

Internal Affairs committee

Associated Students

Date: 23, February 2019

Time 1:30 pm

Location: Nati Conference Room

**CALL TO ORDER: at 2:04 PM by Chair Nagpal**

**A. MEETING BUSINESS**

1. **Roll Call**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Name** | **Note:**  absent (excused/not excused)  arrived late (time)  departed early (time)  proxy (full name) | **Name** | **Note:**  absent (excused/not excused)  arrived late (time)  departed early (time)  proxy (full name) |
| Yash Nagpal, Chair | Present | Lea Toubian | Present |
| Ricardo Uribe, Vice Chair | Present | Carla Cernat | Present |
| Yasamin Salari | Present | Charles Neumann | Unexcused Absence |
| Yara Khamis | Excused |  |  |
| Xochitl Briseno | Excused |  |  |
| Andrew Nguyen | Present |  |  |

1. **Excused Absences**

*Senator Khamis for the entire meeting*

*Senator Briseno for the entire meeting*

*MOTION TO BUNDLE AND APPROVE ALL EXCUSED ABSENCES: Cernat*

*SECOND MOTION: Salari*

*CALL TO QUESTION: Nguyen*

*MOTION PASSES by: Consent*

**B. PUBLIC FORUM**

**N/A**

**C. ASUCSB Officer Reports**

**C-1. Administrative Committees Reports**

**C-3. Advisors’ Report**

* Holly: Nothing to report

**C-4. Executive Officers’ Reports**

* Chair: reallocation of our funds, changing public forums before new business jeopardizes what Senate will discuss? do we want to initiate bills here?
  + - * 4 bills and resolutions to discuss today.
      * Went to budget hearing to talk about funding
      * Reallocating funding
        + We have 1350 all for honoraria
        + Need 3 the Student Reps at Large
        + But no one applying
        + No more student Reps
      * now have 900 dollars to do with
        + Retreat (eating food maybe in IV), Next Quater
        + Also bringing food to all (popular)
        + Give some to the exploratory committee of the dining commons

Use some for polls, for paying for some people. We can move 300-600 dollars to that committee

* + - * + Yash is requesting this.
      * Senate meetings run very long
        + Makes us hurt academically
        + Internal and External can create their own bills, or even just sent to us.
        + We can give it a try and discuss this further in Senate
        + More so spread the word that way we spend less time in Senate
        + Internal might run longer, but we will get food for internal
* Vice Chair: Lack of Attendance
  + we want this to be quick so we can help Senate
  + this hurts Senate
  + especially Senate is waiting on us, attendance is affected by us
  + esp by-law changes like CCS Senator
    - Chair:
      * This time we got some people sending proof on why they couldn't come, which is important
      * So we will be recommending a $10 doc in honoraria for those who miss multiple internal meetings, even if excused

**C-5. Ex-Officio Members’ Reports**

**D. ACCEPTANCE OF AGENDA**

*MOTION TO ACCEPT AGENDA: Nguyen*

*SECOND MOTION: Toubian*

*CALL TO QUESTION: Cernat*

*MOTION PASSES by Consent*

**E. MINUTES AND ALLOCATIONS**

**E-1. Minutes: Internal Affairs 02/02/19**

*MOTION TO APPROVE INTERNAL AFFAIRS MINUTES 02/02/19: Nguyen*

*SECOND MOTION: Salari*

*CALL TO QUESTION: Cernat*

*MOTION PASSES by Consent*

**F. ACTION ITEMS**

**F-1. New Business**

***A Resolution to permanently suspend orders of the day and allow public forum to be after attendance/acceptance of proxies for the rest of the 2018-2019 Senate Meetings.***

*MOTION TO Table for one week: Uribe*

*SECOND: Toubian*

Discussion:

Uribe: this should be a bill rather than resolution

Toubian: Changing for Senate to comes. Cause intention was just for our senate

Chair: yes, we can ask the author Adams and Hernandez

Cernat: From what I remember it was just for our senate, not trying to make a huge change. We wish that people can make public forum before. Just want it for our Senate. I do not think it needs a legal code change. Setting the standard to speeding up the process.

Uribe: I understand why it is for our senate, hard to grasp for why we do not want it for senates to follow. We are trying to fix grey area. I don’t know how I feel about it. Fix that is not a recurring issue

Chair: The intention of this bill was right, but we are skipping, Chancellor reports, UCen gov report and UCPD reports. If the chancellor was to come in I don’t want them to have them wait till after public forum, then they might not want to come. We can switch around public forum and new business. I feel it is important for people to state what we are discussing. If the authors do not talk first on new bills it makes it harder for the students to have something to say about these issues. This is my opinion and, again, I do not hold voting power in Internal.

Nguyen: Many good points being made, why it should be a resolution. This is more of an experiment. more so not change it permanently. Also, we don't know how the new senate will act. The chancellor point is a very good point, but if they were to come in then we can just move them up. that why I believe that is why they can come first.

Chair: I still do believe that new business should come first. Example the book resolution it is important for people to hear what the intentions of the bill are, and allow students to talk about it. This can be a response that maybe the idea of more people making bills.

Toubian: Hearing a lot of sides on both points and my suggestion is maybe we, say what Andrew says, test it out in spring, and if it works to make it a standing policy change. Even some execs are coming in public forum. There is a demand that might try it to see what even wah the comprise is.

Chair: do you believe that new business is after public forum. So it would be new business than public forum, then admin reports?

Toubian: we send new business to immediate consideration. Maybe we should try something new because the arguments on both sides are good. Has been proposed by people so often. would be nice to have that experiment.

Cernat: most people already know what they want to talk about, and they are there for a reason. They don't already have an agenda that they already care about. Rarely hear anyone talk about anything else.

Nguyen. The whole things about the new business, I feel that if public forum is first, people can still stay to new business Most people don't care. We should give them the choice of how they want to spend it. We should be able to announce the bills and resolution on the agenda to give people thinking about it.

Uribe: It should be a bill, works better with Legal Code. We can direct Steven to do, but he has the option to follow it. If it was a person outside of Senate and brought up to JC, it would be a bill brought up to JC. We can always change.

Toubian: Reserve my right, IVP Ho told them to write as a resolution, motion to amend the tale to end of the metting.

*Motion to amend the motion and to table the bill for a week: Toubian*

*Second: Nguyen*

*Friendly with both first and second*

*CALL TO QUESTION: Cernat*

*MOTION PASSES by Consent*

***A Resolution to Create an Ad Hoc Committee to Appoint a College of Creative Studies Senator***

***Cernat: reserve the right, motion to follow legal code limited to only 5 senators, amend that, include all senators, Legal Code states that IVP is a non-voting member for new senators. IVP should not be tie-breaker***

*MOTION TO go into a working group to update the Resolution: Toubian*

*SECOND: Nugyen*

*CALL TO QUESTION: Salari*

*MOTION PASSES by Consent*

*Working group:*

* *Uribe: We can make it where quorum is less but have ⅕ th still*
* *Toubian: 1/5th is 5 so it's more so changing the wording*
* *Salari: Do we also have to change the requirement to find someone by week 8.*
* *Toubian: make it week 2 that person will finish and week 2 they will be sworn in.*
* *Holly, now there are two different versions of this bill, just be careful.*
* *Nguyen: where can i find the resolution about the public forum.*
* *Toubian: changes are great the only one is with quorum. Let it be 50% + 1*

*Motion to end working group to send this resolution with amendments: Toubian*

*Second: Nugyen.*

*Call to Question: Salari*

*Vote: Objection*

*Hand Vote 0- 5 - 0*

*Motion fails*

*Working group resumes*

*Toubain: Cernat was adding changes, also because this quorum is an issue. The reason why I am asking this is because quorum is not 50% +1. Added this one in.*

*\*The Chair has updated the resolution with amendments\**

*Motion to Close Working Group and send this resolution with amendments to Consent Calendar: Toubian*

*Second: Nguyen*

*Discussion:*

*Uribe: ⅕ of people who apply and*

*Cernat: Yes*

*Uribe: 5 senators for quorum*

*Call to Question: Uribe*

*Passes by Consent*

***A Bill to Update Article IX of the By-laws of the Associated Students of UCSB to Create the AS Environmental Justice Alliance***

*MOTION TO Send this to Consent Calendar: Nguyen*

*SECOND: Cernat*

*CALL TO QUESTION: Salari*

*MOTION PASSES by Consent*

*Motion to reconsider the agenda: Nguyen*

*Second: Cernat*

*Call to Question: Toubian*

*Motion to add A Resolution to Address Textbook Affordability: Nugyen*

*Second: Salari*

*Call to Question: Toubian*

*Passes by: Consent*

*Motion to resume orders of the day: Toubian*

*Second: Salari*

*Call to Question Nuygen*

*Passes by: Consent*

**022019 – 66 A Resolution to Address Textbook Affordability**

**Cernat – Bencohen**

*Motion to approve and send to old business: Toubain*

*Second: Nugyen*

*Call to question: Salari*

*Passes by: Consent*

*Motion to go skip old bussiness and go to disussion items: Salari*

*Second: Toubian*

*Salari: Motion Rescind*

*Friendly with second.*

*Motion to skip to adjournment: Uribe*

*Second: Nugyen*

*Call to Question: Salari*

*Passes by: Consent*

**F-2. Old Business**

**G. DISCUSSION ITEMS**

Chair: reallocation of unused Internal Campus Affairs Budget

**H. INTERNAL REPORTS**

**I-2. Representative Reports**

**I-3. Administrative Assistant Report**

**I. REMARKS**

**J. ADJOURNMENT**

*MOTION TO ADJOURN AT 3:03: Nguyen*

*SECOND MOTION: Toubian*

*CALL TO QUESTION: Salari*

*Passes by: Consent*