## Elections Board Minutes

## ASsquare_logo.tifAssociated Students

4/3/18, 9:00 AM

MCC Theatre

**CALL TO ORDER**

**A. MEETING BUSINESS**

1. **Roll Call**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Name** | **Note:**  absent (excused/not excused)  arrived late (time)  departed early (time)  proxy (full name) | **Name** | **Note:**  absent (excused/not excused)  arrived late (time)  departed early (time)  proxy (full name) |
| Davis Quan | **Present** | Stoddy Carey | **Absent** |
| John Paul Renteria | **Present** | Kia Sadeghi | **Absent** |
| Andrew Yan | **Present** | Hieu Le | **Absent** |
| Emma Zing | **Present** | Ali Subert | **Absent** |
| Hattie Grace | **Present** | Ruth Garcia Guevara | **Present** |
| Jennifer Pantoja | **Absent** | Cindy Lopez | **Present** |
| Siddhant Gannu | **Absent** |  |  |

**B. DISCUSSION ITEMS**

**B.1. New Elections Code**

John Paul: So, Davis and I over spring break worked on elections code. It should have been emailed to you all.

Ruth: I told Davis just to send it out to Liaisons and Independents so they could have it ASAP.

**B.2. Candidate Interviews**

Ruth: The nexus tries to interview candidates and we have asked the Nexus to publish the campaigning portion when active campaigning begins. Last year, they published it before active campaigning started. The other thing to be considered is are they going to open it up to others? We also do not want to infringe on their work.

Emma: is it possible to do it later?

Andrew: I feel like they should do interviews, but later.

Ruth: We should not force or infringe on them too, so how would we make it fair?

Davis: Because it is for anyone, it could still be considered campaigning.

Hattie: When can they start?

Ruth: Week 3. Another thing, we can tell the candidates.

Hattie: I feel like they would try to find a loophole.

Cindy: I feel like it should be against the candidates, if they were to participate in these interviews.

John Paul: If they were to be interviewed, I feel like it should be opened up to other parties or independents.

Ruth: That would also infringe into the Daily Nexus’ work though.

**B.3. Pro/Con Statements**

Ruth: I was talking to Davis about this yesterday. I think we should we move it to this Friday. I said we should, at the very least, advertise it to all the AS groups and maybe even to Senate.

John Paul: Can you explain what a pro/con statement is?

Ruth: A pro statement could be something that is a proponent for a fee initiative. We also want to give an opportunity for con statements.

John Paul: Yeah, I think we should extend it because we haven’t really advertised it at all.

Hattie: I agree.

John Paul: would anyone like to motion?

**Motion to extend the deadline for pro/con statements to next Monday, 4/9.**

*First/Second: Grace/Yan*

*Vote: 4-0-2*

*Motion passes.*

**B.4. Budget Reviews**

Davis: We are supposed to meet with candidates and parties about their submitted budgets.

Ruth: That should be done sometime this week. Like, Thursday and Friday.

Davis: So, who have to meet with them?

Ruth: Definitely the chair, maybe the minute taker. But if any others on the Board want to come, that would be great.

**B.5. Map**

Davis: [Shows the Board the Map for the Board Placements] Basically, it’s all divided into different zones. We would print each one out and present it to them. We’re going to 3rd party printer for the big portions of the map and AS publications for smaller maps.

John Paul: When is the lottery system?

Davis: End of week 2.

**B.6. Supporter List**

Davis: In code, it says that candidates should send in their code. So, I’m thinking we should set a deadline for it.

John Paul: How about this Friday at 4pm?

Davis: okay.

**B.7. Nawar**

Davis: I know that Hattie was not here during the meeting with Nawar, so I’ll give you [Hattie] a quick rundown on what was said. We had a long discussion about certain concerns he has. It was about that he didn’t think elections board was not being strict enough. He then compared us to UCLA. He wanted us to be strict but responsible as well.

Hattie: I don’t think it depends on your forcefulness. I feel like UCLA is intense in every aspect, so it’s kind of different.

Ruth: It’s just that we borrow from each UC, we don’t have to be like anyone.

Hattie: Yeah, as long as you’re consistent you should be fine.

Davis: He also had an issue with complaints. I messed up and did not send out a concluding email after we would deliberate. I’m thinking of next year, we grab their liaisons and independents and give them a rundown of the complaint process. For boards, if we see a board out between 9-9 we would give them a 2hour window to remove. Outside of 9-9, we give them a longer window.

Ruth: Also, the boards haven’t been much of an issue. The only issue we had is people saving a spot. There hasn’t been a big deal about it, except for a few instances.

**Meeting Adjourned by Davis Quan at 9:41am**