

 Internal Affairs committee

Associated Students

Date 09 October 2018

Time 5:36pm

Location: Queer Commission Office

**CALL TO ORDER:**

**A. MEETING BUSINESS**

1. **Roll Call**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Name** | **Note:** absent (excused/not excused)arrived late (time)departed early (time)proxy (full name) | **Name** | **Note:**absent (excused/not excused)arrived late (time)departed early (time)proxy (full name) |
| Yash Nagpal, Chair |  Present | Lea Toubian | Absent (excused) |
|  Ricardo Uribe, Vice Chair |  Present | Carla Cernat |  Absent (Excused) |
| Yasamin Salari |  Present | Charles Neumann |  Absent (Excused) |
| Yara Khamis  |  Absent (Excused) |  Eric Moon |  Present  |
| Xochitl Briseno  |  Present | Noah Fleishman |  Absent (excused) |
| Andrew Nguyen  |  Present  | Emily Quach  | Absent (Excused) |

1. **Excused Absences**

*Senator Khamis for the entire quarter*

*Senator Cernat for the entire quarter*

*Senator Toubian for the entire meeting*

*Proxy Quach for the entire meeting*

*Proxy[ Fleishman for the entire meeting*

*Student At-Large Neumann for entire Quarter*

*MOTION TO EXCUSE ABSENCES: Yasamin Salari*

*SECOND MOTION: Xochitl Briseno*

*CALL TO QUESTION: Andrew Nguyen*

*MOTION PASSES by Consent*

1. **Acceptance of Proxies**

*Proxy Eric Moon for Student-At-Large Charles Neumann*

*Proxy Noah Fleishman for Senator Carla Cernat*

*Proxy Emily Quach for Senator Yara Khamis*

 *MOTION TO ACCEPT PROXIES: Xochitl Briseno*

*SECOND MOTION: Andrew Nguyen*

*CALL TO QUESTION: Yasamin Salari*

*MOTION PASSES by Consent*

 **B. PUBLIC FORUM**

**C. ASUCSB Officer Reports**

 **C-1. Administrative Committees Reports**

 **C-2. Boards and Commissions Reports**

 **C-3. Unit Reports**

 **C-4. Advisors’ Report**

 **C-5. Executive Officers’ Reports**

* Grecia here behalf on Execs and as former chair
	+ - Described the role of internal committee has and how that connects with the judicial appointments.
		- Internal can change the appointment process as needed.
		- Her, La Donte and Mariesela :
			* We need to follow legal code and are consistent,
			* No other appointment is really questioned by the senate,
			* Out of concern moving forward to change in legal code
				+ Student wasn’t aware of coming into senate before applying
				+ Any questions reach out to the execs

 **C-6. Ex-Officio Members’ Reports**

**D. ACCEPTANCE OF AGENDA**

*MOTION TO ACCEPT AGENDA: Xochitl Briseno*

*SECOND MOTION: Andrew Nguyen*

*CALL TO QUESTION: Yasamin Salari*

*MOTION PASSES by Consent*

**E. MINUTES AND ALLOCATIONS**

 **F-1. Minutes**

**F. ACTION ITEMS**

 **F-1. New Business**

Update to Lobby Corp Bill

* + Questions
		- When is/ was the app released? – Andrew
		- BCU’s have the autonomy to do their own elections, I can’t recall when it was released but we should still respect the autonomy. – Ricky

*MOTION TO SEND BILL TO CONSENT CALANDER: Xochitl Briseno*

*SECOND MOTION: Andrew Nguyen*

*CALL TO QUESTION: Yasamin Salari*

*MOTION PASSES by Consent*

**F-2. Old Business**

**G. DISCUSSION ITEMS**

*MOTION TO SUSPEND RULES OF THE DAY TO GO BACK TO ACCEPTANCE OF AGENDA: Ricky Uribe*

*SECOND MOTION: Andrew Nguyen*

*CALL TO QUESTION: Yasmine Salari*

*MOTION PASSES BY CONSENT*

**D. Acceptance of Agenda (Moved Back into)**

*MOTION TO APPROVE JUDICIAL COUNCIL INTO DISSCUSSION: Andrew Nguyen*

*SECOND MOTION: Xochitl Briseno*

*CALL TO QUESTION: Yasmine Salari*

*MOTION PASSES BY CONSENT*

*MOTION TO RESUME RULES OF THE DAY TO GO BACK TO DISSCUSSION: Andrew Nguyen*

*SECOND MOTION: Yasmine Salari*

*CALL TO QUESTION: Xochitl Briseno*

*MOTION PASSESBY CONSENT*

**G. DISCUSSION ITEMS**

***Potential for legal change regarding Judicial Council Appointments***

* Xochitl
	+ We need to respect the legal process and what is happening in the nation.
	+ We should change for the legal code.
* Andrew
	+ Good point.
	+ But is this something that we are doing for all appointments?
* Xochitl
	+ Because it is Judicial Council it is something that we should do solely for Judicial Council.
	+ We need checks and balances.
	+ We need this to make sure we accept the best Judicial Candidates.
* Holly
	+ To clarify
		- The senate doesn’t appoint the position
		- We only confirm
		- Considered the changes in this aspect
		- How does the senate want to move forward with the confirmation process?
* Andrew
	+ So, what you are asking is for a briefing? (to XO)
* Xochitl
	+ Just asking for a change in confirmation process.
	+ This Would propose the questioning aspect.
	+ This would be more of a hearing than a briefing.
* Yasmine
	+ I understand what Xochitl wanted to say.
	+ The person would come to senate and we can ask them questions.
	+ This is not meant for us to talk about them, without them being there.
* Xochitl
	+ Agreed.
	+ But we still keep the 2/3rds approval of senate.
* Eric
	+ What would the voting threshold be?
		- Would it stay as 2/3rds per legal code?
* Xochitl
	+ We will keep the 2/3rds confirmation voting threshold.
	+ Personally, for voting we should follow legal code.
* Andrew
	+ Sounds great.
	+ Sounds fair.
	+ We should have a debrief.
	+ But would the hearings be on senate meetings and how would that work with the appointee schedule’s?
* Xochitl
	+ Would the hearings be in senate meetings?
* Andrew
	+ That is the question that I am asking .
	+ What if they can’t make it?
* Xochitl
	+ We can work around it.
	+ We can skype them in or something.
* Yasmine
	+ A lot of times our senate meeting goes late,
	+ They go to 10:30 pm and start at 6:30 pm,
	+ The person can probably come in during that time.
	+ We can also take a recess to give them time to come.
* Andrew
	+ Should we work around them and fit them in.
* Yasmine
	+ Yes, that is correct
* Xochitl
	+ Senate will always have scheduling conflicts.
	+ They can also come to public forum.
	+ Is there a scheduling conflict?
* Andrew
	+ Hypothetically,
	+ We should think about this.
* Xochitl
	+ Agree but we all have conflicts, even as senators.
	+ If they really want this, they would need to do and make it happen.
* Ricky
	+ There are different ways to do the scheduling.
	+ We can have senate meet outside Wednesday.
	+ They can even come into internal.
	+ They will always be scheduling conflicts as Xochitl said.
* Holly
	+ If we make a motion to make this the process what is the next step?
* Xo
	+ So, moving forward having them come in,
	+ Talking to them [Judicial Council nominees],
	+ Then working on changing legal code.
* Holly
	+ I recommend changing policy to have it in legal code.
* Yash
	+ Would this be just a motion or making a bill?
* Holly
	+ We would need to motion to end discussion,
	+ Then move back to new business.
* Andrew
	+ Would love to work on the bill with Xochitl
	+ We should work with the nominee’s schedule that way it works out.

*MOTION TO END DISSCUSSION: Ricky Uribe*

*SECOND MOTION: Xochitl Briseno*

*CALL TO QUESTION: Andrew Nguyen*

*MOTION PASSES BY CONSENT*

**H. INTERNAL REPORTS**

 **I-2. Representative Reports**

 **I-3. Administrative Assistant Report**

*MOTION TO SUSPEND RULES OF THE DAY AND MOVE BACK INTO NEW BUSSINESS: Ricky Uribe*

*SECOND MOTION: Andrew Nguyen*

*CALL TO QUESTION: Yasamin Salari*

*MOTION PASSES by Consent*

**F-1: New Business**

*MOTION TO HAVE JUDICIAL COUNCIL APPOITMENTS COME INTO SENATE FOR QUESTIONING AND TO HAVE INTERNAL COMMITTEE WORK ON A BILL TO CHANGE THE WAY SENATE CONFORMATIN HEARINGS WORK FOR JUDICIAL COUNIL APPOITMENTS: Xochitl Briseno*

*SECOND MOTION: Yasmin Salari*

* + - *Discussion*:
			* This sounds like we are changing the code then writing the bill, which is confusing. -Andrew
			* So, this motion would be to allow us to work on a bill to change the judicial council appointments starting this year and into the future of AS. -Ricky

***Andrew motions to amend the original motion the latter part say: “Internal Committee will write a bill regarding Judicial Council conformation.”***

* + - * *Friendly with the first*

***NEW MOTION:***

* + - ***MOTION TO HAVE JUDICIAL COUNCIL APPOITMENTS COME INTO SENATE FOR QUESTION AND TO HAVE INTERNAL COMMITTEE WRITE A BILL REGARDING JUDICAL COUNCIL CONFORMATIONS.***

*CALL TO QUESTION: Ricky Uribe*

*MOTION PASSES BY CONSENT*

**I. REMARKS**

*MOTION TO SUSPEND RULES OF THE DAY AND MOVE BACK INTO ACTION ITEMS: Ricky Uribe*

*SECOND MOTION: Yasmine Salari*

*CALL TO QUESTION: Xochitl Briseno*

*MOTION PASSES BY CONSENT*

**F. ACTION ITEMS**

*MOTION TO RESUME RULES OF THE DAY AND MOVE BACK INTO REMARKS: Yasmine Salari*

*SECOND MOTION: Andrew Nguyen*

 *Discussion:*

* Ricky: would we be making the action now
* Xochitl: it would start now
* Holly: make the resolution to do this action now and then the bill will take effect. Recommend take a resolution
* Yash: would that be now?
* Holly: we would need to go into a working group by motioning to recess.

*CALL TO QUESTION: Xochitl Briseno*

*MOTION PASSES BY CONSENT*

*MOTION TO RECESS: Ricky Uribe*

*SECOND MOTION: Yasmine Salari*

*CALL TO QUESTION: Xochitl Briseno*

*MOTION PASSES BY CONSENT*

**Recess**

* so are we taking a stance or what are we doing. Is the resolution to write a bill – Andrew
* we are making a resolution to implement this new process – Ricky
* I would recommend a directional resolution to create this process to start going forward. This would also be able to change the senate process to temp amend. - Holly
* So, is this a resolution or bill we are writing right now? -Andrew
* I think that is a good option. Hard to write a bill right now. - Xochitl
* The resolution would direct senate or fellow senators to enact a new process for Judicial Council confirmations. -Ricky
* Will fellow senators need to pass this resolution? -Andrew
* Yes, this would be. - Holly
* I have another meeting in a bit and I can’t stay right now. - Xochitl, Yasmine, Andrew, Eric

*MOTION TO ADJOURNE RECESS: Ricky Uribe*

*SECOND MOTION: Yasmine Salari*

*CALL TO QUESTION: Xochitl Briseno*

*MOTION PASSES BY CONSENT*

**J. ADJOURNMENT**

*MOTION TO ADJOURNE AT 6:24 PM: Xochitl Briseno*

*SECOND MOTION: Eric Moon*

*CALL TO QUESTION: Yasmine Salari*

*MOTION PASSES BY CONSENT*