* **Senate Minutes**
* Associated Students
* 02 November 2016
* Corwin Pavilion Minutes/Actions recorded by: Melissa Powell
* **CALL TO ORDER** 6:41PM by Natalie Jordan, INTERNAL VICE PRESIDENT
* **A) MEETING BUSINESS**
* **A-1) Roll Call**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| * **Name** | * **Note:** * absent (excused/not excused) * arrived late (time) * departed early (time) * proxy (full name) | * **Name** | * **Note:** * absent (excused/not excused) * arrived late (time) * departed early (time) * proxy (full name) |
| * Tamar Barlev | * Present | * Jonathan Lopez | * Present |
| * Conor Collins | * Present | * Cole Marting | * Present |
| * Kou Collins | * Absent: Excused: Proxy (Dahee Choi) | * Jordan Mitchell | * Present: Departed Early at 8:26pm |
| * Sabrina Divin | * Present | * Felipe Recinos Quant | * Present |
| * Patrick Dohoney | * Present | * Bryan Samayoa-Velasquez | * Present |
| * Hannah Ellenhorn | * Present | * Jasmine Sandhu | * Present |
| * Bianca Fernandez | * Present | * Ashley Selki | * Present |
| * Alexander Giolito | * Present | * Lesly Silva | * Present |
| * Matthew Greenberg | * Present | * Batsheva Stoll | * Present |
| * Aaron Hendizadeh | * Present | * Steven Stovall | * Present |
| * Maria Hoang | * Absent | * Unique Vance | * Present |
| * Reilly Hobson | * Present | * Jesse Velasquez | * Present |
| * Elias Jordan | * Absent: Excused (Proxy: Ryan DeCarsky) |  |  |

* **A-2) Excused Absences**
* *MOTION/SECOND: Selki/ Stoll*

*Motion language: motion to excuse Senator K. Collins for the entire meeting*

*ACTION: Vote: CONSENT*

*Vote Taken: 6:42pm*

* *MOTION/SECOND: Stoll/ Marting*

*Motion language: motion to approve all absences: Senator Jordan for the entire meeting, Senator Divin at 9:30pm, and Senator K. Collins for the entire meeting*

*ACTION: Vote: CONSENT*

*Vote Taken: 6:43pm*

* **A-3) Acceptance of Proxies**
* *MOTION/SECOND: Selki/ Silva*

*Motion language: motion to add the proxy Dahee Choi for Senator K. Collins and approve all proxies: Dahee Choi for Senator K. Collins and Ryan DeCarsky for Senator Jordan*

*ACTION: Vote: CONSENT*

*Vote Taken: 6:43pm*

* **B) External Reports**
* **B-1) Chancellor’s Representative**
* **B-2) UCPD Representative**
* **B-3) GSA Representative**
* **B-4) University Center Governance Board Representative**
* *MOTION/SECOND: Hobson/ Ellenhorn*

*Motion language: motion to suspend the orders of the day and go to Public Forum*

*ACTION: Vote: CONSENT*

*Vote Taken: 6:44pm*

* **C) New Business**
* *\*Moved here at 8:47pm*
* ***110216-19 A Resolution to Create a Pardall Center Governance Temporary Committee***
  + Dohoney:
    - This resolution is to create the committee
    - Right now the Pardall center and staff oversee those funds that are in legal code
    - It has not been created or in operation
    - This ensures that all the representatives are chosen
  + Stoll:
    - There is a board in legal code but it is not active
    - This creates the board and gives it the resources it needs
* *MOTION/SECOND: Fernandez/ Velasquez*

*Motion language: motion to move this to immediate consideration*

*ACTION: Vote: CONSENT*

*Vote Taken: 8:50pm*

* ***110216-20 A Bill to Omit Policy 32 from Legal Code*** 
  + Dohoney:
    - It is an AS contribution for funds
    - This strikes out the policy
  + Fernandez:
    - It is not a relevant policy
    - we want to keep legal code up to date
* *MOTION/SECOND: Stoll/*

*Motion language: motion to send this to immediate consideration*

* *MOTION/SECOND: Silva/ Selki*

*Motion language: motion to amend the motion to send this to internal affairs*

*ACTION: Vote: CONSENT*

*Vote Taken: 8:51pm*

* ***110216-21 A Bill to Omit Policy 27 From Legal Code***
  + Dohoney:
    - This is another policy not in effect
    - They are fine taking the policy out
    - We are keeping legal code up to date
  + Lopez :
    - This is updating policy
    - Dohoney said it all
* *MOTION/SECOND: Stoll/ Silva*

*Motion language: motion to send this to internal committee*

*ACTION: Vote: CONSENT*

*Vote Taken: 8:52pm*

* ***110216-22 Bill to Change the Deadline for Fee Initiatives*** 
  + *Samayoa-Velasquez:*
    - This was suggested to me by elections board
    - That way UCop and Elections Board has enough time to look over the language
    - It can be finalized and presented to senate with enough time
  + Jordan:
    - It is time sensitive so we need to act on this immediately
* *MOTION/SECOND: Silva/ Velasquez*

*Motion language: motion to send this to internal affairs*

*ACTION: Vote: CONSENT*

*Vote Taken: 8:54pm*

* ***110216-23 A Bill to Update Article XVIII of the AS Legal Code***
  + Lopez:
    - Early in the quarter I was approached to fix a couple of things in legal code because of the circumstances last year
    - There were expectations of elections board that they could not meet
    - This is a comprehensive bill
    - We can discuss this further
  + Samayoa-Velasquez:
    - Elections Board suggested it
    - We sat and read through everything
* *MOTION/SECOND: Silva/ Recinos*

*Motion language: motion to send this to internal affairs*

*ACTION: Vote: OBJECT*

* + Marting:
    - There is only one thing that I want clarification
    - Anything unique could be considered the senate liaison’s domain to change within the elections board legal code
* *ACTION: Vote: CONSENT*

*Vote Taken: 8:57pm*

***110216-24 A Bill to Update Article VI Section 16 of AS Legal Code***

* + Lopez*:*
    - This is for elections board
    - They took out sub-committees
  + Samayoa-Velasquez:
    - We went over it with Elections Board and they are okay with it
* *MOTION/SECOND: Selki/ Recinos*

*Motion language: motion to move this to internal affairs*

*ACTION: Vote: CONSENT*

*Vote Taken: 8:58pm*

***110216-25 A Resolution to establish a working group to edit and improve AS Legal Code***

* + Fernandez:
    - This is a work group within internal
    - We will go through legal code to see what is relevant and what needs to be taken out
    - Any changes will be approved by senate
  + Dohoney:
    - Bianca said it all
  + Stoll:
    - We talked about this in internal last week and we think it is a really good idea
    - There are errors in legal code so we need to go through it
* *MOTION/SECOND: Stoll/ Greenberg*

*Motion language: motion to move it to immediate consideration*

*ACTION: Vote: CONSENT*

*Vote Taken: 8:59pm*

* ***110216-26 A Resolution in Support of Free Speech***
  + Hobson:
    - I want to say that this is unrelated to the discussions today
    - We passed this unanimously last year
    - This is just saying that we are in support of free speech
  + Greenberg:
    - I can’t say much more than that
    - Hobson approached me and said we should go through this
* *MOTION/SECOND: Marting/ Fernandez*

*Motion language: motion to send this to immediate consideration*

*ACTION: Vote: OBJECT*

* + Fernandez:
    - We need to discuss the fact that this allows students to practice hate speech
    - It is convenient that this resolution is presented on this day
    - I think it would be important that we are going to differentiate between hate and regular speech
  + Stoll:
    - I think that it is very vague and broad in a sense that it should be
    - There is no obligation on the site of the university to protect the students from certain ideas
    - I think that this resolution states that

*ACTION: Vote: OBJECT*

* + Silva:
    - I think that as Senator Hobson said earlier, the last resolution was a little more in depth
    - I think that some of us have some questions and we want to see a more in depth resolution
    - This is a very important thing to discuss
    - I am not against it, I just don’t think that it is complete
  + Dohoney:
    - I agree with everything in the resolution
    - I am worried that the timing of this will be misinterpreted it
    - If we fund College Republicans tonight and then pass this, it can become a big deal
    - It might be better to wait a little bit
  + Hobson:
    - I don’t want it to be seen as anything it shouldn’t be seen as
* *MOTION/SECOND: Barlev/ Selki*

*Motion language: motion to amend the motion to table this for one week*

*ACTION: Vote: CONSENT*

*Vote Taken: 9:04pm*

* ***110216-27 Resolution for Fossil Free UCSB*** 
  + Hendizadeh:
    - This is a student sponsored piece of legislation
    - I would like this to go to external
    - It is a resolution to encourage UCSB to divest from fossil fuels
    - I think that this should go to external
  + Stoll*:*
    - To clarify, this is a resolution supporting a group on campus that wants to divest from fossil fuels
    - I think it is important to avoid global warming
    - I recommend that this goes to external affairs
* *MOTION/SECOND: Barlev/ Silva*

*Motion language: motion to send this to external affairs*

*ACTION: Vote: CONSENT*

*Vote Taken: 9:06pm*

* ***Box Office Analyst:***
  + Natalie Jordan:
    - This is a job description
  + Silva:
    - I did not get this in the additions
* *MOTION/SECOND: Fernandez/ Sandhu*

*Motion language: motion to move this to immediate consideration*

*ACTION: Vote: CONSENT*

*Vote Taken: 9:07pm*

* ***IV Office Assistant:***
* *MOTION/SECOND: Jordan (Proxy)/ Ellenhorn*

*Motion language: motion to move this to immediate consideration*

*ACTION: Vote: OBJECT*

*Vote Taken: Hand Vote: 24-0-0 PASS 9:09pm*

* **D) PUBLIC FORUM**

*\*Moved here at 6:44pm*

* *MOTION/SECOND: Stoll/ Barlev*

*Motion language: motion to set the time limit for each speaker for 2 minutes with no extensions*

*ACTION: Vote: OBJECT*

* + Lopez:
    - I think some students want more time to talk
  + Barlev:
    - I think that judging by the number of students here and for the essence of time, that students should be encouraged to keep it concise and under two minutes
  + Silva:
    - I believe it will be obvious when a speaker is eating up time or not
    - The only reason that people are here today is because people elected us
    - I think that we should have a case by case basis
    - We need to respect the speakers
  + Giolito:
    - I think that for the interest of time, 2 minutes is enough time to speak
    - I want to hear everyone’s voice
    - This is for the interest of time so we do not get out of here at 3am

*ACTION: Vote: OBJECT*

* Marting:
  + I think we should pass this motion

*ACTION: Vote: OBJECT*

*Vote Taken: Hand Vote: 18-6-0 PASS 6:47pm*

* **Tiffany Yeh: Elections**
  + I am the Chair of Elections Board
  + Here to talk about the reform to elections code
  + I was here to answer any questions but this is in new business
  + Giolito:
    - Who is the current liaison to elections board?
      * We have 2 right now
    - Would these senate liaisons have a say in what elections board does?
      * No
  + Silva:
    - Is it true that the senate liaisons to elections board are not allowed to run again?
      * Yes
  + Dohoney:
    - I saw in your minutes that there was a discussion on extending the elections period.
    - Is this an ongoing discussion?
      * The final decision was move it to Sunday at 8pm
  + Dohoney:
    - This is different from last year?
      * Yes
  + Hobson:
    - Will this go into affect this year or next year?
      * One of the big things it getting to be running next year
  + Stoll:
    - It says that the names of the candidates will be randomized.
    - What does randomized mean?
      * It has always been this way
      * The names for every position will be randomized based on the system
  + Marting:
    - In the bill, can you explain the additions?
      * Elections code, by week nine of fall quarter, is for the full year
      * Anything after that is the senate liaisons job
* **Tai Kang:** **IVCRC**
  + Pardall carnival went great
  + If you have any questions let me know
* **Joan Hartman:**
  + Running for 3rd District supervisor
  + I wanted to tell you about my qualifications and encourage you to vote
  + I have a PhD and was a teacher
  + I served for 6 years working with neglected and abused foster children
  + What I want to do, if elected, is work with you on safety issues
  + I have volunteered for UCIV
  + I am eager to get the sobering center established
* **Richard Behiel: Free Speech**
  + I have never seen more a divided student body with being at UCSB
  + We have people who support Trump
  + Nowadays, and from social media, there are a lot of people bickering and insulting
  + I actually like the insults and memes on Twitter
  + It is a back and forth debate
  + At the end of the day, we have people with different points of view
  + You can never change people by insulting them
  + You have to be able to express your ideas and accept other ideas
  + Mitchell:
    - For freedom of speech, is it alright if it incites violence?
      * It does not incite violence, violence incites violence
* **Nushi Yapabandara: The Senate Approval of $5K for College Republicans**
  + I want to talk about the $5000.00 dollar approval
  + I think its ridiculous that this has been funded since it has made many students on campus uncomfortable
  + People are paying to attend this college, which is making some people feel uncomfortable
* **Samantha Brown: Addressing funding decision of ASFB for Ben Shapiro**
  + I am hear speaking on the $5000.00 being allocated
  + I want to bring up May 23th, 2014regarding the tragedy in IV
  + I understand that we live in an age where technology can desensitize us to violence
  + I want to bring up that we have the memorial on campus for these victims
  + I understand that people think that free speech is harmless
  + So many people of color have problems with this speaker because he has hateful ideas
  + The issue is that the student fees that the students of color are paying is going to this event, which makes us uncomfortable
  + To hear his opinion is not necessarily the most usual stance
  + We are not a monolith
  + Silva:
    - Do you feel that people’s words are an insight to the way they act and can be precursors to the actions they may take in the future?
      * Unfortunately yes
      * Your behavior sometimes includes your belief
* **Zenzile Riddick: Addressing ASFB for Ben Shapiro**
  + I am going to read the first half of the letter we created for senate
  + The student bill of rights says students should be free of discrimination in the university
  + When AS and campus student groups conflict, you senators must make a decision that accurately represents the student body
  + On, October 31st the AS Finance and Business funded the College Republicans $5000.00
  + Today, Trayvon Martin would still be alive and 21 years old if he was not shot
  + In the Finance and Business Minutes, the speaker Ben Shapiro will provoke thought on these issues, as said by a representative of the College of Republicans
* **Danielle Patterson: Addressing ASFB for Ben Shapiro**
  + Black Lives Matter was created as an affirmation of the black lives contribution to the society in the face of oppression
  + We see our lives and experiences as reflected in their lives stories and experiences in those affected
  + The Black Lives Matter movement is the reflection for the needs of the people in society
  + This event does not promote diversity
  + It furthers marginalization and oppression in the black community
  + We are triggered and offended about the title of the event
* **Andrew Gates: Ben Shapiro**
  + President of UCSB College Republicans
  + I want to speak about the Ben Shapiro event and its funding
  + Contrary with people think who call us fascists
  + My issue with the people is not that people are protesting this event, but they feel the need to shut down an event of freedom of expression
  + We are paying thousands of dollars to express ourselves and to find truth that educates ourselves
  + Yes Ben Shapiro isn’t politically correct, and even though some people do not agree with what he says, he holds views that the majority of the people in the College Republicans believe in
  + Anyone student can speak at the event and express at the Q & A
  + Silva:
    - Do you feel that a group can host honest and neutral discourse on the black lives matter movement, when on their social media page, they are showing anti-blackness and racism whenever the Black Lives Matter topic comes up?
      * I find it ironic when these racist accusations are affiliated with our club
      * This accusation of racism and anti-blackness is untrue
      * I think its valuable to criticize the Black Lives Matter movement
  + Silva:
    - Are you aware that the name of the event in the minutes was taken literatim from the funding request presented by the College Republicans?
      * The title is wrong in the minutes
      * “Lies, Prejudice, and the Divided People: The Legacy of the Black Lives Matter Movement” is the correct title
      * The minutes said it was titled, “The Legacy of Black Lives,” which is incorrect
      * We are not addressing the whole race, but the social movement itself
      * That mistake was so crucial
* **Jason Garshfield: Free Speech/ Ben Shapiro**
  + This movement directs my degree
  + I was hoping that this would be something I would not have to come speak to you about again
  + I would like the thank Black Lives Matter for coming out
  + Black Lives Matter says they want dialogue
  + I have worked with many of you and talked to all of you about freedom of speech before
  + I think that you understand the value of free expression
  + The world is watching you, no matter what the people see, they will not take kindly for suppressing free speech
  + Mitchell:
    - Do you have legal permission to take pictures and record us?
      * I do not understand of what you are asking
  + Giolito:
    - Regardless of party or political affiliation, do you think Ben Shapiro is a credible and non-biased news source?
      * Are you aware he resigned from media this year?
        + Giolito:

No

* + Giolito:
    - Regardless of whether or not Ben Shapiro resigned from media, by him having a long time affiliation with the media, does that not hold up and not matter?
      * Are you saying that we are not allowed to have a speaker on campus without any bias?
      * With regards to the legality of this event, it does not
      * I believe that the left is a direct proponent
      * Saying that Ben Shapiro should not have a voice is wrong
      * I think Donald Trump will win this election
* **Josephine Ampaw: OSA**
  + *SAG Public Forum Report: (For Full Report Refer to Exhibit A)*
  + I am the SAG of UCSB
  + I represent all students from every race, gender, ethnicity, etc.
  + It is your job as Senate to represent the needs of the students
  + I will not tolerate any disrespect
  + On page 48 of legal code, it states that executive officers must provide guidance and leadership to the senate members
  + If anyone sees that you show any form of disrespect to students, I will have a one on one conversation with you
  + Stoll:
    - If this event was prevented from happening, do you feel that you are accurately representing all students’ opinions on campus?
      * Yes, because I represent every student
      * If they disagree with my personal morals, that’s too bad
      * If BSU feels a certain way, I will help them
      * If College Republicans asked me for help, I will help them
      * But I have my personal opinions
* **Eric Lendrum: College Republicans**
  + I want to apologize to Senate for being out of order earlier
  + I am member of College Republicans
  + I am speaking to you as a UCSB student and a fellow American
  + The right we have as American’s includes freedom of speech and expression
  + These events are funded because it is our right to free speech and provided by legal code of the school
  + What has made USA the greatest society in human history is our right to freedom of expression and to be able to agree to disagree
  + I am talking about the idea of the university
  + The idea that we can agree to disagree is what makes us great
  + It is important to maintain free speech
  + If you want to cancel this event because some people are offended by it, this is setting a very dangerous precedent
* **Viranda Woodard: Negative Reactions to Ben Shapiro**
  + UCSB is #12 as the least diverse campus
  + My argument is against having an event for an anti-issue, which is actually a hate crime
  + This event is going to trigger anger
  + To address the issue regarding how some people cannot directly relate to the issues we face, how are you going to educate them?
  + How are you supposed to be heard if you cannot feel safe on campus?
* **Nadia Talley: Hatred**
  + Political Co-Chair of BSU
  + Regarding the statement that safe spaces are only used for emotional support: I disagree
  + As a white man, you do not have to worry about safe spaces
    - You do not have to worry about it affecting your physical being
  + This event will incite hateful speech
  + Someone said that you cannot change somebody’s point of view by insulting them
  + The people in this political party, and the people that they are following, such as Donald Trump, are insults
  + The purpose of this event is to insult
* **Ladijah Corder: BSU**
  + Co-President of the BSU
  + One point that has not been brought up is logistically, this event should not have even been funded because the organization that promotes this event has not gotten approval with OSL before being funded by Finance and Business
  + In terms of Ben Shapiro, and that words cannot incite violence; what is important is to understand that when students are in a space where they are being insulted and attacked, it is an issue and something that you should not stand for
* **Andrew Cavarno: BLM Event**
  + The tragedy two years ago regarding Elliot Rodger has nothing to do with Ben Shapiro
  + As we get into the talk of Black Lives Matter, a little history of myself will give more insight on how I came to these conclusions
  + I was raised in a majority minority community
    - This played a big part in shaping my political ideology
  + Getting involved with UCSB for Bernie, I realized that these groups were plagued by complete ideological conformity and cannot defend their ideas
  + I felt that my conscious showed me that I should not be a liberal and I left
  + Many students feel that they have the rite to silence other students
  + Most socialist ideas are based in evil, jealousy, greed, and failure
  + I treat you with respect
  + I would never shut down an event because I disagree
  + There are many events on campus that happen that I disagree with
  + Ellenhorn:
    - What type of events were you talking about that you disagree with?
      * “The Mask You Live In” screening for instance
      * Just because I disagree with the ideas that masculinity is evil, I would never organize an event and try to stop people to voice their opinions
      * This goes against what a university is supposed to stand for
* **Andrew Pragin: CSD Candidacy**
  + I am running for the IV Community Services Board of Directors
  + It is an interesting board
  + Out of those 7 board members, only 2 will be elected this November
  + Essentially, there are 4 people running for this,
  + My qualifications:
    - I have been involved in UCIV, I have chaired community center meetings, and more
    - I know a lot of IV
    - I am running because I believe that we do have a voice, and it is really important that you elect the people to know your voice and represent you well
  + Marting:
    - What projects will you be working on if elected?
      * I will continue work with the IV community center and development
      * I previously have a platform for decreasing police pressure during major events
  + Giolito:
    - For all that are interested, can we speak with you?
      * Andrew:
        + You can contact me through Facebook or through a my phone
        + 8054505065 is my number
* **Emily Williams: College Republicans Funding**
  + Director of Communications for UCSB College of Republicans
  + I am caseworker for OSA
  + Words only have as much meaning as you let them have
  + Not funding an event because some students are too cowardly to accept the responsibility to deal with their feelings is ridiculous
* **Marcello Mendez: College Republicans Funding**
  + For the snakes that like to hide under the banner of free speech
  + Natalie Jordan:
    - I cannot allow you to speak to my senate like that
    - If you the senators snakes I cannot allow you to continue
    - If you give us respect and we give it to you that would be great
  + This is the greatest test of democracy today
* **Guy Singer: Ben Shapiro**
  + 4th year student here
  + Opposing opinions do not go away
  + When you outline an opinion, it only makes it worse
  + If there is a clear, high moral ground, it no longer worth debating about, but we still debate issues like this, such as climate change
  + We debate with College Republicans because intellectual growth is forged on this campus
  + Is Ben Shapiro promoting bigot ideas, maybe yes, but let him speak anyways
* **Mayela Morales: USSA**
  + We believe that the 5k funding shows harassment of black students and students of color
  + USSA has a history of working with students that deal with racism
  + We acknowledge that black and brown bodies have been historically marginalized
  + We fight to reduce this
  + This event is a direct attack to students on our campus
  + It makes it uncomfortable to a point where students cannot focus on their school work
* **Charles Neumann: Free Speech**
  + Upon hearing about the Ben Shapiro event, I want to express my opinion
  + I do not support Donald Trump or Ben Shapiro, but let him speak
  + In America, a university is based on Democracy and the freedom of speech
  + Look at other countries that do not allow this
    - They do not allow freedom of expression or speech
    - They want to stand for one doctrine
  + Therefore I think that allowing people to speak circulates ideas
  + Whoever wants to protest I think they should go to the event and proudly protest
* **Mariah Boyd: BSU Letter**
  + I am reading the last part of the letter drafted
  + In legal code you must protect the rights of students
  + We articulate why this event is not on par with the legal code
  + No one on Finance and Business asked to see if this event was approved or not
  + At the very least, Finance and Business should have tabled the request
  + Ben Shapiro has given other talks and speaks false accusations about the Black Lives Matter Movement
  + We are asking you to protect the right to protect students from being harassed based on race, gender, religion, and more
  + The university may not be able to stop the event from occurring, but as senate, please do not approve it
* **Eddy Kuvimbanashe: Ben Shapiro**
  + We believe that institutional racism is real, but Ben Shapiro denies this
  + He says that racism occurs because of black culture
  + He demonizes black people and black culture
  + I find this insulting to hear that the only reason black students are in college is because of the government
  + For senate to fund this event that insults the black community is wrong
  + Do not fund this because of these insults
  + To bring this man to UCSB is an insult itself
* **Alexandra Gessesse: College Republicans**
  + Multicultural Awareness Chair for Santa Catalina North Tower
  + One thing that we are trained about is being inclusive
  + Reading the title alone, this is not inclusive
  + Regarding the statement from one previous speaker; BSU does not try to silence opinions
  + We are not a political group
  + We are a minority on this campus
  + Santa Catalina has 7 black students living in both towers
* **Bianca Graves: Ben Shapiro**
  + BSU Co-Chair
  + Last year, St. Michaels Church had a sign saying promoting the Black Lives Matter movement, and it was found with a bullet hole in it
  + With these events like Ben Shapiro, they get security and get to be comfortable
  + We are emotional minorities, but we get bullet holes?
  + Do not say here that words mean nothing and words do not incite violence
  + Do not be ignorant
* **Adam Tabor: Ben Shapiro**
  + I affiliate as a student of color
  + I support College Republicans to bring Ben Shapiro to UCSB even if I do not support what he states
* **Monae Orange: Ben Shapiro**
  + As apart of BSU, we are not here to support the Black Lives Matter Movement, but we are here to support as black students
  + This is our lives and safety on the line
  + We are here to represent ourselves as students on campus
  + This event jeopardizes our safety on campus
* **Chadwick Moore: Ben Shapiro**
  + I understand free speech of the Constitution of the United States
  + I hope to one day support this country
  + I do not support senate to fund this event
  + I feel that student fees going to this event is wrong
  + Bringing in Ben Shapiro will push down black lives
  + This man will not bring a civil discourse
  + They can have the event, but I don’t agree with the school paying for it
  + Barlev:
    - Could you please restate what you said earlier regarding the Supreme Court?
      * This regards the Supreme Court ruling of free speech topics within groups
* **Gerardo Banuelos: Ben Shapiro**
  + I am apart of College Republicans
  + I think that it is disgusting to recount the shooting on campus
  + I am a Hispanic, and I believe that the U.S. is the greatest nation
  + We must preserve the foundation of freedom of speech
  + I am a big fan of Ben Shapiro and I believe that he is one of the most intelligent political minds of our time
  + I believe strongly in diversity
  + I believe strongly in the diversity of viewpoints
  + We will never know who is right and who is wrong if this discussion does not happen
* **Marla Arciniega: Funding for Ben Shapiro**
  + I am here as a student of this university who pays student fees
  + As someone who pays into those fees I want to express my rejection to the dialogue of this man who opposes a movement
  + The main concern is that this event is being sponsored and funded by AS
* *\*5 minute break at 8:07pm*
* *\*Resumed at 8:15pm*
* **Dulcinea Arroyo: Funding for Ben Shapiro**
  + For the Latino students, we think that this is an attack on us
  + Such as Feminism is Cancer last year, funding this event on the attack of minority students is something we need to address
  + I did my research about what this man says and all I saw were hateful videos
  + Having people speak here that are against Black Lives Matter is wrong
  + Why can’t we respect the ideologies of Black Lives Matter?
* **Yaneli Gutierrez: Funding for Ben Shapiro**
  + Legality isn’t everything
  + There are many unjust laws
  + Freedom of speech should not be used to dehumanize people
  + Student money should not be going to speakers who dehumanize already marginalized students on campus
* **Adam Kodor: Minorities**
  + Here at UCSB, I am a minority
  + When I hear that the event is being funded by the school, it is disgusting
  + This is a joke
  + My family’s hard earned money is going toward this
  + I thought we try to create a positive and safe space
  + This will only start more violence and hate
  + Sometimes logic is more powerful than what is written in a book
  + Do you think that a bigot and a racist who stands against what we push for in 2016 has earned the right to speak at a diverse campus such as UCSB?
* **Dominick Dicesare: Ben Shapiro**
  + I am a libertarian
  + I do not support Ben Shapiro or his opinions
  + But I support his right to speak on campus
  + I will ask him questions about his opinions
  + I want to let him know that I disagree with his opinions
  + I want to address the logic being presented at the podium
  + Some say that Ben Shapiro speaks hatefully, but we do not believe he speaks hatefully
  + We want to bring him here to speak against that hateful side
  + If we are going to disallow this event, and we refuse funding based on someone calling this hate speech and refuse to fund it because of this, then never approve anything that is brought to this campus because I do not agree on it and find it offensive
  + I am a snake, do not tread on me
* **Richard Zierer: Ben Shapiro Event**
  + The other side claims that we are the violent group
  + We have high security groups because we have to
  + Members of our group have been physically and verbally assaulted, sexually harassed, and have been spat on, punched, and kicked, for wearing Trump gear
  + There was a confrontation of the tearing down of posters
  + It was instigated by the other side and there is evidence to prove this and it was unprovoked
  + Mitchell:
    - Post the god damn video
      * We will post it
* *\* Senator Mitchell departed early at 8:26pm*
  + Every single political event, biased or not, is in support of liberal ideas
  + These events received funding from AS
  + Look around this room, 75% of the people who spoke today, spoke on the behalf of the other side
  + You are not the minority on this campus, you are the majority

**Evan Quash: Ben Shapiro**

* + I want to say that, I do not think that it is right to use our money to allow someone to speak here that has such hateful rhetoric
  + Blacks, Latinos, LGBTQ, and other minorities are being offended
  + Many students come from places that aren’t as safe as a college space
  + We need to respect everybody for who we are
  + This undermines how RHA attempts to make the campus safe for as many students and residents as possible
  + We need to keep this a safe space
  + Do not spend money harming our mental and emotional health
  + Vance:
    - Do you find it troubling that what a representative from College Republicans said about the event in the Finance and Business committee regarding civil discourse?
      * I feel that it goes along with the rhetoric that is going on today
      * I come to this campus and this man will come here and make me feel smaller and afraid
      * He will make me feel like my life does not matter
* **Thao Nguyen: Womyns Comm**
  + I am the Co-Chair for Womyn’s commission
  + Study jam at GSA lounge from 5-10pm
  + We are working to change our legal code
  + We will have a conference on February 11th
  + We are trying to find a co-chair
  + Lopez:
    - You said you are looking for a co-chair?
      * Yes
    - Are you in contact with CoC about that?
      * Yes I am
  + Selki:
    - When will you be releasing the final information for the February event?
      * We booked the rooms, and we will have a budget for the beginning of winter quarter
    - We should be expecting this information in winter quarter?
      * Yes
* **Leiya Factoran: Ben Shapiro**
  + Thank you for allowing me to speak
  + I am not in opposition to freedom of speech
  + I appose the funding of a speaker that has a history of anti-black and transphobic speech
  + The attendance here at this meeting is to voice our opinions that we are feeling unsafe because of this event
* **Joseline Garcia:**
  + I am speaking as an alumni
  + I always saw senate meetings like this
  + Students of color come to you all saying that they do not feel represented
  + There is a difference of freedom of speech and feeling violated on campus
  + If you do not feel safe here, and you cannot function here, how are you supposed to be a student?
  + Higher education is supposed to be affordable and accessible but how does that work if you are bringing a speaker like this here?
  + I cannot believe that this is taking place
  + You have a decision on who you want to represent
  + A student of colors experience is different than a white students experience
  + I’m not coming here to tell you how to spend your money, because I am no longer a student here
  + Think about this and your priorities
* **Ezra Mernin:**
  + Words incite violence
  + I am a trans student
  + A number of my friends and allies have been harassed and insulted by transphobes
  + Photos of us were circulating online without our consent
  + Money cannot be taken from students to fund this event
* **Amariyanna Anderson**:
  + I am a first year
  + I feel like it is crazy that I am standing here
  + When you feel that, as a white person, that you feel higher than us, that is not right
  + This event is saying that my life does not matter
  + You can allow this event, but when all of this violence comes from it, especially when an alumni comes up here sand says they have seen similar issues like this before, it is sad
  + Violence will come out of it, and it shouldn’t have to come to this
  + Black Lives Matter represents all the minorities here, it’s for the Mexicans, for us, and for anyone who feels not up to par with the white students here
  + At our BSU meetings there are white students that agree with us because they see what we see
  + Feeling this way is not right
  + Recinos:
    - Are you aware that you said Mexicans instead of Latinx?
      * Yes
* **Sydney Hempstead:**
  + I just want to say that I just got here
  + I am a freshman
  + We are already feeling uncomfortable by events being held at this school
  + For this school to promote this is not okay
  + There are so many racial barriers I faced just to get to this school, and when I get here I see that it is still happening
  + For us to have to fight for this against senate it is not okay
  + Other people have said that our main focus is school
  + If our school is supporting events that attacks our identities, then our focus will shift
  + That is not fair to us
  + How am I going to go back and tell my black community that I go to this school that is already predominantly white that there are events being held that attack my identity? That is not fair
* **Luvia Solis: Ben Shapiro**
  + Co-Chair of SCORE
  + We cannot endorse AS to fund an event to further marginalize students
  + When College Republicans asked for this money, not one senator asked us for our advice
  + We could have avoided this if you have asked us
  + We demand that this funding be revoked on behalf of the students of color on this campus
  + Don’t pretend that you care about students of color when only 3 senators applied for our students of color conference and barely any show up to our meetings
* **Yovany Mejia: Ben Shapiro**
  + I am a Hispanic student at UCSB
  + I am disappointed in my fellow Latinos and Latinas not for being conservative in the Republican Party, but for further marginalizing the students on campus
* *MOTION/SECOND: Selki/ Marting*

*Motion language: motion to resume the orders of the day*

*ACTION: Vote: CONSENT*

*Vote Taken: 8:47pm*

**E) Acceptance of Agenda**

* *MOTION/SECOND: Dohoney/ Recinos*

*Motion language: motion to add “Use of Technology” as a discussion item*

*ACTION: Vote: CONSENT*

*Vote Taken: 9:10pm*

* *MOTION/SECOND: Giolito/ Hobson*

*Motion language: motion to accept the agenda*

*ACTION: Vote: OBJECT*

* *MOTION/SECOND: Marting/ Hobson*

*Motion language: motion to amend the motion to add a discussion item on “Police Body Cameras”*

*ACTION: Vote: CONSENT*

*Vote Taken: 9:11pm*

* *MOTION/SECOND: Silva/ Stoll*

*Motion language: motion to accept the agenda*

*ACTION: Vote: CONSENT*

*Vote Taken: 9:11pm*

* **F) Consent Calendar**
* ***102616-13 A Bill to Update the role of CCS Senator in the CCS Student Council***
* *MOTION/SECOND: Hobson/ Fernandez*

*Motion language: motion to bundle and approve consent calendar*

*ACTION: Vote: CONSENT*

*Vote Taken: 9:12pm*

* **G) Action Items**
* **G-1) Immediate Consideration**
* ***110216-19 A Resolution to Create a Pardall Center Governance Temporary Committee***
* *MOTION/SECOND: Silva/ Velasquez*

*Motion language: motion to approve the resolution*

*ACTION: Vote: CONSENT*

*Vote Taken: 9:13pm*

* ***110216-25 A Resolution to establish a working group to edit and improve AS Legal Code***
* *MOTION/SECOND: Selki/ Hendizadeh*

*Motion language: motion to pass the resolution*

*ACTION: Vote: CONSENT*

*Vote Taken: 9:14pm*

***Box Office Analyst***

* *MOTION/SECOND: Fernandez/ Samayoa- Velasquez*

*Motion language: motion to approve the job description*

*ACTION: Vote: OBJECT*

*Vote Taken Hand Vote: 24-0-0 PASS 9:15pm*

* ***IV Office Assistant:***
* *MOTION/SECOND: Hendizadeh/ Jordan (Proxy)*

*Motion language: motion to approve*

*ACTION: Vote: OBJECT*

*Vote Taken: Hand Vote: 24-0-0 PASS 9:16pm*

* *\*Moved here at 11:41pm:*
* ***College Republican Funding by Finance and Business Minutes 10/31:***
  + Silva:
    - I was looking at Finance and Business policies
    - 86.20 and 86.30
      * + It says that we should be funding events using student fees and to provide for educational benefits
        + I am in disagreement that this event enriches education
  + Jordan (Proxy):
    - I learned a lot today
    - I think that we are in agreement of what the policy says
    - I think it is good to understand that the body is going to set a precedent
    - I want everyone to know that what the decision will be, that you have the power to look at content over policies
  + Stoll:
    - I have my opinions on him
    - I am sitting here as a senator, I have to vote for legal code
    - As an elected official I cannot vote on how I feel
    - Preventing a group from having a speaker to come is going to create more speakers to come and argue again
  + Barlev:
    - We heard time and time again that our consideration cannot be content based
    - We heard time and time again that we have to allow the funding of organizations to bring speakers here
    - The rules are the rules
  + Selki:
    - I think that no matter how disgusting we think the content is, it is our job as senators to follow legal code
    - Since we cannot vote content base, we might have to approve funding for the event
    - As long as it is not a call to hurt people with physical violence, we can’t just vote against it because it hurts other peoples feelings or they do not agree with it
    - Everyone has the right to free speech and it is in our legal code
    - In regards to safe spaces, I understand that a lot of individuals feel uncomfortable about this event
    - We can create safe spaces during and after the even to make it as safe as possible
  + Silva:
    - For Finance and Business we get information about their event
    - I do not understand what people mean by the separation of content
    - Part of the procedure for Finance and Business is checking the content
    - Constitution says we need to provide safe spaces when needed
    - There are over 1,000 students spreading their concerns through a letter
    - We had to move to a different room for this meeting
    - If we approve this we are not upholding this safe space
    - Safe spaces need to be made in accordance to the constitution
    - Where do we draw the line on the content?
  + Samayoa-Velasquez:
    - Everyone is saying that we cannot vote content based
    - If we close off this opportunity we close other opportunities of discussion for the future
    - The same argument that they have can be used for anything regarding safe spaces
    - People’s feelings will get hurt no matter what
    - We cannot cater to everyone's feelings
    - We represent the students and no one is going to agree 100% on everything we do
    - It is our job to make sure that every voice is heard even if it’s the hardest one to be heard
    - Regarding the content, we ask the group if the event is accessible to all students during Finance and Business
    - We ask what will happen in the event, but we do not know until it happens
    - I feel that we as an association need to make sure every voice is heard
    - We cannot limit voices and if we do we are setting a precedent to limit other voices
    - To legal code standard, if they did everything right, they deserve their funding
    - Personally I have really strong feelings about these things
    - We cannot vote based on feelings
    - We do what we swore to do as senators and follow legal code
  + Ellenhorn:
    - Other people will be willing to make other events such as safe zones
    - Regarding what we ask about content, we ask if the event is open to everyone, and this event is
    - We cannot silence the group based on our own opinions
    - We have to follow legal code
    - It is our responsibility to fund them because they are a group and they want to have this event
* *MOTION/SECOND: Ellenhorn/ Dohoney*

*Motion language: motion to approve the action item*

*ACTION: Vote: OBJECT*

*Vote Taken: Vote to Vote: 16-7-0 PASS 11:59pm*

* *MOTION/SECOND: Samayoa- Velasquez/ Recinos*

*Motion language: motion for a secret ballot*

*ACTION: Vote: CONSENT*

*Vote Taken: 11:59pm*

*Vote Taken Secret Ballot: 16-5-2 PASS 12:08am*

* *MOTION/SECOND: Stoll/ Barlev*

*Motion language: motion to resume the orders of the day*

*ACTION: Vote: CONSENT*

*Vote Taken: 12:08am*

* **G-2) Old Business**
* ***$5,00.000 For America Reads:***
  + Hobson:
    - They came into Finance and Business and we approved them in the minutes
    - You can either approve it in the minutes or now
* *MOTION/SECOND: Marting/ Samayoa- Velasquez*

*Motion language: motion to table indefinitely*

*ACTION: Vote: CONSENT*

*Vote Taken: 9:17pm*

* *\*Moved here at 11:15pm:*
* *MOTION/SECOND: Silva/ Hobson*

*Motion language: motion to approve the money for UCSB Reads*

*ACTION: Vote: CONSENT*

*Vote Taken: 11:16pm*

*\* Moved onto the IRS Letter at 11:16pm*

* ***IRS Letter:***
  + Hobson:
    - Austin was going to come in and speak on this
* *MOTION/SECOND: Hobson/ Giolito*

*Motion language: motion to move on*

*ACTION: Vote: OBJECT*

*Vote Taken Hand Vote : 24-0-0 PASS 9:17pm*

*\*Moved here at 11:16pm after funding UCSB Reads at 11:16pm*

* *MOTION/SECOND: Silva/ Stoll*

*Motion language: motion to table it for one week*

*ACTION: Vote: CONSENT*

*Vote Taken: 11:17pm*

* *\*Moved here at 11:17pm after tabling the IRS Letter for one week:*
* *MOTION/SECOND: Barlev/ Selki*

*Motion language: motion to add a discussion item regarding “The Ben Shapiro Funding”*

* + Stoll:
    - If we are already passing it or not, or deciding what to do, isn’t that when we would discuss it?
  + Louis Mariano:
    - I would say discuss it in minutes
    - Options:
      * You can pass/not pass it through the minutes
      * You can strike out that section of the minutes
      * You can table the minutes
      * You can send the minutes back to Finance and Business
      * You can take that section of the minutes out, table it, and then send it to Judicial Council to render it for an advisory and opinion
* *MOTION/SECOND: Stoll/ Marting*

*Motion language: motion to amend the motion and not make it a discussion item and resume the orders of the day*

*ACTION: Vote: CONSENT*

*Vote Taken: 11:21pm*

* **H) Recess**
* *MOTION/SECOND: Samayoa- Velasquez/ Jordan (Proxy)*

*Motion language: motion to have a 20-minute recess*

*ACTION: Vote: OBJECT*

*Vote Taken Hand Vote: 13-11-0 PASS 9:19pm*

* *\*Resumed at 9:44pm*
* **I) ASUCSB Reports**
* **I-1) Administrative Committees**
* **I-2) Boards and Commissions:**
* **Tony Aceves: Vice-Chair for Committee on Committees**
  + Here to give an update on the committee
  + We do a lot of background and outreach
  + We put on the AS Olympics
  + We do outreach and recruitment
  + As far as internal climate, we are working with AS Assembly set for January 28th
  + RSVP form will be sent out soon
  + Internal climate survey we want to push forward to learn how you feel in AS
  + We are working on an annual brochure with Creative Media to focus on accomplishments and achievements within the year
  + We have the academic workshop with LaDonte King
  + We are working with appointments and with elections
  + We could not elect some individuals within AS
  + Shared Governance:
    - We have done outreach for some of these positions that are still vacant
  + If you can make sure to connect with the Shared Governance coordinator that would be great
  + We have been working with renovating the CoC website
  + I am reporting for Oscar and Tiffany: External Chairs
    - One event that Tiffany hosted is the Cocktail party during welcome week
    - We might do it during winter quarter as well
  + As far as my position:
    - I will be working closely for conducting interviews and templates for the interviews
  + Silva:
    - What is the contact information for Gabe Freeman?
      * I do not have it right now
      * I will tell him to contact you as soon as possible
  + Vance:
    - External committee needs two student representatives. Do we talk to you about that?
      * Yes we will let you know
  + Dohoney:
    - Gabe Freeman’s email is [sharedgovernance.coc@gmail.com](mailto:sharedgovernance.coc@gmail.com)
    - Do you know if Gabe has information about the advisory and academic committees?
      * I do not know
  + Marting:
    - Regarding the surveys, is that via email?
      * Yes email
  + Lopez:
    - With the diligence for the search for the positions, are you going through the vacant positions? How careful are you at making sure these positions are vacant?
      * Oscar works with this directly
      * We try to do our best to make sure we know which positions are vacant and need a member
      * It will help if committees get in touch with us
      * I am working on a template to start interviews next week
      * If there is a vacancy, let us know
  + Lopez:
    - I appreciate the work CoC does. Is there anything Senate can do to maintain the efficiency of appointing these officials?
      * It would help if you coordinate with us with our efforts
      * We want to get students to voice different opinions
      * If you can help out with outreach and get people involved
* **Evelyn Diaz: SCORE**
  + We decided on the delegation of 86 students
  + 4 to 6 will be going on business for EVPSA
  + We are going through the waiting list if people drop out
  + It is your duty to come and listen to what students say
  + I encourage you to come and have this conversation with your constituents
  + Stoll:
    - When does SCORE meet?
      * Thursdays at 6pm in the MCC
  + Velasquez:
    - Will you be meeting with the delegation?
      * Yes Wednesday, November 9th
      * You are all more than welcome to come
  + Silva:
    - Could you send this to Senator Lopez and I separately?
    - We will not be able to make it to those meetings
      * Yes
* **I-3) Unit Reports:**
* **Jenny: External Coordinator for Food Bank Committee**
  + Open Monday Wednesday and Thursday
  + I was here with my chair earlier
  + Next week we will do a report on what the committee is about
  + A report that came out at the UC Regents Meeting during summer:
    - They revealed that, at the UC level, 19% of UC students revealed that they were very low food secure
    - Food security is having the access to food with quality and quantity
    - 42% of all students is a very big deal
    - These numbers are alarming
  + This study is being used to address food security
  + UCLA has a financial crisis team already
* **I-4) President’s Cabinet Report:**
* **Gefen:** 
  + Funding for the Ben Shapiro event
    - Nothing I say is binding
    - A couple issues with legal code with this:
    - Constitution: Article 2, Section D1
      * All students have the right to a safe space on campus
      * This is a very subjective term
      * The concept for safe spaces for some and others opens the door for every speaker to come to be offensive or demeaning
    - Constitution: Article 2, Section G
      * This is a very broad range and affects parties at hand
      * Many believed they were being harassed on race, gender, sex, religion, ethnicity, etc.
      * The other side believed they were being harassed on political beliefs
    - Those two issues are in the Bill of Rights at a gray area
    - The by-laws are a lot more concise and concrete
      * Article 4, Section 2, Sub section D1:
        + I read some of the financial policies and procedures
        + \*86.31 clause read

This, at the very least, understands where Finance and Business were coming from, that these fees cannot be based on whether a speaker is controversial

* + - My biggest concern is that it sets a precedent for every speaker that comes with a long process against legal code
    - I believe senate should vote on it
    - You have gotten a well rounded viewpoint on everything that was said and felt
    - If that precedent is set, a lot of speakers will not come, and there will be a lengthier process
    - There are 2 gray areas in the constitution, but one solidified area in the by-laws
  + Samayoa-Velasquez:
    - Can you repeat where in the bylaws:
      * Article 4, Section 2, Sub-Section D1
  + Selki:
    - Can you repeat all of them?
      * Constitution:
        + Article 2 Section D1 safe spaces
        + Article 2 Section G: right of student groups against discrimination
      * By-laws:
        + Article 4, Section 2, Sub Section D1: criteria to give students funding
  + Selki:
    - If we did vote to get a recommendation from Judicial Council, how long will this take?
      * Marisela Marquez:
        + They will not take this normally along if it is just an opinion
        + It would be at least 2 senate meetings
      * Natalie Jordan:
        + A recommendation is different than filing a judicial case
    - Just the recommendation would approximately say 2 weeks?
      * Natalie Jordan:
        + We do not know for sure
* **Louis Mariano:**
  + Facilities Commissioner
  + We had a UCen meeting on Monday
  + Board voted no to not go out on a student referendum
  + We are looking at the process to restructure the UCen
  + We talked about expanding Food Banks space on the third floor and it is in the works
  + Regarding the RecCen, we are going to vote on student referendum next week
  + SRB is meeting is this Friday
* **I-5) Executive Director’s Report: Marisela Marquez**
  + You all did a good job tonight
  + I am going to put my current report into next week
  + If you have any questions let me know
  + Financial Policies and Procedures has been put together by Finance and Business Committee
  + Even above the legal code, you have the policies of what you can and cannot do with student fees
    - You can take a look at this
  + You have a compulsory student fee that is distributed by us and the viewpoints that are going to be hired and getting elected based on the fees
    - You can only make this decision on a viewpoint mutual basis
  + Discuss how this matter will be discussed on principle, policy, or legal code
  + Do not bounce around the merits of what people believe
  + Just like Finance and Business did, nothing is actually known
  + With your decision, put this on your agenda, it would be my best advice that you deliver it with principles and policies and nothing else
  + Jordan (Proxy):
    - What is your opinion to decide on it or send it to Judicial Affairs?
      * It is a good exercise to ask the opinion on Judicial Council
      * If they do not want to give you an opinion, they don’t have to
      * There is a potential contradiction between the constitution and the by-laws
      * Without a case you should get an opinion on this
  + Jordan (Proxy):
    - Would you say that by doing this process, would this show a steadier delivery of this topic instead of making a quick decision?
      * It may
      * The core is going back to you Finance and Business committee
      * If you feel that they may have been correct in interpreting legal code, there may be another way to interpret legal code
  + Hobson:
    - What is the status with the procurement rights?
      * I had a meeting with the Vice Chancellor with Student Affairs, and CFO of student affairs
      * We talked about that what is being proposed that staff members will receive training and continue with making procurement decisions
      * How this will work is unknown
  + Hobson:
    - So Cindy and yourself would have to get training from the university?
      * Yes
      * Its about how to do procurement how the university does procurement
      * The best case scenario is we learn how to do it their way and then follow with student decision making
* **I-6) Executive Officers’ Report**
* **President: Austin Hechler:**
  + Good job to you guys for tonight
  + IRS Letter:
    - We are negotiating sending the letter to the IRS about our finances and filing 990s or not
    - We think it is in our best interest to talk to our attorney to see the best way to go
    - Berkley does not have to function under same procurement rights as UCSB
  + Procurement rights:
    - Marisela Marquez met with Chuck
    - We do not want this but it came down to that staff members will be trained in university Procurement Policy
  + I met with ROTC Cadets:
    - They informed me that when you sign up, you choose all your classes for the years
    - If you don’t get the class that you are signed up for on the ROTC form, you do not get funding for that quarter
    - We want to get priority pass times to them
  + Transfer Student Coordinator
    - I know we don’t have a transfer student senator yet
  + Position for Alumni Coordinator in my office is open
  + The UCen is not seeking student fees for reimbursement of students
  + Halloween was a huge success
    - Arrests were down
    - UCIV program was involved with this
  + I know today was a contentious issue
  + Be careful with the decision and what you decide
  + You set a precedent when you take a stand on issues
  + Ellenhorn:
    - What do you suggest that we do with the issue tonight?
      * I don’t think you can discriminate on the event
      * Students that don’t feel comfortable maybe coordinate another event
      * All students must feel safe at all times
  + Hobson:
    - Do you think we should approve the IRS letter in this meeting?
      * I think you should table it and we should talk to our attorney
* **Internal Vice President: Natalie Jordan**
  + I know that tonight is emotionally exhausting
  + Congratulate the library who credited AS Senate for improving their internet
    - All of Ocean Side tower will have stronger internet
  + Apply for honoraria
  + I was asked during internal to set up a legislative writing workshop
  + We will be doing the Green Dot training which will be an hour and a half
    - I will send out a doodle to find a time
  + With regards with everything that happened tonight, I hope you can all remember to base this out of legal code and policy
    - If you have any questions about anything in legal code and financial policies and procedures let me know
  + Selki:
    - Would you like to read any parts of legal code before we make any decisions tonight?
      * I think that the biggest one has to do with Finance and Business specifically: Page 46 86.10
      * Their decision to fund had nothing to do with the content of the event
    - So, do you have any suggestions on whether or not to seek advice from Judicial Council?
      * Be aware that Judicial Council does not have a specific time they abide by
      * It could take several weeks
      * It is important that whatever you do tonight sets a precedent
  + Giolito:
    - In your opinion, would you agree with streamlining the process from Judicial Council? Would it be a negative thing to get Judicial Council to respond faster?
      * Gefen gave the okay if you feel comfortable to vote on this tonight
  + Samayoa-Velasquez:
    - Is this a discussion item?
      * You will have to add it
  + Silva:
    - Would it be possible to add it to immediate consideration
      * Yes
  + Lopez:
    - This is something that is in the Finance and Business minutes. To approve the funding from this, can we have the discussion during minutes and allocation portion of the agenda?
      * Yes we can
      * I would have a separate discussion on this though
* **External Vice President of Local Affairs: Austin Minoiefar:**
  + Halloween had a great success
    - 20 arrests and 20 citations which is extremely low
    - My intention is that, due to repeat low turnout for Halloween, and with the noise ordinance, that there are enough tools to continue public safety without rising numbers of police
    - I will let you know more
  + Haunt the Loop went well:
    - 20 children came out to trick-or-treat with their families which is higher than last year
  + Voter Registration:
    - Thank you for all of the help that you provided
    - 13,000 registered voters we have in IV
  + Project for Sobering and Safe Center has reached a point where it is not going anywhere because the funding from other sources was not there
    - There would have been a fee to keep it running
    - In the end there was not enough funding to make it sustainable
    - We tried to pull it together but the project just was not going to go through
    - If you want to know about specifics let me know
  + Lopez:
    - Are you going to be looking for any other sources of funding for the safe center?
      * The thing is that we have been looking for sources
      * The sobering center would have cost $25,000.00 a year
  + Giolito:
    - For transparency, are there any updates concerning the weekly ordinance not concerning Halloween or Deltopia?
      * As or right now, it is not on the agenda with the board of supervisors
      * It lands on the idea of well-being
  + Hobson:
    - What do you think about passing the IRS letter this meeting?
      * I do not think we should pass it yet, we need to look at all of our opportunities
      * We need to prioritize our autonomy
* **External Vice President of Statewide Affairs: Neha Nayak**
  + I promised you the lock-in budget
  + *USSA Lock-In Budget Proposal: (For Full Proposal Refer to Exhibit B)*
  + Our plans for the lock-in fee:
    - Provide students who are regularly involved in EVPSA our resources with help with higher education
    - We will do our research on bills and legislation in the federal level
    - We will be planning trips and we will empower students with these resources to a more federal and national level
    - Campus groups engagement
      * They have been working on issues within student groups
      * We will be approaching them and nominating them
      * There will be someone tracking the bills and legislation and train individuals to lobby for those bills
      * This will be an internship program
    - It could potentially be a project we can carry on throughout the years
  + Stovall:
    - When will applications be available?
      * Winter quarter
      * We will reach out to organizations first
  + Samayoa-Velasquez:
    - Will you be available after the meeting to discuss things?
      * Call me or text me
* **Student Advocate General: Josephine Ampaw**
  + *SAG Executive Report: (For Full Report Refer to Exhibit C)*
  + Thank you all for your attentiveness in this meeting
  + My expenditure report for the month of October I submitted
  + SAG from SBCC and I will be hosting a forum about how the two schools can work together
* **I-7) Senator Representative Reports**
  + Marting:
    - I will talk during discussion items
  + Samayoa-Velasquez:
    - That bill that was written, elections board had a meeting
    - Just so you all know, the problem to plan this is what we will discuss further in internal
  + Giolito:
    - I will post about SMP in the group chat
* **I-8) Administrative Reports**
* **J) Committee Reports**
* **J-1) Standing Committee on Finance and Business:**
  + Hobson:
    - *\*Financial Allocations Read out loud from the Finance and Business Minutes 10/31*
      * UCSB Reads: funded them $5,000.00 out of Senate Unallocated
        + We will go back and fix this
      * Pi Beta Phi: funded them $4879.00 for philanthropy
      * The Impact Movement: funded them $7,750.00 for students to travel to Georgia
      * Real Life: funded them $2,600.00 for their Crew Winter Conference
      * Queer Commission: we tabled for 2 weeks
      * CERT: we tabled for one week
      * Chinese Student Association: funded them $150.00 Casino Night
      * Lambda Sigma Gamma: funded them $1,200.00 for Mr. Greek
      * Shrunken Heads: funded them $1,945.00 for Legally Blonde Musical Winter Quarter
      * College Republicans: funded them $5,000.00 for Ben Shapiro’s event
      * Pan African Student Union: funded them $700.00 for Book Launch and Concert
      * Los Ingenieros: did not show up to the meeting
      * Destino: funded them $2568.56 for National Conference
      * Ethics Bowl: funded them $2969.20 for Regional Competition
      * Queer Commission Travel: approved
      * SCORE Travel: approved
  + Selki:
    - I read the minutes, but is there anything that you would like to add specifically relating to the funding of the Ben Shapiro Event?
      * We discussed it in the committee
      * There was discussion back and forth
      * Committee voted in favor of it
  + Dohoney:
    - This year, or last year, did finance board ever decline funding for an event that held a speaker on the basis of content?
      * No
  + Silva:
    - Could you speak more about how the voting went for the Ben event? Was it unanimous or anything?
      * The vote was 7-0-4, with 7 in favor of the event
  + Giolito:
    - Has any event with a speaker been rejected funding due to hateful speech last year?
      * Not to my knowledge

**J-2) Standing Committee on External Affairs**

* + Vance:
    - We got our first bills

**J-3) Standing Committee on Internal Affairs**

* + Lopez:
    - We met on Friday for internal affairs
    - We got the bill to make UCIV apart of Public Safety Commission
    - We had a bill to add a position to the EVPLA office which was tabled for a week
    - We talked about legal code workshops and that it is going to happen
      * I will be assigning members of the committee
    - I encourage you all to give us suggestions of inconsistencies or things that need correcting in legal code
    - A few bills are sent for this weeks meeting

**J-4) Group Project and other Temporary Committee Reports**

* + Hobson:
    - Internal Audit Committee met Friday
    - The auditors showed up and met at 10:30
    - We went over the issues
    - Not a lot of updates yet
  + Silva:
    - Update on open source textbooks and research
    - I do not have a final schedule for the quarter
    - I reached out to Rebecca Metzger
      * She has a lot of data compiled
    - I need to set up a meeting with the Director of the Academic Senate
    - I will send you more information
    - Winter quarter this will pick up speed
  + Fernandez:
    - I cannot wait to review legal code
    - Our group just met

**K) Minutes and Allocations:**

* + Natalie Jordan:
    - You cannot talk as senators about hypothetical situations when voting on these minutes
    - As decision makers we have to rid ourselves about that discussion
  + Marisela Marquez:
    - Your decision must be content neutral
  + Natalie Jordan:
    - My suggestion is that we go back to immediate consideration for America reads

*MOTION/SECOND: Hobson/ Stoll*

*Motion language: motion to move back to old business to discuss America Reads*

*ACTION: Vote: CONSENT*

*Vote Taken: 11:15pm*

**K-1) Senate Minutes**

Senate 10/26

Exhibit B 10/26

Exhibit C 10/26

Exhibit D 10/26

Exhibit E 10/26

Exhibit F 10/26

Exhibit G 10/26

Exhibit H 10/26

Exhibit I 10/26

Exhibit J 10/26

*\*Moved here at 11:22pm*

*MOTION/SECOND: Stoll/ Silva*

*Motion language: motion to bundle and approve all Senate Minutes*

*ACTION: Vote: CONSENT*

*Vote Taken: 11:22pm*

**K-2) Administrative Committees Minutes**

Elections Board 10/14, 10/31

*MOTION/SECOND: Silva/ Stoll*

*Motion language: motion to approve Elections Boards Minutes from 10/14 and 10/32*

*ACTION: Vote: CONSENT*

*Vote Taken: 11:22pm*

**K-3) Boards and Commissions Minutes:**

Zero Waste 10/27

QComm 10/31

Womyn’s Comm 10/24, 10/31

CODE 11/01

*MOTION/SECOND: Recinos/ Hendizadeh*

*Motion language: motion to bundle and approve Boards and Commissions Minutes*

*ACTION: Vote: CONSENT*

*Vote Taken: 11:23pm*

**K-4) Unit Minutes:**

CAB 10/31

EAB 10/31

Food Bank 10/28

SIRRC 10/27, 11/01

IVTU 10/27

IVCRC 10/31

Coastal Fund 11/1

*MOTION/SECOND: Barlev/ Jordan (Proxy)*

*Motion language: motion to bundle and approve all unit minutes*

*ACTION: Vote: CONSENT*

*Vote Taken: 11:23pm*

**K-5) Standing Committee Minutes**

Internal 10/28

Finance and Business 10/31

Internal Audit Committee 10/28

*MOTION/SECOND: Ellenhorn/ Sandhu*

*Motion language: motion to bundle and approve all Standing Committee Minutes*

*ACTION: Vote: OBJECT*

*MOTION/SECOND: Lopez/ Velasquez*

*Motion language: motion to amend the motion to bundle and approve all Standing Committee Minutes without Finance and Business Committee Minutes*

*MOTION/SECOND: Giolito/ Hendizadeh*

*Motion language: motion to amend the motion to approve Internal 10/28*

*ACTION: Vote: CONSENT*

*Vote Taken: 11:26pm*

**Finance and Business 10/31:**

*MOTION/SECOND: Silva/ Velasquez*

*Motion language: motion to approve Finance and Business Committee Minutes from 10/31 with the stipulation that we extract the College Republicans Ben Shapiro event funding portion and put it in discussion items*

*MOTION/SECOND: Marting/ Ellenhorn*

*Motion language: motion to amend the motion to pass Finance and Business Committee Minutes*

*ACTION: Vote: OBJECT*

*Vote Taken Hand Vote: 13-10-0 PASS 11:28pm*

* + Jordan (Proxy):
    - I think that after all of this, it warrants further discussion
    - By passing it, it chooses an easy route
  + Selki:
    - Just for clarification, by choosing to pass the minutes besides the Ben Shapiro part and move it to discussion, can we vote on it there?
      * Marisela Marquez:
        + It is not an action item
        + You can move it later

*MOTION/SECOND: Selki/ Samayoa- Velasquez*

*Motion language: motion to amend the motion to approve Finance and Business Minutes but extract the Ben Shapiro event and move it to immediate consideration for further consideration*

* + Stoll:
    - I feel that we can just discuss it here
  + Samayoa-Velasquez:
    - We can discuss it now, but we are using the rest of Finance and Business minutes to hide around it instead of extracting it

*ACTION: Vote: OBJECT*

*Vote Taken Hand Vote: 23-0-0 PASS 11:40pm*

*MOTION/SECOND: Stoll/ Marting*

*Motion language: motion to pass the internal audit committee minutes 10/28*

*ACTION: Vote: CONSENT*

*Vote Taken: 11:40pm*

*MOTION/SECOND: Silva/ Jordan (Proxy)*

*Motion language: motion to suspend the orders of the day and go to immediate consideration*

*ACTION: Vote: CONSENT*

*Vote Taken: 11:41pm*

**L) Appointments**

**M) Discussion Items:**

* + *Use of Technology*
  + *Body Cameras*

*MOTION/SECOND: Dohoney/ Fernandez*

*Motion language: motion to table both discussion items*

*ACTION: Vote: CONSENT*

*Vote Taken: 12:09am*

**N) Remarks:**

* + Selki:
    - In regards to the Google Drive, I’m sorry its not up to date
    - As or tomorrow, the committees will have it
  + Silva:
    - We were called upon today by underrepresented groups and we failed them
  + Samayoa-Velasquez:
    - Who here is a senior?
    - Can the seniors talk to me after?
    - Be safe out there
  + Lopez:
    - Somebody brought up the fact that with events like this happening around campus, it is a denial or not being acknowledged as a human being
    - I would have liked to have had a chance to speak about it
  + Giolito:
    - Senate Mentorship Program: I will update you more later
    - Invest in advisory committee: Let me know if you are interested
    - Coastal Fund passed a few grants
    - Womyn’s Commission:
      * I have been working with the units and boards minutes
      * There is still no change in their minutes
      * They are not including any of the discussions had
      * I was wondering if that can be noted by the liaison
  + Vance:
    - Black Lives Matter
    - I am sorry for anyone out there that spoke felt dehumanized
  + Fernandez:
    - I talked to Senator Vance and Mitchell before the meeting
    - If this passed, we will try to put on a pro-Black Lives Matter movement the same day and time for an alternative event which can be beneficial to our community
  + Jordan (Proxy):
    - Thank you for staying positive
    - Take steps to combat ideologies you disagree with

**O) Adjournment**

*MOTION/SECOND: Samayoa- Velasquez/ Selki*

*Motion language: motion to adjourn the meeting*

*ACTION: Vote: OBJECT*

*Vote Taken: Hand Vote: 23-0-0 PASS 12:16 am*