



## COASTAL FUND AGENDA

Associated Students

Tuesday, 1/30/18, Nati Conference Room

**CALL TO ORDER** 6:04 PM by Tristen, minutes recorded by Hannah

### A. ATTENDANCE

Name	<b>Note:</b> absent (excused/not excused) arrived late (time) departed early (time)	Name	<b>Note:</b> absent (excused/not excused) arrived late (time) departed early (time)
Tristen Thron Chair	Present	Peter Min Outreach Coordinator	Present
Matias Eusterbrock Co-Chair	Present	An Nguyen Outreach Coordinator	Present
Aral Greene Undergraduate Rep	Present	Peter Min Outreach Coordinator	Present
Jordan Gallagher Undergraduate Rep	Present	Rebecca Nishide Administrative Assist	Present
Esha Suri Undergraduate Rep	Present	Hannah Bone Administrative Assist	Present
Alana Ayasse Graduate Student Rep	Present	Senate Liaison	
Courtney Thomas Graduate Student Rep	Present	Sarah Siedschlag Advisor	Present

### B. COMMITTEE BUSINESS

#### 1. Approval of Attendance and Proxies

*MOTION/SECOND: Tristen/Jordan*

*Motion language: motion to approve the attendance and proxies*

*ACTION: Consent*

*Additional approval required: YES (Senate)*

#### 2. Approval of Minutes

*MOTION/SECOND: Tristen/Courtney*

*Motion language: Motion to approve the minutes from last time*

*ACTION: Consent*

*Additional approval required: YES (Senate)*

## **C. PUBLIC FORUM**

(Announcements, appreciations, concerns, requests to have items added to agenda)

## **D. REPORTS**

1. **Advisor Report: Siedschlag**
  - i. **Sasha (who we approved for 50% last week) turned in reallocation request**
    1. **board is ok with an one page request for additional funding in light of situation**
  - ii. **Joan Hartman wants to talk to students after goleta beach postcards received on the 15th**
    1. **board members who are knowledgeable on goleta beach revetments should come if available**
2. **Chair Report: Thron**
  - i. **Gaviota Screening a success- thank you Courtney!**
3. **Senate Report**
  - i. **No report**
4. **Administrative Report: Nishide**
  - i. **No report**
5. **Coastal Service Program Report: Bone**
  - i. **No report**
6. **Outreach and Education Report: Min & Nguyen**
  - i. **Need another member for outreach panel interview for new outreach coordinator (next week, interviews ~30 mins and interviewing 5 people)**
  - ii. **Gale said she could get us two tickets to film premiere for Broke (oil documentary), Sunday, February 4th 7 PM or Monday possibly**
    1. **She is working on getting a spot in Pollock**
    2. **Anyone else who wants to go \$15**
7. **Sub-Committee Reports**
  - i. **Dive Scholarship update: Application updates**

## **E. AGENDA**

1. **Approval of Agenda/Additions to Agenda**

*MOTION/SECOND: Tristen/Jordan*

*Motion language: Motion to approve the agenda and the additions*

*ACTION: Consent*

*Additional approval required: YES (Senate)*

**F. OLD BUSINESS**

**1. (item)**

*MOTION/SECOND: (name)/(name)*

*Motion language:*

*ACTION: Consent*

*Additional approval required: YES (Senate)*

**G. NEW BUSINESS**

**1. Winter 18-06 Reallocation Request**

*MOTION/SECOND: Courtney/Tristen*

*Motion language: Motion to approve winter 18-06 reallocation*

*ACTION: Consent*

*Additional approval required: YES (Senate)*

**2. Winter 17-10 Reallocation Request**

*MOTION/SECOND: Tristen/Alana*

*Motion language: motion to approve winter 17-10 reallocation request*

*ACTION: Consent*

*Additional approval required: YES (Senate)*

**3. Winter 17-10 Extension Request**

*MOTION/SECOND: Tristen/Courtney*

*Motion language: Motion to approve winter 17-10 extension request*

*ACTION: Consent*

*Additional approval required: YES (Senate)*

**4. Fall 17-14 Reallocation Request**

*MOTION/SECOND: Jordal/Aral*

*Motion language: Motion to approve Fall 17-14 reallocation request*

*ACTION: Consent*

*Additional approval required: YES (Senate)*

**1. 18-09 Emergency: Field Investigation of the January 2018 Montecito Debris Flow  
Department: Earth Science (Paul Alessio)**

**Approved Budget:**

- Undergraduate Research Assistant, ~~4~~ 2 students @ \$13/hr + 3.1% benefits, total ~~\$6,969.56~~ \$3484.78
- Mileage to and from field site, 40 mi/day \* 2 days/wk \* 12 wks @ 0.56/mile, total \$537.00
- Food for students after field work, \$20/student/day, total ~~\$480.00~~ \$240
- Equipment and supplies (measuring tapes, survey rods, field notebooks...etc), total \$500.00

- Aerial photos, total \$1,500.00
- total requested: ~~\$9,986.56~~ \$4,761.78

Board Discussion Notes:

- Unclear of what they are doing in the field and budget seems unclear
  - what are they collecting in the field
- 18-09 does not seem to be an emergency, does not necessarily need to happen right now
- More time requested, same equipment/same food
  - Different amount of students
- Appears that interns are doing watershed analysis, which does not appear to be an emergency
- Emergency can be considered until the end of spring quarter
- field components
- Consider that we should still be as critical as we would have been with more grants, just because we have fewer major grants this quarter doesn't mean we should not be making serious cuts
- A lot of this was for research assistants to do stuff that is not believed to be an emergency
  - Any GIS/watershed sort of stuff does not seem to be an emergency
  - Stuff they did say about it being an emergency was vague
- Hard to tell how many hours each student would be working on the different field work vs. non emergency
  - certain fieldwork could be considered an emergency but hard to distinguish and cut in full
  - Could fund two students for field surveys and not the GIS work
- Fund field work
  - cuts to half the research assistants and cut aerial photos ~\$5,000
- everything has to be spent by the end of spring quarter for it to be considered emergency funding → but data analysis here goes on into summer
- 
- 

Board Decision Summary:

-

*MOTION/SECOND: Tristen/Jordan*

*Motion language: Motion to fund 18-09 with cuts to number of students to 2 students for undergraduate research assistance and cuts to food for students to \$10 and cuts to aerial photos for a total of \$4761.78 with the stipulation the two remaining assistants are for fieldwork*

*ACTION: Consent*

*Additional approval required: YES (Senate)*

**2. 18-10 Emergency: Post-Fire and Mudslide Water Quality Monitoring Project**  
**Department: Santa Barbara Channelkeeper**

Approved Budget:

- Beach Water Quality Intern, 2@ \$250/quarter, total \$500

- Watershed and Marine Program Director, \$7,500.00/month for 5% time for 1.741935484 months, total \$150
- Watershed and Marine Program Associate, \$6,093.75/month for 10% time for 1.741935484 months, total \$250
- Communications Manager, \$4,947.92/month for 5% time for 1.741935484 months, total \$100
- Bacteria bottles, 220@\$0.75 each, total \$150
- Bacteria reagents - E.coli, 79@\$5.00 each, total \$350
- Bacteria reagents - Enterococcus, 79@\$7.75 each, total \$600
- Quanti-trays, 144@\$1.75 each, total \$250
- Pipettes, 67@\$0.80 each, total \$50
- Mileage, 960@ \$0.545/mile, total \$500
- Boat Fuel for Plume Sampling, total \$100
- total requested: \$3000

#### Board Discussion Notes:

- Similar to a lot of stuff we've seen but the Holden lab was previously only focusing on Goleta Beach but this is focusing on a lot of other places (public outreach as opposed to research)
- Want to sample every day in comparison to the county who samples once a week
- Surfrider and Channelkeeper addressed the same problem, and this proposal seems better than the surfrider one, consider at least approving one of them
- County made it seem like they still have a lot of sifting through mud to go, so not sure how long this threat is going to go
- What will their reach be?
  - Where does the general public as a whole go for their information?
  - Maybe we could help with that→ everyone interested in a similar thing, maybe suggest to them to work together on it
- Received money from the county to, maybe doing some work for the county
  - Different department though
- Not sure if this is going to have that big of a reach and don't believe it is really going to stop anyone that is still going in the water
- What is accomplished by funding this?
  - Channelkeeper has decent number of followers on social media
- By the time anything gets done and going, it will be yesterday's news
  - Trying to implement in two days
- Vast majority of people in SB county use surflife
  - County's page is linked to surflife
- Goes along with our mission statement, but timeline concern is valid
- Unlike surfrider, channelkeeper does regular monitoring anyway→ can be classified as an emergency because they are ramping up their sampling
- Consider it valuable for the public to know it and have it as accessible knowledge
- Having the right information increases access but having the increased frequency... is it worth the amount?
- Not so much about how often we think the water should be tested, but there is a lot of people in the community who are worried about this
  - small thing to keep the community informed
- Is it worth having it every day

- Additional value: How many people are actually gonna hear that CF is helping Holden Lab? With Channelkeeper it could get the CF name out there as a group that is standing up to help the community during this time
- 

Board Decision Summary:

-  
-

*MOTION/SECOND: Jordan/Alana*

*Motion language: Motion to fund winter 18-10 in full for the amount of \$3000*

*ACTION:*

*Additional approval required: YES (Senate)*

**3. 18-11 Emergency: Field Investigation of the January 2018 Montecito Debris Flows**

**Department: Bren School, UCSB (Thomas Dunne)**

Approved Budget:

- Student Intern, 1 student @\$13/hour w/benefits for 15 weeks, total \$4,020.90
- Mileage to and from field site, 50 mi/day \* 2days/wk \* 15 wks @\$0.56/mile , total \$817.50
- Lunch Expenses for students at field site, 60 @ \$10/student/day, \$10.00/student, total \$600.00
- Equipment and Supplies (field notebooks, sample bags, laser range finder, etc.), total \$500.00
- total requested: \$5,938.40

Board Discussion Notes:

- More clear than 18-11 on why it's an emergency
- Need to go measure the marks before people start cleaning it up
- Reconstruction of what happened based on boulder flow
- Fieldwork qualifies as an emergency
- Don't have a precedent for funding lunch → heated debate about lunch

Board Decision Summary:

-

*MOTION/SECOND: Jordan/Esha*

*Motion language: motion to fund winter 18-11 in full in the amount of \$5,938.40*

*ACTION:*

*Additional approval required: YES (Senate)*

**H. DISCUSSION**

1. (first item)

**I. PROJECT REVIEW**

Project Title: WIN 18-02: GreenScreen Environmental Media Program

Sponsoring Organization: Carsey-Wolf Center

Presenter Name: Emily Zinn

Summary: The GreenScreen Environmental Media Program brings together student environmental filmmakers with local organizations and research groups that are working for the preservation of the Santa Barbara coast. The student environmental filmmakers learn about critical sustainability issues in the UCSB area and then translate these issues through film to help educate their peers and campus and community leaders. We believe that effectively communicating the importance of these issues will incite students and community members to take action. GreenScreen is particularly committed to communicating about environmental issues in a variety of genres: recent film forms have included documentary, live-action, horror and animation. GreenScreen prioritizes distribution, showing these videos to classes around campus, at community events, environmental film festivals, and on the Internet.

Presentation Notes:

1. Teaches environmental media production class- the most successful class he has taught at UCSB
2. Funding that is asked for is \$500 per project, competitive to get into this class (by application)
  - a. Everyone selected for the class must pitch their idea, debate the ideas and narrow it down to the best ideas depending on what has been discussed
  - b. Have had students go on to bigger things (i.e. Copenhagen Climate Conference)
3. Program now open to undergraduates and graduates→ graduate level scientists and undergraduate film majors works really well
4. Exposure that leads some students to pick career path in environmental filmmaking
  - a. A lot of them go on to do important things (work on sea shepard)
5. Concept to delivery in 10 weeks
6. One of the closing night films of SB film festival came from this GreenScreen class
  - a. Was Jenkins own pitch
7. Another previous film "Under her wing" dealing with bird sanctuary, is also in SB Film festival
  - a. Many other students films have been included in previous SB film festivals
8. Showed first few minutes of film *Anchored*
9. Not just documentary in this program, no limitations on the way of storytelling different environmental issues
10. Access to a lot of technology that gives many options for film projects
11. No funding for this class, but funding is very important for this class because of time.
  - a. No time to focus on other videos for kickstarters
12. Good thing for coastal fund is getting exposure- tag at the end of the films
13. As far as why it is important: important to get information out there

Board Questions:

1. How do students use the films/are the films open access?
  - a. After they have done their festival run, it goes live on our channel
  - b. So it does become an archive that can be accessed by anyone
  - c. Every film done in the class is available to the public
2. No guarantee what the topics will be and our mission statement typically must involve something related to the coastline
  - a. It would limit the class if it would say that it has to be coastal limited
  - b. But the mission statement also has coastal/terrestrial included in it and he does believe that every film made falls under this process

- c. A lot of the success has come from “what is the best story” and there should be no limits
  - d. Do feel like we fall within the coastal/terrestrial mission statement
  - e. Coast encompasses everything including fields, bees, trees, etc. not just the ocean
  - f. Lots that are ocean related, but not all of course-do things that are local
  - g. Open door to storytelling but most of them are built around santa barbara
3. Explain the role of student assistant
- a. Nice to have a TA
  - b. In order for them to have help after classes in addition to just his office hours
  - c. TA’s are around for all those long hours of editing
  - d. Best for the last 5 weeks of production→ ready to help in any way they can
  - e. So much work outside of class

Board Discussion:

1. Board goes into closed discussion

*MOTION/SECOND: Courtney/Jordan*

*Motion language: Motion to table discussion of winter 18-02 with intent to deny funding*

*ACTION: Consent*

*Additional approval required: YES (Senate)*

**ADJOURNMENT AT (time)**

*MOTION/SECOND: Tristen/Jordan*

*Motion language: Motion to adjourn the meeting at 8:47 PM*

*ACTION: Consent*

*Additional approval required: NO*