*Motion language: motion to table this bill until the next meeting*

*ACTION: Vote: CONSENT*

*Vote Taken:  12:17 PM*

052920-18 A Resolution Denouncing the Use of Force Against George Floyd

 Quintero-Cubillan-Youssef

**Quintero-Cubillan**

* \*Trigger waring\*: Racialized violence
* We should be aware of the Minneapolis, Los Angeles, and other cities that have
* Need to continue support the protesters we are seeing the 45th president is actively calling for violence again protesters
* We need to come out and support protesters

**Youssef**

* AS needs to actively seek these protests
* State sanctioned and racialized violence
* Educate yourself on this
* Black lives matter

**Zion Solomon (Student Sponsor)**

* Talk about anti-black violence
* Emit Mary Turner a black mother who was lynched after protesting the murder of her husband of a white mob
* Violent responses
* Encourage us to go
* Allocate funds to Minnesota freedom fund
* Support protesters as they are being violently attacked through the country

*MOTION/SECOND: De Ramon/Gonzalez-Herrera*

*Motion language: motion to move this into immediate consideration*

*ACTION: Vote: CONSENT*

*Vote Taken:  12:22 PM*

052920-19 A Bill to create the Black Women’s Health Collaborative

 Quintero-Cubillan-Star

**Quintero-Cubillan**

* Wrote this bill in collaboration with community members
* New BCU target Black women
* The current BCUs don’t support the Black community
* There are specific experiences from being Black indigenous and POC
* This is one piece of a larger puzzle

**Star**

* Necessary to have a space for Black women
* Currently no AS entity that does this
* Especially with political turmoil this is clear that this is necessary

**Zion Solomon**

* The purpose to set up an as commission to adequately present
* Black women’s bodies have been used to
* Momentary hashtags
* #Sayhername campaign to highlight Black women who have fallen victim to anti-Black violence in the United States
* Different experiences from being a Black woman and a women of color
* Black students are getting much less per year from AS then what’s being put into
* History of mis underrepresentation

**Sada Abdullahi**

* “The A.S. Black Women’s Health Collaborative shall serve as an educational and advocacy forum for Black Women, Women-Aligned, and Non-Binary community members. The purpose of Black Women’s Health Collaborative is to serve as a funding source for all Black student organizations.”
* Systematically Black people, especially Black women have been excluded from certain spaces
* This has been a fire in our community
* **Nezhadd**
	+ Systematically excluded from some spaces on campus, I think it’s important to be vocal open about that can she mentioned some spaces that have noticed that are being excluded of black women
		- **Sada Response**
			* Personal level: AS as a whole and as a student and a BCU receiving funding have to go through multiple loops, spaces like Greek life that don’t specifically exclude them but we don’t feel safe in them
			* Didn’t complete my term being feeling that these spaces aren’t created for me and being an AS member couldn’t achieve these goals
			* Gear toward our needs wouldn’t have through other groups
			* It’s not specific exclusion

*MOTION/SECOND: De Ramon/Roman*

*Motion language: motion to table this bill until the next meeting*

*ACTION: Vote: CONSENT*

*Vote Taken:  12:36 PM*

 La Comunidad Latinx Graduation funding request $10,000

*MOTION/SECOND: Roman/Star*

*Motion language: motion to move this into immediate consideration*

*ACTION: Vote: CONSENT*

*Vote Taken:  12:38 PM*

*MOTION/SECOND: Cohen/Nezad*

*Motion language: motion to suspend orders of the day and go into appointments*

*ACTION: Vote: OBJECTION*

*Vote Taken:  12:39 PM*

*Vote Taken: Vote-to-Vote: 14-10-1 PASS 12:44 PM*

*Vote Taken: Hand Vote: 14-10-1 PASS 12:45 PM*

**Moved back here at 1:11PM**

*MOTION/SECOND: Quintero-Cubillan/Youssef*

*Motion language: motion to move into a 5-minute recess*

*ACTION: Vote: CONSENT*

*Vote Taken:  1:11PM*

*MOTION/SECOND: Mitchell/De Ramon*

*Motion language: motion to bring us out of recess*

*ACTION: Vote: CONSENT*

*Vote Taken:  1:16 PM*

**Resumed at 1:16PM**

**D) PUBLIC FORUM**-

 **Nathan Kruse**

* Here to do the EAB quarterly report
* We have been having weekly core meeting
* Spring events cancelled
* Sending out weekly newsletters
* Sustainability coalition representative of F&B
* Couples as entities sustainability policies
* Purchasing is big for sustainability
* Important to have an F&B reps
* Thank you for being considerate of public forum
* I have spent so much of my time around 6 hours waiting at these meetings waiting to speak at public forum

**Lisbeth Martinez and Anali Mendez**

* Here to present funding request for La Comunidad Latinx Graduation
* Due to COVID-19 outbreak cancelling this ceremony
* Sending out a CLG package to our grads includes shirt and stole
* Most of our grads are undocumented, and the first in their family to graduate from college
* Financial constrain fully refund registration fee for the in-person grad
* 60 if registers in fall and 8o in winter
* Our org has a lack of financial support received from the university even though this university is deemed to be a Hispanic serving intuition with 29% of the student population being Hispanic
* Have not helped
* Full refunds will be able to financially support their families- very different for everyone
* Help advocate with these individuals
* 375 participants
* Asking for a total request of $10,000
* **Quintero-Cubillan**
* Is there anything else AS can do to support CLG now and in the future?
	+ **Lisbeth & Anali Response**
		- Getting our message across
		- 47th year this grad is taking place but no support from the institution
		- Advocate for that in AS
		- For financial aid, since we have to fundraiser
		- UCLA and UCB do not have this and have own professional staff organize this
		- We aren’t being paid for this that could be advocated more
		- UCSB uses diversity at floor for funding opportunities so keeping the university accountable
* **De Ramon**
* Do you have any contact info?
	+ **Lisbeth & Anali Response**
		- **Clgcermoniescahir@gmail.com**

**Timothy Grisby**

* Welcome you all to the 71st senate
* I am the campus support advisor
* Wanted to invite and inform you about the post study group for senators and execs
* CLAS to provide resources for you all
* Since you are all students first

**Jack Edmond**

* Outgoing cochair of Trans and Queer Commission
* Quarterly report
* Recently changed our name to Trans and Queer Commission
* Covid-19 cancelled pride week
* We gave our funds to the COVID-19 response task force
* Suspended weekly meetings
* Board members to create projects to get honoraria
* Legal code revisions, media campaigns, more info office progress reports

**Dylan Kupsh**

* UCSB UAW,
* Update on what’s happening with labor issues among different unions
* Academic researchers UCOP since beginning of the quarter
* Demands: tuition remission for campus-based fees, TAs working conditions aren’t their living conditions, emergency housing for grad students- UCOP responded, UCSD housed by regular grad students
* Didn’t have a response
* Childcare needs especially hard stipend for ta tutors and leaders
* University has no idea what they’re doing with the hybrid plan
* No idea about testing
* What happens if a student has a class, they didn’t have a response about that?
* UCSD using them as guinea pigs
* What after June 30th is going to happen?
* Budget cut 10%- use endowment fund
* No rehire their lecturers and teaching staff
* In other unions job security, 2% wage increase, took away that offer after covid-19
* Undergrad Tas getting tuition remission
* COLA- UCSB ended up grading strike
* UCSC admin is holding a grudge with the grad students
* Submitted grades because said they were getting this offer
* Pulled off of the reinstatement offer
* Student conduct judicial system is messed up
* Getting punishments even though they should eb protected by union contract
* Student conduct
	+ Discipline on workers majority black and brown
	+ UCOP discipline students equality of discipline racial aspect of discipline
* Military technology to record strikes
* Unfair labor practice charges
* **De Ramon**
	+ How can we best support UAW? Relation on student conduct charges how legal is that? Increased militarization, how can we advocate against that?
	+ **Dylan Response**
		- Student conduct things likes to do, union contract is huge burden to discipline a TA or tutor, using these charges to go through the union contract, advocating alongside grad students, lots of what we are doing is internal
* **Mitchell**
	+ There are cases of surveillance and militaristic activity, so is there anything we can do to condemn this response to the protests? What can us senators do in response to that?
	+ **Dylan Response**
		- I don’t think AS can do, 68th senate disarm UCPD, the vice article was only for UCSC strongly suspect that they had stuff there, repassing the disarm UCPD

**Isabella Liu**

* Congrats on your appointment
* Touch upon recognize the power you hold as senators and don’t abuse that
* Mitchell asking silence discussion
* Encourage discussion and listen to your fellow senators and constituents
* Don’t be performative, your actions really matter
* Critiquing concerns isnt being an ally this isnt directed as anyone
* In regards legislation of indigenous people and Black Lives Matter
* These are people they have concerns and experiences
* Saying that you hear them isnt enough

**Alexandra Gessesse**

* Asking for you all to stand in solidarity with black students
* With everything going on UCSB isnt exclusive
* Lack of ignorance UCSPD and police brutality is recorded and documented
* Take advantage police review board left unattended
* Allowed campus to have
* I would appreciate you to be there demonstration in IV
* Walking stroke to sands, asking you to hold UCPD accountable
* If that’s creating a BCU or role in the exec office

**Jeike Meijer**

* Only person to us
* Indigenous issues are important to me
* UCSB senate has a stand
* Our chancellor is the chair
* Navajo resolution
	+ The united states has failed to act people are dying needs to act might feel small tell the whole nations
	+ Starting small and starting at our home
	+ Quick history lesson: America is occupied land, there was genocide, still living with those impacts today, have a responsibility to make our voice loud and if we are silent then this will continue
	+ There have been years and years of harm and a couple minutes in the beginning of a senate meeting is so small
	+ Do not have a native American or indigenous studies at UCSB doesn’t value our voices
	+ Its so insulting to have so much that is going on and have everyone ignore it
	+ Resolution is the most basic step to be an ally
* **Mitchell**
	+ Do you know of orgs that the school or individuals can we invest in for the Navajo nation?
		- There’s lots of mutual funds that are available, organizing group on campus
		- This is ongoing colonization in Hawaii
* **Martinez**
	+ Go over with the creation of indigenous department and how we can support establishing one?
		- Minor housed under religious studies more of an emphasis
		- Tried to get it established of which classes would be taken matter of cooperation of admin and want to fund it
		- Getting admin to believe this is an important thing
		- This is a small anecdote why these resolutions are important
		- Historically been erased from this institution
* **Pugal**
	+ Thanks for all of your work, and that video that you posted on the Facebook page it’s scary that I wouldn’t have been informed without videos like those, shows we need more discourse
* **Quintero-Cubillan**
	+ Thank you for coming, now that we introduced what is your expectation for the 71st senate
		- **Jeike Response**
			* Resolution and wasn’t a bill and pushed aside
			* Every student should know about the erasure of genocide
			* Love the idea of having senator read it once, advocate for native people, taking time outside of your responsibilities because that is how we undo the learning we’ve done about how this colonialism is normal
			* First step is acknowledging and take the effort to connect to the Chumash community and other native students

**Gabriel Reyes**

* Chumash resolution
* Urge for the upmost support of the Chumash recognition as land and respect as integral part of our cultural as native people and an integral part of the Chumash tradition
* As a native student myself and an advocate for native and indigenous people I am truly disgusted by the empathy of senators that did not take the time to read the resolution that was so diligently crafted
* As senators you should have read this resolution before attending the meeting
* If you view this recognition as an inconvenience as feeds to complacent cultural of this predominately white institution
* It is disrespectful not only to the Chumash nation but also to the native students in this campus as a whole

**Aaron Jones**

* Director of EOP
* Used to work for AS
* AS/EOP grant
* Allocated 40,000 to students in need
* The criteria adjusted last year, requirement that applications take out 50% of subsided loan
* There are students who haven’t accepted the loan and that choice doesn’t apply
* Being able to support students
* Asking for you all to make a resolution with this exception for the rest of this fiscal year
* **De Ramon**
	+ Wondering provide contact
		- **Aaron Response**
			* **aaronjones@ucsb.edu**

**Charles Neumann**

* Hi I am the former Co-chair of AS Global Gaucho Commission
* Calling for the 71st senate to take action against a proposed bill by US senators Tom Cotton Marsha Blackburn
* If passed would bar Chinese international students from engaging in STEM graduate and post-graduate from American universities
* Long term would affect all Chinese international STEM students from progressing their education in the United States
* Draft a statement today standing against this legislation
* Ask all of you to continue progress in representing international students

**Izzy Mitchell**

* Hope you are doing well
* Wanted to touch on a couple things
* Appreciate the changes that were made with this meeting
* I agree with Isabella Liu’s statements
* Reiterate how you need to prioritize public forum
* I understand you need to do what helps the students
* I waited for 4 hours to speak
* I understand there are concerns but you have to prioritize public forum that students need to wait this long
* Senator Mitchell and Senator Bagul rule by majority
	+ Discuss this as a group and disrespectful to constituents
* Be open to criticism and student leaders
* Open to changing to decisions and open your mindset
* Taking actions are important
* Just because it says in legal code doesn’t mean you can’t change it
* If there is something in legal code
* Hoping this goes beyond statements, need actions right now
* **Roman**
	+ Thank you for waiting a lot of us are students thank you for coming
* **Nezhad**
	+ We went into this delay and couldn’t approve the pro-tempts are going to engage in this matter, I would have personalized like to approve
	+ Any concerns with the positions
		- **Isabel Response**
			* The argument to prioritize public forums, that whole discussion took 30 min that’s a long time for people to speak at public forum
			* Acknowledge that no one said not to talk about it
			* Conversation that is going to take 30 minute
			* Don’t have concerns, stand by that you need to have discussions when approving people
* **Quintero-Cubillan**
	+ How can we continue to support?
		- **Isabel Response**
			* Really awesome to have resolutions ensuring you have representative followers and have students to be aware of what’s going on, ask students of their opinion, I am the source of the zoombombing the fact that comment was made was absurd
* **Pugal**
	+ In a bind, mentioned that we need to reach people in public forum one side is saying if we have this discussion quick students faster, the other side is saying we should prolong discussion later and get to public forum faster, if you were in my position what would you do
		- **Isabel Response**
			* I would definitely postpone the discussion for the meeting and agree you have to make a decision for this meeting
			* Move these conversations to after public forum out of respect of students
* **Kolbe**
	+ Alert system when public forum will start and won’t have to wait so long with other suggestions
		- **Isabel Response**
			* Think that’s a good idea

**Tyler Ferguson**

* Saying your constituents want better things on campus
* Want leaders that draft legislation that matters
* So much power to do
* Don’t take your role for granted
* Work across the aisle
* Block party is happening
* We see the senate polarizing with your voting
* You are not Campus United or Isla Vista Party senators
* Don’t play political games
* Act in ways that would help your constituents

**Gianna Pineda**

* AS Bike shop mechanics
* Concerns with the new bike ship
* Working with Alison and campus finance committee
* Met with them last week to address concerns
* Appearance, traffic, parking
	+ Inviting missions to collaborate with them
	+ Working at the bike shop is such a small space
	+ Current space can’t cover needs
	+ Ask for senate support funding direct resolution AS exec director
* **Proxy Dylan**
* Do you know anything about project labor agreements?
	+ **Gianna Response**
		- Don’t know I wish I could clarify that to you maybe you can reach out by email

**Rachel Huang**

* UCSB is on stolen Chumash land
* They are continuing caretakers of their land, needs to be recognized in the UCSB system
* So many resources are diverted from the Chumash community
* First step to honor as nonnatives to indigenous

**Alia Reynolds**

* Wanted to reiterate that you all should respectful toward public forum
* I’ve personally heard texting
* Refrain from being on your phone
* It shows students that you aren’t listening to their concerns and opinions

**Justice Dumlao**

* Couple of points
* Protest tomorrow, show your support passing out masks and giving informational flyers
	+ Digital version as well if you don’t w
	+ Adhere to social distancing
	+ Earlier when we were adding things to immediate consideration
* This meeting has been put back, unbundle hear to reread you the things you have been given
* If you don’t want to do that work let someone else do it
* Party lines
	+ There are not parties, make your own decisions
	+ Hasn’t been happen
	+ Directly on party lines
	+ Appointments made; made on party lines on compatibility
	+ Appointing people on party lines for me that seems a hypocritical stance why we have
	+ There is an issue here, have an honest discussion about this
* Starting at storke and going to sands- protest on Saturday, hope that you come out if you are able to!
* **Nezhad**
	+ Do you think the positions weren’t approved by a specific party line as well?
		- **Justice Response**
			* Yea I think they were, they wanted to have a discussion having a discussion is important
* **Pugal**
	+ Allegations of favoritism, for me concerning that so freely, interviews being made outlined a basis what would the discussion contribute to get us working together
		- **Justice Response**
			* Highlight things conducive to anything
			* Better to have conversations then not to
* **Mitchell**
	+ Dumb decision on my part to run into a vote, now I understand a lot of people would like to speak their minds

**Quintero-Cubillan**

* “This Saturday at Noon (12PM) we will march/peacefully assemble at storke tower. We will walk across DP to sands beach. The voice of Black people needs to be heard. We need the support of allies, we need to stop letting tragedies happen and going on as if nothing happened, we NEED action. Please come in solidarity.”
	+ Got this information about this march happening on 5/30
	+ Important to recognize how systematical racism
	+ Show up for a community that is marginalized
	+ Black communities and protesters
* Indigenous resolutions
	+ There has been so many disrespectful questions toward these resolutions
	+ As senators it is our job to read resolutions before meetings

**Surya Pugal**

* There are so many things wrong with the ASCUSB website
	+ Bills and resolutions aren’t been on the update of 2018
	+ Contact information of senate
	+ Lack of communication for students
	+ Minutes are rarely being uploaded
	+ Need to fix these things
	+ It isn’t right that we aren’t being transparent and accessible to the student body that is outside of AS
* This is all frustrating
* **IVP**
	+ Create a technology committee comprehensive plan to keep up the website
	+ Possible to enter a closed session directional resolution of minutes
	+ It extends to BCUs not only to senate
* **Tait**
	+ Incorporate social media accounts, contact info
* **Pugal**
	+ Senator website contact information
* **Marisela**
	+ Intent of the entire website that each entity be run by the entity at question
	+ Interlocking system
* **Proxy Dylan**
	+ Can we send the agenda to more emails?
	+ **IVP Response**
* Unless you part of the current listers, can’t be added
* This is decided within an ad hoc committee
* Creating a way for a serve for students
* **Marisela**
	+ Anyone can access these forms
* **Le**
* Thank you so much Surya would love to work with you on making improvements and adjustments to the website
* An ad hoc addresses these concerns would work can be done throughout the summer or can it be done throughout the school year?
	+ **Marisela Response**
		- Yes, it could be done during the summer

**Soha Sadeghinejad**

* From what I’ve experienced last year
* Disappointed with how things are running currently
* People are fighting not for the right reasons
* Seems like a lot of senators are running your own agenda
* I’m not being represented and how people are taking so much time be much smoother if people worked together

*MOTION/SECOND: Quintero-Cubillan/Mitchell*

*Motion language: motion to take a 25-minute recess*

*ACTION: Vote: CONSENT*

*Vote Taken:  3:24 PM*

*MOTION/SECOND: Mitchell/De Ramon*

*Motion language: motion to end recess*

*ACTION: Vote: CONSENT*

*Vote Taken: 3:52 PM*

 **Resumed at 3:52PM**

**E) Acceptance of Agenda**

*MOTION/SECOND: De Ramon/* *Quintero-Cubillan*

*Motion language: motion to accept the agenda*

*ACTION: Vote: CONSENT*

*Vote Taken: 3:53 PM*

**F) Consent Calendar**-

**G) Action Items**

**G-1) Immediate Consideration**

052020-02 Resolution to Require Public Social Media Pages for ASUCSB Senators

 Tran-Star

*MOTION/SECOND: De Ramon/* *Quintero-Cubillan*

*Motion language: motion to pass*

*ACTION: Vote: CONSENT*

*Vote Taken: 3:54 PM*

052020-03 A Resolution Reaffirming UCSB as a Sanctuary Campus

 Quintero-Cubillan-De Ramon

*MOTION/SECOND: Mitchell/Schaefer*

*Motion language: motion to pass*

*ACTION: Vote: CONSENT*

*Vote Taken: 3:54 PM*

052020-04 A Resolution In Solidarity with the Mauna Kea Protectors

 Quintero-Cubillan-Youssef

*MOTION/SECOND: De Ramon/Mitchell*

*Motion language: motion to pass*

*ACTION: Vote: CONSENT*

*Vote Taken: 3:55 PM*

052020-04 A Resolution In Solidarity with the Mauna Kea Protectors

 Quintero-Cubillan-Youssef

*MOTION/SECOND:* *Quintero-Cubillan*/ *Schaefer*

*Motion language: motion to pass*

*ACTION: Vote: CONSENT*

*Vote Taken: 3:55 PM*

052020-05 A Resolution in Support of the UCSB 4 COLA Movement & A UC-Wide COLA

 De Ramon-Diaz

*MOTION/SECOND:* *Quintero-Cubillan*/ *Schaefer*

*Motion language: motion to pass*

*ACTION: Vote: CONSENT*

*Vote Taken: 3:55 PM*

052720-07 A Resolution Directing the A.S. Executive Director to Assist with the AS Food Bank’s Location Contract Negotiations

 Cohen-Diaz

*MOTION/SECOND:* *De Ramon/ Halder*

*Motion language: motion to pass*

*ACTION: Vote: CONSENT*

*Vote Taken: 3:56 PM*

052720-08 A Resolution in Recognition of the Chumash Nations

 Quintero-Cubillan-Schaefer

*MOTION/SECOND: Halder/Tait*

*Motion language: motion to pass this resolution*

*ACTION: Vote: OBJECTION*

*Call to question rescinded*

**Nezhad:** I have a question not regarding this

**White:** We are in a discussion about this resolution

**Mitchell:** In this resolution, the land recognition statement is to be read by the IVP and each senator at the beginning of each meeting, correct me if I am wrong. I completely support this; I just think that having every senator read this at the beginning of each meeting is time consuming

**White:** This would require me to read this publicly at the beginning of each meeting, but I believe the authors intended that every senator reads it along with me staying it aloud

**Mitchell:** Oh, I see

**Quintero-Cubillan:** It would yield the call of order

**Dumlao:** *Reserve my Right* I would like to call to question

*MOTION/SECOND:* *Quintero-Cubillan/*Schaefer

*Motion language: motion to pass*

*ACTION: Vote: CONSENT*

*Vote Taken: 3:55 PM*

052720-11 A Resolution for Associated Students Accountability

*MOTION/SECOND:* *Quintero-Cubillan* /*Schaefer*

*Motion language: motion to pass*

*ACTION: Vote: Objection*

Objection

**Pugal:** Two points of clarification would the seminars be live or pre-recorded

**White:** Trainings are under the discretion of the IVP because it is the duty of the IVP to create and ensure that trainings happen every single year. So, depending on the future format of trainings, I am currently working to, as I stated in the past, to possibly make trainings over the duration of spring and summer to ensure that all honoraria earners, senators, and execs are properly trained before they start their term. So that would be something that is decided in the future, but it would be for the purpose of short-term interest because we are remote, and our trainings are over summer this would be pre-recorded and posted because it will be happening over zoom in summer.

**Pugal:** Okay sounds good, thank you

*MOTION/SECOND:* *Quintero-Cubillan/Schaefer*

*Motion language: motion to pass*

*ACTION: Vote: CONSENT*

*Vote Taken: 4:03 PM*

052720-12 A Resolution In Solidarity With Survivors

 Quintero-Cubillan-Halder

*MOTION/SECOND:* *Gonzalez-Herrera/Youssef*

*Motion language: motion to pass*

*ACTION: Vote: CONSENT*

*Vote Taken: 4:04 PM*

052720-14 A Resolution Addressing On-Campus Housing Under A Fall Quarter Hybrid Scenario

 De Ramon-Pabla

*MOTION/SECOND:* *Halder/Schaefer*

*Motion language: motion to pass*

*ACTION: Vote: CONSENT*

*Vote Taken: 4:05 PM*

052720-15 A Resolution In Condemnation of the Mismanagement of the FCI and USP Lompoc

Covid-19 Outbreak

 Halder-Youssef

*MOTION/SECOND:* *Roman*/*Schaefer*

*Motion language: motion to pass*

*ACTION: Vote: CONSENT*

*Vote Taken: 4:05 PM*

052920-18 A Resolution Denouncing the Use of Force Against George Floyd

 Quintero-Cubillan-Youssef

*MOTION/SECOND:* *Halder*/*Nezhad*

*Motion language: motion to pass*

*ACTION: Vote: CONSENT*

*Vote Taken: 4:05 PM*

La Comunidad Latinx Graduation funding request $10,000

**White:** From my understanding, they are asking for $10,000 for (see funding request) Question to the Executive Director, where would this funding come from

**Executive Director Marquez:** It would come from the unallocated funds for the current fiscal year

**White:** Is that senate unallocated?

**Executive Director Marquez:** Yes, the Association’s unallocated funds that are internally allocated for graduations and end of the year support.

**White:** Thank you, Cindy had sent me earlier, I believe that there is still $32,008 left in cultural weeks and graduation funds? Does that sound correct Marisela?

**Executive Director Marquez:** That is the one yes

**White:** I would recommend passing this

*MOTION/SECOND:* *Quintero-Cubillan*/*Kolbe*

*Motion language: motion to pass La Comunidad Latinx Graduation funding request of $10,000*

*ACTION: Vote: CONSENT*

*Vote Taken: 4:08 PM*

**G-2) Old Business**-

**H) Recess**-

*MOTION/SECOND:* *Quintero-Cubillan* /*Youssef*

*Motion language: motion to skip recess*

*ACTION: Vote: CONSENT*

*Vote Taken: 4:08 PM*

**I) ASUCSB Reports**

**I-1) Administrative Committees**-

**I-2) Boards and Commissions**-

**\*\*\*Moved here 4:24 PM\*\*\***

**Tyler:** Thank you for letting me back, my name is Tyler Ferguson and I am the chair of IV Arts. We established a board, set a budget, and worked with senators to update our legal code. We have also created our online presence via Instagram. Looking forward to the future, we plan on building up our social media presence such as websites and Instagram. Long term we hope to build a new magazine stand for Word magazine

**I-3) Unit Reports**-

**I-4) President’s Cabinet Report** -

**I-5) Executive Director’s Report**-

**Executive Director Marquez:** Welcome you all to your Senate, I really look forward to working with you throughout this year. For me, I have worked here for 12 years and before that I worked with the Academic Senate for 7 years. I have also researched and taught at the University. I am happy to help organize a march on Saturday, I think it is very important. Presently, I have completed all staff recruitment for this year, however there is a hiring hold on the campus. Although you have funding in your budget to complete this process, there is one advisor that is the lead for the CFF position. We completed all of the interviews and recommended a candidate, but it has not been finalized. There is also a position in the Legal Resource Center, we have two attorneys on contract but as the law changed, we are working to make them into career staff. The Director of Business and Finance has also not been finalized because the campus is holding additional review. In the summer I offer some names to sit on searches, but I do not know if I am going to that because there may not be any searches. There have been a lot of programs and events that you all have been able to manage so that these efforts can still proceed but I will continue to update you. The AS Bike Shop has been an effort since 2006 to create a permanent bike shop. From the present, we are only allowed to have a temporary location. The current location has been outgrown and you have funding to do that. We have selected a location; the issue is that we have to work towards a developing a more symbiotic relationship with those who will be the neighbors. I would like to work with some senators to create a resolution that details how we will impact the environment. The AS Awards Ceremony is Monday at 7 PM, and I invite you all to join. There are five essential locations that have been working and they include the AS Food Bank, KCSB, Pardall, the Garden, and AS Administration Office. Finally, I will be developing everything that the Association has achieved during the pandemic because you have developed an online presence that has kept the department running at a moment’s notice. It was not clear what we were going to be doing, the Senate include, the troubles and toils over the past several weeks there was no promise that we would be able to meet and we want to get it right but we have never had to work under these conditions.

**Kupsh:** What is the status on compensation for AS employees, is there going to be any changes due to COVID-19?

**Executive Director Marquez:** My understanding is that the entire system is freezing any increases for a while, I do not know what the while means, there are no increases for anyone

**Kupsh:** Will there be any decreases for any pay cuts?

**Executive Director Marquez:** I do not believe so, but then again, I have no control over that

**Mitchell:** Is the Awards Banquet over Zoom?

**Executive Director Marquez:** If Diana is here, she can speak more on that, as she and other folks have done a lot of great work

**Collins Puente:** We are celebrating seniors through the slideshow and then the awards ceremony through a webinar

**Quintero-Cubillan:** Does the hiring freeze affect AS?

**Executive Director Marquez:** It is called a pause, and the level of control and review have doubled and those instances I was recounting earlier normally would have been appointments made faster, but they have not been authorized. That is new for me, and it makes me uneasy telling you this because I do not know what is going on

**Pugal:** *Reserve my right* The chair of the IV Arts needs to give their report

*MOTION/SECOND:* *Pugal*/*Mitchell*

*Motion language: motion to go back into BCUs reports*

*ACTION: Vote: CONSENT*

*Vote Taken: 4:24 PM*

**I-6) Executive Officers’ Report** -

President

 Internal Vice President

**White:** Thank you all for being patient. We have had a great productive meeting. I assigned your BCUs, released earlier this week. Make sure you get in touch with your BCUs as they are important in the functionality of AS. One of the most visible parts of the IVP’s job is chairing Senate. The IVP deals with a lot of the administrative duties of AS. I want to streamline the process from legislative to executive branches. Each week we will publish information on what events BCUs are hosting and I hope that will bring more transparency and information. The second pro temp will work with the social media coordinator to post legislation. I will ask you to submit a short summary or important parts of your legislation so that the students can stay involved. Social media is instrumental and important in spreading awareness and I want to tap into that. I would like to address the pro tempore selections. Recently, with the issues with zoom along with my other duties and that is why pro temps are a part of my office. I put a google form out and I scheduled in-person interviews with everyone who applied. They are essentially my assistants and a lot of things get delegated to them to work with Senate. To imply that I chose pro-temps based on party lines is false. As I was a pro-temp last year, I know the work that goes into the rule. I have a clear idea of how I want my office and Senate to run and only one person has reached out to me about the recommendations. You all have been aware of these recommendations since our first Senate training. I am a resource and I am here to help you all. I am happy to schedule Zoom meetings with you all. Senate is not just about showing up each Wednesday. The work is done outside of Senate meetings. You all have been doing an amazing job so far, and I commend every one of you for working hard and putting legislation on the agenda. These decisions were not made lightly, and you all have had ample time to review these. I wanted to make the information clear to you all before we continue. I appreciate all of you and your patience and I am happy that our Senate is going to move forward in a productive way.

 External Vice President of Local Affair

 External Vice President of Statewide Affairs

 Student Advocate General

 **I-7) Senator Representative Reports**-

**Mitchell:** IV Arts just got upsized so we introduced, me and Senator Tran, a bill to establish a lot more positions in IV Arts than previously were and I cannot wait to see where IV Arts goes from here

**De Ramon:** Senator Bagul and I are going to work on the bill on Zoom protocols over the summer, so if there are any recommendations that you all have or want to work on it with us let me know

**Pugal:** I want to work on transparency and privacy, working with the resolution that Diana sent, working with Esme with regards to the construction of an ad-hoc committee, and working with Senator De Ramon on Zoom protocols going forward, and I liked Senator Kolbe or Senator Tait’s on things we can publish going forward such as contact info so I am looking forward to collaborating on that

**Quintero-Cubillan:** We tabled the Black Women’s Health Collaborative, please take the time to reach out to these communities that want to be and need to be in Senate. They have often been left out of AS, regardless of whether or not you are a part of that community, you can use your privilege to bring these communities to Senate

**Roman:** It was brought to my attention by a constituent that we may be in violation of the Brown Act

**Executive Director Marquez:** Because this is a minuted and as an open forum as we can make it, you publicly stated that you are looking to meet and so I believe all of those conditions have been met. But give them my work email, mmarq@as.ucsb.edu, and I can work with them.

**Pugal:** The Brown Act guarantees the public’s right to attend and participate in local legislative bodies. Given the fact that participants right now are able to do so and are able to physically see what is going on, that would not necessarily, in my opinion, be a direct violation of the Brown Act and I would love to reach out to that person and work on these concerns. The alternative is that we have an open meeting and having a third repeat of a zoom bombing and that is not productive at all to these meetings.

**White:** With regards to this issue, please have that individual reach out to Marisela and she will bring it to legal counsel

 **I-8) Administrative Reports**-

**J) Committee Reports**

 **J-1) Standing Committee on Finance and Business**-

 **J-2) Standing Committee on External Affairs**-

 **J-3) Standing Committee on Internal Affairs**-

 **J-4)** **Standing Committee on Basic Needs-**

 **J-5) Group Project and other Temporary Committee Reports**-

**K) Minutes and Allocations:**

 **K-1) Senate Minutes**

**K-2) Administrative Committees Minutes**

COVID-19 Task Force 5/18 5/26

*MOTION/SECOND:* *Quintero-Cubillan* /*Halder*

*Motion language: motion to bundle and approve administrative committee minutes*

*ACTION: Vote: CONSENT*

*Vote Taken: 4:42 PM*

**K-3) BCU Minutes**

Pardall Gov Board 5/19 5/5

CODE 5/20

Programs Board 5/20

CAB 5/20

HRB 5/19

Food Bank 5/22

PMHC 5/18 5/21

IV Arts 5/22 5/26

EJA 4/27 5/04 5/11 5/18 5/26

IVCRC 5/25

GGC 5/08 5/15

IVTU 5/14 5/18 5/19 5/26

Coastal Fund 5/26

Living History 5/22

UCen Gov Board 5/15

Elections Board 5/27

COSWB 5/28

*MOTION/SECOND:* *Tofft*/*Halder*

*Motion language: motion to bundle and approve BCU minutes*

*ACTION: Vote: CONSENT*

*Vote Taken: 4:43 PM*

**K-4) Standing Committee Minutes**

**L) Appointments**

**Moved here at 12:46PM**

IVTU:

 Alex Young for IVTU Chair

 Sabrina Menzhausen for IVTU Vice Chair

 Maia Surendra for IVTU Outreach Director

 Lea Toubian for IVTU Legal Director

 Wei Wei for IVTU Finance Director

 Crystal Leung for IVTU Marketing Director

 Jennifer Vizzuett for IVTU Human Resources Director

 Adam Thompson for IVTU Community Resident Director

 Eliezer Klatsky-Garner for IVTU SBCC Relations Director

 Mark Beenson for IVTU Technology Director

*MOTION/SECOND: Quintero-Cubillan/Slater*

*Motion language: motion to bundle and approve IVTU appointments*

*ACTION: Vote: CONSENT*

*Vote Taken:  12:47 PM*

Office of the Internal Vice President:

Catherine Flaherty for First Pro-Tempore

Dylan Martinez for Second Pro-Tempore

Emma Swanson for Chief of Staff

Zach Zeising for Deputy Chief of Staff

*MOTION/SECOND: Cohen/Nezad*

*Motion language: motion to bundle and approve all appointments for the Office of the Internal Vice President*

*ACTION: Vote: OBJECTION*

*Vote Taken:  12:48 PM*

*Vote Taken: Vote-to-Vote: 14-11-0 PASS 12:49 PM*

*Vote Taken: Hand Vote: 14-10-1 FAILS 12:52 PM*

*MOTION/SECOND: Mitchell/Bagul*

*Motion language: motion to appoint Catherine Flaherty for First Pro-Tempore and Dylan Martinez for Second Pro-Tempore*

**Bagul**

* Frustrating that it got called to question immediately
* I think Catherine and Dylan would make great pro-tempts
* Bit confused on the disagreement

**IVP**

* Being first and second pro-tempts after interview process
* I chose who would work best with myself and one another
* These appointments are up to the discretion of the office
* Worked closely with them

**Pugal**

* Could someone elaborate why we aren’t passing these appointments

*ACTION: Vote: OBJECTION*

*Vote Taken:  12:55 PM*

*Vote Taken: Vote-to-Vote: 14-11-0 PASS 12:57 PM*

*Vote Taken: Hand Vote: 14-10-1 FAILS 12:57 PM*

**Pabla**

* Just because it is an issue right now should discuss have people that have questions why there isnt acceptance of the appointments

**Dumlao**

* This is going to be a long discussions valid points need to be made
* Long list of public forum

**Roman**

* We need to let contingents speak during public forum we can within ourselves without dragging the time for public forum

**Kolbe**

* I’d like to add that pro tempts are important for these meetings pushing off the discussion

**Halder**

* We are trying to prioritize our constituents
* We can just appoint pro tempts temporarily

**Bagul**

* I hear your concerns about yet to hear an actual concern

**Wang**

* We have a long public forum list, have them taken, issue is important why we are having the discussion right now

**Youssef**

* Temporary pro tempts, save time for public forum we are leaders don’t rush into taking actions
* Remind everyone that this is a special meeting making special time for us senators

**Quintero-Cubillan**

* The claim that pro-tempts are important is valid, recommend we move on, pro-tempts that are being nominated have already been assisting Tianna since this meeting started

*MOTION/SECOND: Cohen/Pabla*

*Motion language: motion to appoint Cathern and Dylan as temporary Pro-Tempores for the duration of this meeting until we go back into appointments*

*ACTION: Vote: CONSENT*

*Vote Taken:  1:09 PM*

*MOTION/SECOND: Quintero-Cubillan/Mitchell*

*Motion language: motion to resume orders of the day*

*ACTION: Vote: CONSENT*

*Vote Taken:  1:10 PM*

*MOTION/SECOND:* *Quintero-Cubillan* /*Star*

*Motion language: motion to bundle and approve all appointments with the exception of the first and second pro-tempore*

*ACTION: Vote: OBJECTION*

*Call to question not rescinded*

*Vote to Vote on Bundling and approving all appointments with the exception of the first and second pro-tempore*

Y-N-A

25-0-0

*MOTION/SECOND:* *Bagul*/*Slater*

*Motion language: motion to approve Catherine Flaherty and Dylan Martinez as first and second pro-tempore*

**Quintero-Cubillan:** I think now is the appropriate time to have this discussion. Obviously the IVP has the overview of the pro-tempores. My issue is the combability with the IVP and who got to foster that relationship with the IVP. Noticing that you already have a working relationship with Catherine and Dylan and secondly, they roles in our BCU assignments because that almost disregards the Senate’s authority. It takes two-thirds of the Senate to confirm these nominations and yet they had say in our assignments and that seems really uncomfortable and I want us to dive into that discussion as to why that was allowed to take place. Secondly, they have already been operating as pro-tempores for the last three times we have convened which I also see as semi-problematic because they have not officially been appointed and I think that discussion needs to take place because it has not been really following the procedures in place.

**White:** As you can see, it takes a lot to run a Senate. In the first weeks of transitioning, the IVP has a lot of work to do, and I cannot do it all on my own. Last meeting, I was in charge and I cannot keep track of voting and share my screen while running a meeting. Being a pro-tempore is not glamorous and it is not easy to handle the administrative tasks and when I say compatibility, I mean the work that needs to be done. Creating the senate agenda is time consuming and does not leave a lot of time to work on legislation. At the time they were my appointments, they have offered to help and help execute those.

**Schaefer:** Hello everyone, I just wanted to share my thoughts on this**.** To put it in perspective, I want to thank all of you all, I respect all of you as individuals and Senators. In no way am I talking about this position for the fact that I was an applicant and did not get it. I am only talking about it to share my experience. Is that understood by everyone, I know you can’t all speak now, but I hope it is understood. I wanted to touch upon the statements you just said, if you needed help, I wish that it was open to all of us. All of us senators had the opportunity, like just asking everyone if they would be willing to help, this is a new senate for me, it is new for you all, asking us if we were willing to help with delegating tasks for the administrative functions, I want to open that up to all of you so that it is equal and fair, until we have our pro-tempores. I would have liked to see that. I personally, speaking for myself, I do not care about glamour of the position, I know that being a Senator is a very hard position to have, it is very challenging because you have to balance school, academics, your own personal life, and you are a representative member of the student body. So, taking a leadership position comes with this role, and by applying for the role we have prepared ourselves for any role that shall come with it. So saying that you chose people who can achieve the tasks of the Association and administrative help, I feel that I would have achieved those tasks, I do not know who can say what I can and achieve. I feel like that is hurtful. So, I wanted to read a statement that I wrote with thoughts regarding pro-tempores.

It has been historically proven that whenever senators try to engage in senate leadership, there is often a lack of diversity in the space that needs it the most. I want to acknowledge and recognize that the language used by Tianna White has already marginalized senators for not wanting to build and foster relationships as touched upon. I understand that this is a role where you need the most support, but if you look at this situation in a professional, objective standpoint, you know that the majority of us are qualified to uphold the role because we were elected by the student body, however it is important to acknowledge that the language used is not only creating an inferiority complex among senators and the IVP, but it is also a foundation for opportunity hoarding. To say that you are more compatible, or someone is more compatible, is a subjective metric. Feelings should not be able to dictate the role, for me, because they are too biased and often based on opinion which lies in the word compatibility and complimentary. The language used directly translates to myself and my time commitment, my leadership, and my ideas being non-complimentary to others who applied for this position and the IVP herself. These words to me have eliminated me prematurely to the position because they are exclusionary. This is an insult to my work and undermines my professional experience and ability to relate to other senators and the selection process for pro-tempores. I yield.

**White:** Once again, I opened up an application and conducted interviews as such. For these appointments to be taken as marginalizing and that I purposely attempted to push some of you all back is hurtful to me. I am not going to speak on the individual applicants. My interview process with each of the applicants was great. There are no guidelines in legal code, and I created guidelines. As an unbiased chair, I cannot speak as to what necessarily set applicants apart.

**Nezhad:** I wanted to point out the fact that I am personally overwhelmed and so many people have tried to get involved in Senate leadership and that is something to appreciate, I do believe that democratically speaking, this is a decision left to the IVP to figure out and we need to respect the constituency that has elected her into office and allow her to implement her decision. I do not think it is fair to say that Tianna does not need help. The sooner we can mobilize her to give her what she needs, the sooner we can get work done

**Pugal:** There is just as much conflict of interest, if you involve people who applied for the position there is a conflict of interest. From these selections, it appears that there was an intention to make nonpartial and I am going to stick by that I fully support the nomination and there is no reason for me to doubt that biases were involved. Looking at the chairs for the committees, it appears that the selections were made to be as bi-partisan as possible and in addition to the selection of the BCUs, there seems an intention to also facilitate collaboration between people who have come from two different parties to kind of supersede those preexisting relationships that exist. As of right now, I fully support the nominations and there is no reason for me to doubt that there were biases involved.

**Roman:** I just want to state certain things that were said in your previous statement on what you just said. I do not think that Senator Schaefer and Senator Quintero-Cubillan were saying that you personally marginalized them or that you were coming for them or trying to, like if you had any malicious intent. I don’t think that, that is not what they said. I think it is unfair to sort of come off like that. Secondly, nobody was saying that that you need to do this on your own, as Senator Schaefer mentioned, you could have also offered that to any of the senators but you willing went ahead and decided to have the nominations, not the appointments, because yes although they are in your office, we have legal code for a reason. There is a democratic process for that purpose. When you present them towards us, we have the right to be able to acknowledge them and decide whether we think they are appropriate for the office. You don’t just decide and be like, oh okay well I trust your opinion solely, we are able to decide whether this was completely fair, and you also mentioned yourself that you had a preconceived notion that you knew these people already and because of that I cannot completely say that you did not have a bias opinion on this, I trust that this was fair but because you did mention that you previously have worked with them, that you are able to decide that they are best for it. I also do not think that Senator Quintero-Cubillan or Senator Schaefer are saying that these are the wrong decisions and they have not given a definitive answer as to what they are proposing but I think it is unfair to say those sorts of statements when that was not said or implied either, I yield.

**White:** Like I said, there was an open application. When I said I have worked with these individuals, I mean as we have moved forward, I have been working with them since I recommended them. Legal code states that there is, there is actually conflicting information in legal code. It states within the constitution that the first and second pro-tempore shall be nominated by the IVP and approved by two-thirds majority votership of the Senate. Also, within the office of the IVP it says President recommends first and second with 50% plus one to approve by the Senate and in subsection D it lists the first and second pro-tempore as part of the office. Let me highlight your power as senators, if you all are currently, as I have said before, legal code has no specific guidelines in the constitution for the selection of the pro-tempores and the decision is up to the discretion of the IVP. If you all see a problem with that, you can change the constitution and it can be an independent vote as opposed to the selection of the chair. There are no guidelines listed in legal code. There is no outlining format, there is not even a necessarily a stipulation for interviews and applications. It does state that pro-tempores are selected based on the recommendation of the IVP and there is conflicting information on voting number for that.

**Gonzalez-Herrera:** *Point of Parliamentary Procedure* Senator Star has been raising their hand and we can all see the list and the order that participants raised their hand

**Halder:** I do not want to go more into your processes and how you went about making your decision because I do respect it and I understand that the pro-tempore positions are important. I do think Senator Quintero-Cubillan raised a good point which is that the appointments of BCUs and positions were conducted with assistance of the chosen people who had not been yet fully appointed by the Senate. I think there is a question that remains of why to do that there were not temporary pro-tempores for assistance in that before we fully appointed through Senate. I guess that is a question that has been on my mind, I feel like that would have respected our powers as senators and also just the general process as a whole.

**White:** I was doing, my apologies on that, the BCU assignments based off of precedent. In the past, we did that before Week 9 as they need to be put on the agenda and those were not applications and decisions that I could sift through by myself and I did reach out to Catherine and Dylan given that those were my recommendations because they need to be on the agenda by Week 9 as I had pointed out during the training.

**Star:** Hello, this by no means is meant to cause harm first and foremost this is not an attack on character or a specific person. I am no in way invalidating the authority that the IVP has. In fact, it is due to this comprehensive authority and power that the IVP and Senate has that this is a paramount issue. Once again, let me please reiterate very strongly, because this was for some reason misconstrued, me personally this has nothing to do with parties, I believe as Senator Nezhad said earlier this is not about mobilization for the IVP, so let me begin.

I do not think that these appointments for pro-tempores were made lightly, neither were the terms used of compatibility and complimentary which are highly offensive, problematic, and extremely microagressive. The definition for a microagressive term is, for any of those that are unfamiliar with the term, is a comment or action that subtly or often unconsciously or unintentionally expresses a prejudice attitude towards a member of a marginalized group. So, this means that using a term like compatible and complimentary as a key factor caused unintentional harm, therefore we are not directly stating or implying that harm was meant. The harm lies in the invisibility to the perpetrator who typically finds it difficult to believe that he or she possesses bias attitudes or sees how the terms can be offensive which inherently implies the privilege that comes with not realizing its offense. So, things I am going to talk about a) a reevaluation of office of the IVP appointment positions with oversight by Marisela and observation, compliance, and respect for acting in accordance with legal code, parliamentary code, and Robert’s Rules of Orders due to blatant disregard. So, the IVP Tianna White directly stated one of the key deciding factors for her choices for pro-tempores were due to the persons selected being or having complimentary and compatible personalities, entity characters with herself and each other. As per legal code, the positions are supposed to be filled based off qualifications and ability. I understand that the IVP’s autonomy in this decision process, however the language chosen, key please highlight, the language chosen was highly offensive. Using verbage such as complimentary and compatible, is exclusive, subjective, and unacceptable especially when directly stating those factors as a key determining factor. The language was inappropriate and implicated potential bias especially from a position of power, especially when referring to the nominations, referring to candidates that might have not been selected such as women of color, such as myself, a Black multi-ethnic women from a marginalized community which has been historically and continually weeded out and excluded due to not fitting a mold, and not being compatible or complimentary with positions of power. The involvement of potential pro-tempores, who were not approved by Senate, therefore hold no autonomy or authority until voted in by legal code by two-thirds of the Senate in the selection process, and delegation of themselves and other senators into respected units and BCUs. They were still senators they had not been voted and that is all. The fact that these senators had any involvement is extremely problematic. This exemplifies disregard for legal code and the authority of the 71st UCSB AS Senate and the power of our vote. So there is no such thing as being technically appointed, there is no excuse for disregarding legal code and senate authority and autonomy, and I am addressing the inappropriate nature in which things occurred. I am not saying anything about the placement being biased or not impartial or not, this has nothing to do it. I am not saying anything about the assignments being fair or not fair, I am just mentioning and pointing out the fact that Catherine Flaherty and Dylan Martinez had some active involvement in it. Due to the previous senate’s behavior and the tumultuous start of our own 71st Senate, I believe we must set a precedent, like stated before, this is not just about Senate this is bigger, language use is extremely important especially at these times and sensitives occur. I personally thought the handling of and questioning of the acknowledgement statement for the Chumash people was seen as very derogatory and I think it even exemplified the reason why it is more marginalized communities constantly have to explain why, tirelessly, why they need something, why they deserve acknowledgement, which is the least that can be made. So that is why I am saying terms like microaggressive terms, terms like compatible and complimentary are completely inappropriate in the sphere that we are in. When talking to other senators, each other, and any appointment process, any nomination process, so I implore a call to action and ask my fellow senators to support me in requesting a reevaluation of the office of the IVP’s pro-tempores positions with oversight from Marisela in addition to the necessary observation, compliance, and respect of legal code. We are all bound by legal code, no one is above reprieve. So, like I have kind of said before, this is necessary especially during this time of political turmoil occurring now around the world, in this country, and with Senate, in the critical eye which all constituents have on our 71st Senate. We have seen each Senate that we have successfully had a public forum, has been extremely, not volatile, but very concerning. So, we see that people are clearly watching, so it is more critical now than ever, to follow legal code at all times, and to be mindful with the language how it is used and how it is directed. So, there needs to be no more instances of blatant disregard. In addition, the Senate’s power and authority per legal code cannot be disregarded or ignored despite job qualifications, or despite being technically nominated, per legal code they are not appointed yet therefore have no authority upon that position. So, as Senators we are held to a higher standard as we hold the responsibility to represent our 20,000 plus constituents, especially in positions of power such as IVP language and legal code compliance must be taken into account. Noncompliance with legal code can cause unnecessary complications like now with conflicts of interest as in the two nominations for pro-tempores actively already disperse BCUs and units. This has nothing to do with whether they were equal or not, it is the fact that they had any involvement. And language use and direction despite intention can cause reprehensible harm, I myself was personally offended when I heard the term compatible in one of the orientation videos being used as one of the main factors that were considered for the position of each of the pro-tempores towards the IVP and each of the pro-tempores towards each other because I interpreted that as despite my job qualifications, time qualifications, and anything I would not be compatible with them and each other which completely, almost negates all of those things, which I found especially offensive as a women of color, who would be told that for any position of power. So, as a 71st Senator, I reserve my right, to motion to have a reevaluation of the office of the IVP appointment positions with oversight from Marisela. I understand that the same pro-tempores might be chosen, however, I just want a reevaluation so that observation, compliance, and respect and acting in accordance with legal code, parliamentary code, and Robert’s Rules of Orders can occur without blatant disregard of the two-thirds of the Senate vote. Thank you, I yield.

*MOTION/SECOND:* *Star/ Quintero-Cubillan*

*Motion language: motion to have a reevaluation of office of the IVP appointment positions with oversight from Marisela*

*ACTION: Vote: CONSENT*

*Vote Taken: 5:17 PM*

**Bagul:** I am trying to be respectful, but this just seems unnecessary, I am not trying to commit any microaggressions or trying to be rude, but I do not think that is all necessary. I trust that IVP White to have made these choices without malice and we seem to be just walking the line of ascribing malice but also not it is so close. Senator Roman said earlier, that no one is saying that Senator White is being discriminatory, and then he also said that they are not implying, and this point I think it is clear that we are at least implying here. This is unnecessary, we are making mountains out of molehills. This is insane, this is a short appointment, everyone was given the chance to apply for this position, and many did, and even just scrutinizing the language of complimentary and combability, is she supposed to pick someone that she does not get along with at all, like of course that is going to be a factor, she needs to get along with this person, that is not to say that that is the only thing she based this decision on but I think this is being blown out of proportion, I do not mean to be rude, I hope I did not individually offend anyone on the senate, I just think we need to take a step back. I yield.

**Star:** *Point of Clarification* I mentioned offensive language use, of course maybe you have the privilege of not being offended, but I was. That was a personal statement, I did not apply to be a pro-tempore. I was offended by the actions that occurred, just to let you know. I already said that actions were not malicious, and intent was not malicious, as per my read definition of the word microaggressive, intent was not to harm. However, it still did cause harm. I made that statement because I was personally offended. So now you know, I was offended. You saying you think that it is a molehill made into a mountain, perhaps for you that is what it is, however, this was extremely offensive to me, as a Black women, one of the only two Black women on Senate, so I am mentioning how I was offended, how the language was offensive and how we, as senators, need to take care of our language, so that we do not offend others especially in this time we should be careful, more caring, and we should be correct in our acknowledgement of people. Thank you.

**White:** I would like to clarify, before I continue to move down the speakers list, first and foremost I apologize for any language use that was taken not as I intended. When I use the words in our senate training and discussed the appointment of pro-tempores or the recommendation of pro-tempores rather, when I say compatible, I mean it in terms of the working relationship. I by no means mean it with personality or any other form. In fact, I found myself very personally compatible with every single applicant that applied. I am glad that it has been brought and said that you all acknowledge that there is no malicious intent in these processes and I have profusely apologized for any language use that has been offensive to anyone and I will 100% validate that and if I offended anyone in this room, not just Senate Star, I deeply, deeply apologize, but I would like to clarify that I did use it in that intention and I simply meant it with the intentions of a working relationship.

**Pabla:** All of these opinions are my own and I hope not to offend anyone, a lot of the things said thus far are attacks on IVP White specifically and the discussion here is the selection of the first and second pro-tempore and I have not heard anything on why the temps are not qualified. I do understand all your concerns about the process itself. But the way that it was taken by many people was that there was discrimination and things like that which I do not see, and maybe that is a personal opinion I have on IVP White herself that she would not be someone who chooses to discriminate others before making a decision on who she decides but even the attack that she has personal experience with who she chose, and I understand that people take that in a way that makes it bias and makes it harder for others who are applying. It also means that those people have exemplified their experience and their ability to take on the role as first and second pro-tempores. If there is anything someone has to stay about the first and second themselves and why they should not be, I would understand that take on why we should have some sort of a recall but if there is not, it seems unnecessary as the quarter is almost ending. I do not understand where we are supposed to go forward and if you want to do that next quarter or something like that it just becomes a time constraint as well but if we want to keep the discussion on the first and second pro-tempores that is all I have to say about that.

**Star:** *Point of Clarification* I did not ask for a recall, I made a motion to have a reevaluation with administrative oversight due to the noncompliance with legal code. The language issue is just something people need to be aware of at all times, your language, how it is used, and how it is directed due to the fact that it can be offensive whether you mean that or not, words do cause harm, words are offensive, the reason why I brought it up to the IVP is because she is one of the most powerful people on senate as she calls on people and interprets the legal code that we follow. This is no attack, I am asking for a reevaluation with administrative oversight and for all senators, including our IVP, to be aware of their language use.

**White:** The speaker list has gotten; it is hard to keep track of the speaker list when we are not in the Flying A Room. If you all could put your hands down and if you all could put your hands up, so I can do a new speakers list. Thank you. I want to make it clear now, that word choice was made during the senate orientation training and like I have said before, the work does not happen in senate meetings, it happens outside of the Flying A room, and I implore you if you all have a problem with a personal decision of mine or my word choice, please approach me at the time or after and not wait past that during a public meeting. I am here to help foster a productive and effective senate and that starts with my relationship with you all and that starts with you all being comfortable with reaching out to me with any concerns. So if I have not been clear, let me make myself clear now that if you all ever at some point have a problem reach out to me as soon as possible and I will set a up a private meeting with you and we will have a discussion on it one on one or whatever parties are involved.

**Quintero-Cubillan:** I wanted to bring up the point that accountability is not an attack, and I think that is something that really needs to be brought across and secondly I think Senator Bagul and Senator Pabla you overstepped your boundaries when you questioned the experiences of Senator Star, of Senator Schaefer, and myself. You need to understand that you do not inhibit our identities, you do not understand our identities, you do not even have the conception to truly understand what we live and the fact that actively started policing not only Senator Star’s tone, Senate Schaefer’s tone, and that you tried to insinuate, that you at some point were ever capable of understanding their experiences and how they may feel in this certain moment and I feel that is especially dangerous.

**Bagul:** *Point of Clarification*

**Quintero-Cubillan:** Senator Bagul, I will remind you that it is not your time to speak.

**Bagul:** *Point of Clarification* I’m sorry there is no need for this to get hostile I just wanted to say that in no way was I attempting to do that, and, in my opinion, I did not do that

**Quintero-Cubillan:** I would live to bring up the point that it is fine that you do not think that you did that, and secondly the idea that a) labeling me as hostile when I am trying to hold you accountable feeds into the narrative that Indigenous, Black, women of color are hostile

**Bagul:** I was not trying to label you as hostile, that is not what I was trying to do and that is not what my language, I said I did not want to get hostile

**White:** I am sorry, but this is getting out of hand, if I could please remind you all that I understand that points of clarification and points of information does not need to be necessarily recognized by the chair, but as I relayed to you at the beginning of this meeting due to the fact that we are on zoom it is hard to do that so please wait to be recognized. Continue Senator Quintero-Cubillan

**Star:** *Point of Clarification* You cannot disrupt someone while they are talking, you say point of clarification once they are finished it does not give you permission to interrupt someone

**White:** Thank Senator Star for that clarification but once again we are going to go and recognize speakers

**Quintero-Cubillan:** Thank you. Again, just really honing in on the point that you have to be consistent and understanding that you do not inhabit these identities, you cannot attest to these identities, and it is an infringement of not only ignoring their experiences but almost infringing upon their sovereignty as people, as identities, as senators. Just shifting the conversation, the insinuation that our concerns are accusations, not only does it put into process the act of victimizing yourselves, it also goes on to ignore our concerns, our legitimacy, that is important to recognize. I would like to reference Senator Nezhad when they said the assumption that we made a claim that IVP White did not need assistance, I would like to remind you, we did not make that claim, that is not what we were saying, we were simply saying that if there was assistance that was needed, we would have been more than happy to contribute had that been brought to all of us. I just want to really remind you, be careful of the narrative that you are creating. Be consistent and be understanding of what you say and how it affects people, it does not matter if did not intend it to be a microaggression, which I do not even believe in the term of microaggression, because that is just an act of violence. Understand the positions you put people in, the positions that you put marginalized identities in. Today, we passed multiple resolutions talking about the status of Black folk and Black people and yet here you are feeding into the idea that you are somehow reasonable and capable of understanding their experience and being able to talk over them or negate their experience. Today, we passed multiple resolutions discussing ideas of indigeneity and yet somehow you thought you were capable to somehow speak to my experience an Indigenous, two-spirited, trans-feminine person. Be conscious of what you do, what you say, and how you present yourself because that is the most dangerous thing you can do especially in a forum as public as a senate meeting, and especially with the power you have as a senator to AS UCSB which I will remind you, is the largest nonprofit organization in Santa Barbara County, which manages anywhere from $13 to $15 million dollars. Be conscious of the power you have. When we sit here, we have the power to call on local representatives, whether it be the Isla Vista Community Service District, whether it is the Santa Barbara County Board of Directors, or whether it just be state elected officials. We have power and for you to utilize this power to then capitalize off of the oppression of other people is disgusting and must be stopped. Be conscious is what I am asking for you.

**Halder:** I think Senator Quintero-Cubillanmade a lot of points for me but I want to emphasize again you cannot tell people how to feel, you cannot tell people what to feel comfortable with, and to bring forth issues I urge you to consider them not as attacks but requests for you to be held accountable. This was an issue brought up in the last senate meeting, this is an issue that has continuously come up in this senate, and we are setting an incredibly dangerous precedent if we do not one hear the needs of our constituents but also the other senators that are occupying this space with us so I want to emphasize again, if someone is bringing up an issue of accountability, is it our job to hold them out. We are not questioning the IVP’s choices or how they conducted them but simply questioning why they are phrasing certain things, why temporary pro-tempores were not held so that they could go about and do the job without going against legal code and the way senate is structured. That is all that is being put to question. This is not an issue of malice, this is not an issue of who did something wrong, but again, our concerns need to be addressed and we want to do this in a respectful way, but clearly this conversation has gone in a way that has turned hostile because of the way we are questioning each other. I think it is disrespectful on both sides, whatever those sides look like. So, I want whoever speaks next, to keep that in mind, and again to respect the identities that are present here, to the senators who have been told they are not allowed to be uncomfortable with what is happening, that is not okay, I yield, but please keep that in mind.

**Quintero-Cubillan**

* Not only does it put into process into victimizing yourselves
* Nezad we claimed that IVP White didn’t need assistance
* We were saying there was assistance that was needed
* Be consistent of what you say
* Understand the positions you put people in
* Today we passed multiple resolutions though you guys are capable to speak to my experiences
* Be careful what you say
* The largest nonprofit organization be conscious with the power you have

**Wang**

* I think all of us are powerful as the VP
* I will not feel as offended because at the end of the day our purpose is to serve the student body
* Purpose of senate to help AS

**Nezhad**

* We need to be consistent of the narrative
* The narrative being said is that we have a VP of Asian descent who are not an accurate display of the student body
* They’re not all of the same cultural background
* Think of pro-tempts I don’t hear anyone criticizing the qualifications of the pro-tempts
* We are just talking about their cultural background
* Stay concentrated by the actual issue

**Roman**

* So I’m going to address what you said
* We aren’t talking about qualifications, important to note because Tianna mentioned that she prioritized compatibility
* Because of what she mentioned
* IVP is chair of the senate the pro-tempts are senators this revolved around senate we need to follow legal code
* IVP is who interprets it
* What they are highlighting is the choice of compatibility
* Those are the things that crossed their minds
* Thank you for taking accountability
* Reevaluate this nomination occupied by compatibility
* If they are elected again that’s fine, the justification for choosing them is the problem

**IVP**

* When I say compatible with job duties
* I do not mean compatibility then with the job description
* I will recognize that word choice I apologize for that
* Invite senators who have spoken to do private 1-1 in ways we can discuss what happened

**Kolbe**

* I’m not super knowledgeable about legal code
* Senators look into this in the future
* I understand the outside perspective
* Increase oversight add another interviewer
* \*ask for suggestions\*

**De Ramon**

* This isnt a party thing
* I was hesitant to jump into discussion
* Our identities are coming up a lot conscious of how people have other experiences
* “I hope I’m not being offensive” comes off as condescending I don’t appreciate that
* Reflect on what you are saying
* You don’t have to condescending
* Personally, I have struggled with my word choice as a white-passing person, if I want to conscious I’m going to think about my word choices
* Urging you to reflect on it

**Bagul**

* Looking back I was one of the people that said that
* I didn’t mean that in a condescending way
* I don’t know what I should change in the language, so it doesn’t come off of as offensive
* Just apologizing I don’t mean to discount anyone’s identity
* I will try to choose my words better

**Star**

* I wanna say Nezad’ s comment about ethnic backgrounds was inappropriate
* I am a multi-ethnic black women
* A strange narrative making attacks, blaming and very stereotypical because of my identity
* My motion had nothing to do with qualifications
* This has nothing to do with cultural background
* This is emotionally exhausting that was offensive to me
* Make sure it follows legal code
* It did not follow legal code
* Especially that our meetings are being highlighted
* Saying we should follow the rules the way they are written

**IVP**

* BCU assignments those appointments are made at my discretion
* Those have been passed and appointed

**Flaherty**

* *Point of clarification:* don’t think we can do it through a motion to direct resolution

**Quintero-Cubillan**

* If the discussion is about the idea of assured of these nominations nothing to lose of doing these process if they aren’t capabilities
* If you so sure of these nominations that you shouldn’t care about the nomination process

*MOTION/SECOND: Pugal/Star*

*Motion language: motion to go into a 5-minute recess*

*ACTION: Vote: CONSENT*

*Vote Taken:  6:10 PM*

*MOTION/SECOND: Pugal/Pabla*

*Motion language: motion to bring us out of recess*

*ACTION: Vote: CONSENT*

*Vote Taken:  6:16 PM*

**Resumed at 6:16PM**

**IVP**

* I’m willing to re do the application and interview process for the appointment with oversight of Marisela

*MOTION/SECOND: Star/Cohen*

*Motion language: motion to have a reevaluation of Pro-Tempore appointments with the oversight of the AS executive director*

*ACTION: Vote: CONSENT*

*Vote Taken:  6:17 PM*

President’s Cabinet

A.S. Attorney General: Tyler Barth

*MOTION/SECOND:* *Mitchell/Bagul*

*Motion language: motion to approve the appointment of the President’s Cabinet*

**Proxy Dylan**

* This is an important position
* There are problems with Tyler Barth
* I don’t believe he can conduct position neutrality
* questions of conflict of interest; regarding these
* Daily nexus article, said that a paid trip didn’t have a conflict of interest
* We need to have more direct conversation about this position

**Mitchell**

* Point of information 2/3rd or 50% plus 1
	+ **IVP Response**
		- 50% plus one

**IVP**

* When mentioning names, the person needs to be added to the call; just to clear up the definition of conflict of interest. It entails personal financial gain in the time they are appointed

**Roman**

* If we are talking about conflict of interest getting a paid trip has to do with finances and it comes with finances and you can use that of conflict of interest

**Schhaefer**

* Dylan just made a statement and the record come into
* Want to remind everyone that we need to recognize what Dylan says and what the person says

**Martinez**

* We can go with conflict of interest we are students but aren’t actually government officials
* Not something about masterminding something evil
* Done a great job regardless of who appointed him

**Pugal**

* In legal code as personal financial gain or equivalent, I don’t know how to feel about it
* If we are going to have discussions, we should use a definition that better encompasses the term

**Nezhad**

* There are lot of paid trips
* As does try to fund as many productive trips that are paid for our student body, just a conflict of interest because they are trying to be involved in the campus

**Marisela Marquez**

* It is within your power
* How to clear the conflict of interest if anyone at the table that will benefit someone financially this is the matter before the decision makers
* Make a statement or can recuse themselves
* When there are decisions being made of the individual

**Star**

* I would like to speak on what Dylan had said earlier
* I have the direct article
* Responsible for violations of as legal code
* Where the interest of senators outside organizational involved conflicts interests of ASUCSB
* It could create bias
* The funding by MTF anti-Semitism on college campus
* The issue is that students and entities might chose a biased response for free trips
* Funded by certain viewpoint
* It could be seen as offensive by going on a trip that goes along a viewpoint that opposes another viewpoint
* Implying a Pro-Israel lobbying on students
* Issue when you have funding it implies a certain viewpoint on a person that is the viewpoint at hand

**Tyler Barth**

* I did go on that trip
* I’m not going to cover that up
* Not off of compensation
* **Proxy Dylan**
	+ It is very troubling having the trip influence student government leaders, he thinks his involvement how he changes how he views legal code? Conflict of interest policy, receiving this travel is financial gain for yourself, sponsored travel is financial gain
		- **Tyler Response**
			* I recognize those concerns, I am the one who was interpreting legal code concerns potentially violations of legal code, you can’t argue to remain impartial of the definition of legal code; personal financial gain as attorney general—the only stance I had was AG not blinded by the fact that I went on the, I didn’t gain anything
	+ You felt going on the trip
		- **Tyler Response**
			* It didn’t inhibit my ability to review legal code, looked at it as an unbiased, consulted with my staff
			* Tried my best to be attentive and receptive to those concerns
	+ We had a discussion of canary mission bill and changing legal code and you brought up concerns on your report, one of the concerns were you doing legal code in a certain direction process of that
		- **Tyler Response**
			* In reference of specific bills more on the merits of my qualifications to reference things
			* I did everything I could with most impartial perspective as possible
	+ Past decisions do have to do with qualification, recuse yourself from any investigation from position of merits
		- **Tyler Response**
			* Does fall on judicial council
	+ Would you recuse yourself on companies that have to do with Israel?
		- **Tyler Response**
			* Bit too specific love to talk about my qualifications
			* I felt that the perspectives trip was not meant to lean me a certain way
			* Went with an open mind and left with an open mind
	+ Purpose to change people’s minds on influencing your point of view, refer to your past involvement yet to refuse to answer what you’ve done as AG; would you recuse yourself involving canary mission
		- If and when the bill came up and consult with my staff
	+ Who appoints your staff?
		- **Tyler Response**
			* I do
	+ Depended on your staff that you appointed is counterintuitive, clear conflict of interest
	+ Would you recuse yourself from something when it comes with people who funded this trip?
		- **Tyler Response**
			* This line of questioning is hypothetical

*ACTION: Vote: OBJECTION*

*Vote Taken:  6:49 PM*

*Vote Taken: Vote-to-Vote: 14-10-1 PASS 6:50PM*

*Vote Taken: Hand Vote: 14-10-1 PASS 6:52PM*

**M) Discussion Items**

**N) Remarks**

**O) Adjournment**

*MOTION/SECOND: Pugal/Star*

*Motion language: motion to adjourn this meeting*

*ACTION: Vote: CONSENT*

*Vote Taken:  6:53 PM*

*MOTION/SECOND: Cohen/Nezad*

*Motion language: motion to suspend orders of the day and go into appointments*

*ACTION: Vote: OBJECTION*

*Vote Taken:  6:49 PM*

*Vote Taken: Vote-to-Vote: 14-10-1 PASS 6:50PM*

*Vote Taken: Hand Vote: 14-10-1 PASS 6:52PM*